TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9/29/2020

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
101ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE SPECIAL INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE II
Hearing held, pursuant to Notice, on the
29th day of September, 2020, between the hours of
2:00 p.m. and 7:03 p.m., in Room C-1, Stratton
Building, Springfield, Illinois.
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

		Page 2
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS	Page
4	DAVID GLOCKNER	2
5	EXAMINATION BY Chairman Welch	58
6	EXAMINATION BY Representative Mazzochi	76
7	EXAMINATION BY Representative Hernandez	137
8	EXAMINATION BY Representative Wehrli	148
9	EXAMINATION BY Representative Manley	152
10	EXAMINATION BY Republican Spokesperson Demmer	160
11		
12	EXHIBITS	
13	Exhibit	Page
14	Exhibit 14 Leader Durkin's Letter to the Committee	13
15	Exhibit 15 Chairman Welch's Response	13
16	Exhibit 7, 8, 9, 12 and 13 Letters between the Committee and the	7
	U.S. Attorney's Office	
17	Exhibits 10 A through 10 H	7
18	Letters Requesting Witnesses Appear	7
19	Exhibits 11 A through 11 H Responses from Witnesses to Committee's	
20	Request	7
21		
22	(Exhibits retained by Committee.)	
23		
24		

1	COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	Representative Emanuel Chris Welch, Chairman
3	Representative Tom Demmer, Minority
4	Spokesperson
5	Representative Elizabeth Hernandez, Member
6	Representative Natalie A. Manley, Member
7	Representative Deanne M. Mazzochi, Member
8	Representative Grant Wehrli, Member
9	
10	ALSO PRESENT:
11	Representative Leader Jim Durkin.
12	Petitioner
13	Mr. Rod Safer
14	Counsel to Petitioners
15	Mr. Reid Schar
16	Counsel for David Glockner
17	COURT REPORTER:
18	Joyce D. Lawrence, CSR, CCR, RPR
19	CSR# 84-1716 CCR# 1329 Alaris Litigation Service
20	15 S. Old State Capitol Plaza Springfield, Illinois 62701.
20	Optingticia, itiliois 02/01.
22	
23	
24	
27	

1	(Hearing commenced at 2:23 p.m.)
2	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Good afternoon, everyone.
3	The House Special Investigation Committee shall come
4	to order, the hour of 2 p.m. having come and gone.
5	Ladies and gentlemen, please be advised
6	that there have been several precautions taken to
7	protect participants' health and safety during the
8	COVID-19 pandemic, while also allowing this to be an
9	open and transparent discussion. The number of
10	in-person participants has been limited to ensure
11	social distancing, with the number of spaces
12	reserved for members of the media and other seating
13	available for members of the public. In addition to
14	live streaming available on ilga.gov, a public
15	viewing room has been arranged at the Bilandic
16	Building in Chicago. As we all are here in this
17	room, I'm sure at the Bilandic Building face
18	coverings are required of participants at all times
19	during this Committee hearing, including when
20	testifying before the Committee.
21	So at this time, I see that all members
22	are present. I will ask the Clerk to please take
23	the role.
24	CLERK: Welch.

1	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Here.
2	CLERK: Demmer.
3	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Here.
4	CLERK: Hernandez.
5	REPRESENTATIVE HERNANDEZ: Here.
6	CLERK: Manley.
7	REPRESENTATIVE MANLEY: Here.
8	CLERK: Mazzochi.
9	REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Here.
10	CLERK: Wehrli.
11	REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: Here.
12	CHAIRMAN WELCH: All six members being
13	present, we do have a quorum. We may proceed.
14	Ladies and gentlemen, as was stated at
15	the last hearing, this is a non-partisan
16	investigatory process to evaluate whether there are
17	reasonable grounds to discipline an elected state
18	representative. It is not a legal proceeding. We
19	are not a court of law. As such, this Committee is
20	not bound by traditional rules of evidence.
21	However, we are bound to adhere to the rules of
22	decorum.
23	This particular case is unique to the
24	previous special investigating committees in that

the member that is the subject of the Petition has 1 2 not been accused by federal prosecutors of any 3 criminal activity. 4 As the Committee agreed at our last 5 hearing, myself and Minority Spokesperson Demmer spoke on the phone with U.S. Attorneys John Lausch 6 7 and John Ricorus of the Northern District of 8 Illinois on September 14, 2020. 9 On September 16, 2020, Minority 10 Spokesperson Demmer and I sent our own letters to the U.S. Attorney's office, to which we received a 11 12 joint response the next day. 13 On Friday, September 18, 2020, I sent 14 letters to everyone on the list of Republican 15 requested witnesses in the manner the Republican 16 members of this Committee requested. 17 As of Monday, September 28, yesterday, the Committee has received a response from all of 18 19 the requested witnesses. 20 At this time, I hereby enter the letters 21 between the Committee and the United States 22 Attorney's office as Exhibits 7, 8, 9, 12, and 13. 23 All the letters requesting witnesses appear will be 24 marked as Exhibits 10 A through 10 H. And all

1 responses from witnesses regarding the Committee's 2 request will be marked as Exhibits 11 A through 11 3 Η. 4 (Exhibits 7, 8, 9, 12, and 13, letters between the Committee and the United State's 5 Attorney's office, were identified for the 6 7 record.) 8 (Exhibits 10 A through 10 H, letters 9 requesting witnesses appear, were identified for the record.) 10 11 (Exhibits 11 A through 11 H, responses 12 from witnesses regarding Committee's request, were identified for the record.) 13 14 REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Further order, 15 Mr. Chairman. 16 CHAIRMAN WELCH: State your point. 17 REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Yes. I don't believe that you should be entering all of these 18 19 letters into the record because some of them appear 20 to contain what I would call testimonial evidence, 21 even though they are stating they are not going to 22 appear to give testimony before the Committee. So I 23 think that we should go through each one of them 24 individually and decide on motion whether we are

1 going to agree that those should be accepted into 2 the record. 3 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Your point is noted and overruled. As the Chair, I enter these items into 4 5 the record as indicated. These items --REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: I object 6 7 to your ruling. 8 CHAIRMAN WELCH: You are not recognized. 9 You are not recognized. 10 REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: Objection. 11 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Objection is noted for 12 the record. 13 REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: The basis -- an 14 objection is always in order. 15 CHAIRMAN WELCH: It is the prerogative of 16 the Chair -- it is the prerogative of the Chair to overrule your objection. Objection is noted for the 17 18 record. We will proceed. 19 All letters, as previously indicated, are 20 being marked for transparency and made a part of the 21 record, and you can go to ilga.gov and this 22 particular committee and those letters will be 23 there. 24 REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Mr. Chairman,

1 again --

2 CHAIRMAN WELCH: You are not recognized. 3 You are out of order, Member. 4 Reasonable people can disagree, which was 5 why the letter from the U.S. Attorney's office spelling out their guidelines and objections was 6 7 included with the witness request letters. Because it is not for Members to decide what witnesses are 8 9 going to say it is up to the witnesses and their 10 counsels to determine what they're going to say. 11 That is why the U.S. Attorney's office letter was 12 sent, along with the letters from the Committee. 13 **REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER:** 14 Mr. Chair, I renew my objection, and I would agree 15 that, while reasonable people can disagree, as a 16 legislative body, we have a method of resolving 17 those disagreements, and that method is to cast a 18 vote. 19 CHAIRMAN WELCH: The objection is noted 20 for the record. 21 REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: And you 22 refuse to allow a vote on that objection? 23 CHAIRMAN WELCH: What is your motion? 24 REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: My

1	motion is to accept my motion is to appeal the
2	ruling of the Chair that all the letters would be
3	entered into the record.
4	CHAIRMAN WELCH: And there is a motion on
5	the floor. I believe that these are public
6	documents that should all be made available to the
7	public and made immediately available to the public
8	on our website, and so Representative Demmer has
9	made a motion to appeal the decision of the Chair.
10	REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: Second, Wehrli.
11	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Seconded by Mr. Wehrli.
12	To pass, there has to be 4 affirmative votes.
13	Madam Clerk, please call the roll.
14	CLERK: Welch.
15	CHAIRMAN WELCH: No.
16	CLERK: Demmer.
17	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Yes.
18	CLERK: Hernandez.
19	REPRESENTATIVE HERNANDEZ: No.
20	CLERK: Manley.
21	REPRESENTATIVE MANLEY: No.
22	CLERK: Mazzochi.
23	REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Yes.
24	CLERK: Wehrli.

1	REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN WELCH: On a three-three vote,
3	the motion fails. The ruling of the Chair stands.
4	REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: I would like a
5	parliamentary ruling, Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN WELCH: So currently, the way
7	the motion was phrased and the way the vote turned
8	out, there is no item entered into the record. That
9	was the vote. So none of these letters will be
10	entered into the record.
11	You still want your parliamentary
12	procedure?
13	REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Yes. I would
14	like to move, Mr. Chairman, that all of the letters,
15	besides Speaker Madigan responding to your letter
16	seeking voluntary testimony, be entered into the
17	record.
18	REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: Second, Wehrli.
19	CHAIRMAN WELCH: There has been a one
20	moment.
21	There has been a motion and properly
22	seconded that all letters, except Speaker Madigan's
23	letter, be entered into the record.
24	Madam Clerk, please call the roll.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9/29/2020

1	CLERK: Welch.
2	CHAIRMAN WELCH: No.
3	CLERK: Demmer.
4	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Yes.
5	CLERK: Hernandez.
6	REPRESENTATIVE HERNANDEZ: No.
7	CLERK: Manley.
8	REPRESENTATIVE MANLEY: No.
9	CLERK: Mazzochi.
10	REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Yes.
11	CLERK: Wehrli.
12	REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Motion fails. No
14	letters will be entered into the record.
15	REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Mr. Chairman,
16	may I be recognized?
17	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Not at this time.
18	We have here with us today a
19	representative from Commonwealth Edison. Mr. David
20	Glockner is here. He is also present with his
21	attorney, ComEd's attorney, Mr. Reid Schar.
22	I apologize; I don't know who is who.
23	Who is Mr. Glockner?
24	Mr. Glockner has raised his hand for the

1 record. And Mr. Schar is the other gentleman who is 2 now also raising his hand. 3 Thank you both for being here today. Ι 4 want to welcome you to Springfield. Normally, it's 5 a little bit warmer here. We are looking forward to hearing your testimony here today, and I'm sure a 6 7 number of Members have questions for you. 8 However, before we get to the questioning 9 phase, I want to enter something into the record. I want to enter at this moment Leader 10 11 Durkin's letter as Petitioner to the Committee as 12 Exhibits 7 -- as Exhibit 7 and my response to Leader 13 Durkin as Exhibit 8. 14 Give me one moment. 15 Let me restate. Before moving further, I 16 want to enter Leader Durkin's letter as Petitioner 17 to the Committee as Exhibit 14 and my response as 18 Exhibit 15. 19 (Exhibit 14, Leader Durkin's letter to 20 the Committee, was entered into evidence.). 21 (Exhibit 15, Chairman Welch's response, 22 was entered into the record.). 23 CHAIRMAN WELCH: I want to say this 24 before we go further. We cannot set precedent

1	allowing any Member of the Body to ask questions at
2	a Committee hearing. This investigatory process is
3	set up to keep one person from abusing the process
4	to railroad another Member. Leader Durkin is a
5	Petitioner in this process. It would be improper
6	for him to act as the accuser, the advocate,
7	investigator, the judge, and the jury. It would
8	also be a conflict of interest for Leader Durkin,
9	because he is a potential material witness to our
10	investigation, to have any role in directing the
11	investigation of this Committee.
12	If Leader Durkin wanted to be on the
13	Special Investigating Committee, he could have
14	simply not signed the Petition, and he could have
15	appointed himself to the Special Investigating
16	Committee or the Select Committee on Discipline,
17	which is the adversarial part of the investigatory
18	process. Please take a look at House Rule 85 for
19	that.
20	Now, Minority Leader Durkin appointed
21	members of the Republican caucus to this Committee
22	to conduct this investigation. All three of these
23	members are very capable members.
24	Therefore, I am offering a compromise to

1	Leader Durkin, and I believe that Leader Durkin can
2	give his opening statement and questions to
3	Representative Demmer or to Representative Wehrli or
4	to Representative Mazzochi as members of this
5	Committee, and one of them would be allowed to give
6	the opening statement. Then we would go to the
7	questioning of Mr. Glockner, and then one of them
8	could also or all of them could split up the
9	questions. I believe that is a fair compromise.
10	Leader Durkin, are you willing to accept
11	that compromise?
12	REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: Chair.
13	CHAIRMAN WELCH: You're not recognized
14	right now. I'm talking to Leader Durkin.
15	LEADER DURKIN: May I be recognized?
16	CHAIRMAN WELCH: I asked a question,
17	Leader. Are you willing to accept that compromise?
18	LEADER DURKIN: For purposes of the
19	question, what you have stated is that you will
20	allow me to offer, as a Petitioner and a Member of
21	the General Assembly and also a constitutionally
22	created position as House Republican Leader, the
23	ability to make an opening statement on my case as
24	Petitioner, correct?
1	

1	CHAIRMAN WELCH: What I have said is that
2	you can give your opening statement and your
3	questions to one of the Members of the Committee and
4	they will do those items. Because under the rules,
5	sir, you are not allowed to be a part of this
6	investigatory process.
7	LEADER DURKIN: If you read the rules,
8	sir
9	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Because you signed the
10	Petition.
11	LEADER DURKIN: If you read the rules,
12	Rule 91, a petitioner is a minority leader. I am
13	prohibited from serving if I signed the Petition,
14	I cannot serve on the Committee. But your letter
15	yesterday states that I cannot participate, and that
16	is not what your House Rules state.
17	So as I said, this is an open public
18	meeting. I plan to give my opening statement, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN WELCH: You will not be
20	recognized for an opening statement, Leader.
21	LEADER DURKIN: Can you cite where in the
22	House Rules that I am not able to I am not
23	allowed to provide an opening statement as the
24	Petitioner, as we do in any other forum within this

1 country? I believe that what you are doing is 2 stretching the reality and the rules beyond all 3 imagination, sir. And I believe that I have the 4 inherent right, in my position as a sitting Member 5 and also as the Petitioner, to make my case and make 6 my statement personally. 7 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Leader, with all due 8 respect, sir, you and I are friends outside of here, 9 and you know how much I respect you, but you're 10 wrong on this. Let me finish. 11 Out of deference to you, at the 12 organizational meeting, I allowed you as one of the 13 Petitioners to put the Petition into the record --14 out of deference. However, on Thursday, you sent me 15 a letter telling me what you're going to do at this 16 Committee that I chair. That letter, in and of 17 itself, was improper and out of order. Under House Rule 10, which I specifically mentioned during the 18 19 organizational meeting, this Committee will conduct 20 itself pursuant to House Rule 10. And under House 21 Rule 10(c), the chair has the discretion to deviate. 22 I am not granting that request that you instructed 23 me to do. That is out of order. We are going to 24 follow precedent. What you're asking has never been

1	dono in the history of this committee hefore New
1	done in the history of this committee before. Now,
2	I am conscious of committees that will come in the
3	future. We are not going to set that precedent. On
4	all committees of this Body, the General Assembly,
5	the House of Representatives, we do not allow
6	nonmembers of the Committee to ask questions, and
7	that is what we're doing in this case.
8	LEADER DURKIN: Well, I will just for
9	the record, Representative Manley did ask questions
10	of me last meeting. Seems to be there is a waiver
11	issue.
12	But secondly, my goal is to provide an
13	opening statement and nothing more. I will not be
14	asking questions. I will be making an opening
15	statement which describes what I believe the
16	evidence will lay out and then I will finish.
17	CHAIRMAN WELCH: You just said something
18	different and I want to get it clear on the record.
19	You just said here now something different than in
20	your letter. Now you are asking if you could give
21	an opening statement, and you will allow the Members
22	to ask the questions. Is that what you just said?
23	LEADER DURKIN: What I am saying is that
24	I am just here to provide an opening statement.

1 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Members of the Committee 2 will ask the questions, correct? 3 LEADER DURKIN: That's correct. That is 4 my one purpose for today, sir, is to provide an 5 opening statement and nothing more. 6 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Give me a moment, 7 please. 8 I just want to note for the record that, 9 on September 24, 2020, I received a letter from 10 Leader Durkin at about 8:30 in the morning. In that 11 letter, he stated that, as I am the Petitioner in 12 this matter, I am writing to inform you that I will 13 proceed with my Petition or case-in-chief in the 14 following manner: 15 No. 1, as Petitioner, I will be 16 presenting an opening statement at the September 29 17 hearing. 18 No. 2, as Petitioner, I, or my designated 19 legal counsel, shall be the first party to ask 20 questions of any of the witnesses who appear at the 21 next or any subsequent hearing regarding the 22 Petition that was filed. 23 And then 3, you noted that a 24 representative of Commonwealth Edison had confirmed

1	that they will be here today.
2	For the record, Leader, I am asking you
3	to confirm that you are stating that you, as the
4	Minority Leader, would like to give an opening
5	statement here today; is that correct?
6	LEADER DURKIN: That's correct. And I
7	also, for the record, would like to state that I am
8	withdrawing the second statement in that letter,
9	that I stated that I would be asking questions. I
10	might as I said earlier, I would like the
11	opportunity to make an opening statement, sir.
12	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Leader, you and I are
13	friends. We go way, way, way back. And as the
14	Minority Leader, not as a Petitioner, I am willing
15	to make the motion to allow you to give an opening
16	statement, and the questioning of witnesses will be
17	done by this Committee, the Investigating Committee.
18	So I make that motion. Is there a second?
19	REPRESENTATIVE HERNANDEZ: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Representative Hernandez
21	second. Roll call.
22	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Mr.
23	Chairman, I would like a clarification on the
24	motion.

CHAIRMAN WELCH: The motion is to allow 1 2 Minority Leader Durkin to make an opening statement, 3 and all questions will be done by the Committee 4 Members only. 5 REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: So on the second piece of that, I would cite there is 6 7 precedent in the Smith investigative committee in 8 which counsel asked questions. I would like to 9 retain the option that Members of the Committee or 10 counsel would be able to ask questions. 11 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Counsel for the 12 Committee? 13 REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: That our 14 counsel. In the Smith case, counsel at the time was 15 Mr. Ellis, and he asked questions of Mr. Smith's 16 attorney. 17 CHAIRMAN WELCH: He was counsel for the 18 Committee. 19 REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: We would 20 like to retain the ability for our designated 21 counsel to ask questions of witnesses. 22 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Who is your designated 23 counsel? 24 REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Mr.

1 Safer. CHAIRMAN WELCH: He is counsel to the 2 3 Committee? He has never counseled me. REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: He is 4 5 the counsel to the Republican Members of the Committee. We each have counsel. Mr. Safer is 6 7 serving as counsel to the Committee. 8 CHAIRMAN WELCH: I disagree with that. Ι 9 think questions should come from Members of this Committee. 10 REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: 11 As I 12 said, in the only case that we have as precedent 13 here, Mr. Ellis, who was not a member of the 14 committee but instead was counsel, asked questions 15 of the attorney for Mr. Smith, who appeared before 16 the committee. 17 CHAIRMAN WELCH: I'm going to withdraw my 18 motion. 19 Leader Durkin's letter of September 24 20 specifically referred to Mr. Safer as his designated 21 legal counsel. And unlike special investigation 22 committees of the past, unfortunately, this has had 23 a lot of partisan nature to this particular one. In 24 the past, this has only been done when members have

1	been charged and under indictment and this committee
2	has been able to work together in a
3	nonpartisan-bipartisan way. And I am very conscious
4	of the precedent that we are setting here today.
5	And to allow a lawyer, who is not lawyer to the
6	entire Committee, to ask questions of a witness, I
7	am just not comfortable with, especially when Leader
8	Durkin's letter refers to him as his designated
9	counsel. Now, Leader Durkin is a Petitioner and
10	accuser in this case. That is just not proper.
11	I am willing, again, as a compromise, to
12	allow Leader Durkin to make his opening statement.
13	However, the questions, just like at all other
14	committees, should be done by members sitting on a
15	committee. If we can reach agreement on that, we
16	can proceed and not waste Mr. Glockner's and Mr.
17	Schar's time.
18	Leader Durkin, are you comfortable with
19	that, as I'm suggesting?
20	LEADER DURKIN: Yes, I am.
21	CHAIRMAN WELCH: You are comfortable with
22	that?
23	LEADER DURKIN: I am comfortable.
24	Correct.

1	CHAIRMAN WELCH: One moment, please. One
2	moment. This room is kind of small. I can see you
3	guys, all right. Everyone will be recognized today.
4	I want to put on the record, under House
5	Rule 95, proceedings before the Select Committee on
6	Discipline shall be the one that is adversarial in
7	form, with the managers for the House presenting the
8	case for the disciplinary action.
9	You guys are jumping ahead in the
10	process. I just wanted to get that on the record.
11	This is not supposed to be adversarial. This is
12	supposed to be investigatory. And I think this
13	Committee, who I have gotten to know quite well, are
14	very capable of investigating and asking questions.
15	And Leader Durkin has indicated on the record that
16	he is comfortable with the compromise I'm proposing.
17	Is that correct, Leader?
18	LEADER DURKIN: That is correct. And
19	also, the witnesses should not be left with pulling
20	strings out of the bag and let them talk. I think
21	that there should be interchange between the
22	witnesses and also the Committee Members.
23	CHAIRMAN WELCH: There will be. There
24	will be back and forth.

1	LEADER DURKIN: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Are we in agreement
3	before I make my motion?
4	LEADER DURKIN: I am prepared to move
5	forward. And yes, I am in agreement.
6	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Thank you.
7	Okay. At this time, I would like to make
8	a motion to allow Leader Durkin to make Minority
9	Leader Durkin, not in his capacity as one of the
10	Petitioners, to make an opening statement here
11	today. All questioning of witnesses will be done by
12	Members of this Committee.
13	Is there a second?
14	REPRESENTATIVE HERNANDEZ: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN WELCH: It has been moved and
16	properly seconded to allow Leader Durkin to make an
17	opening statement. All witnesses will be questioned
18	by Members of this Committee.
19	Roll call vote, please.
20	CLERK: Welch.
21	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Yes.
22	REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: May can we
23	have discussion?
24	CHAIRMAN WELCH: We are in the middle of

```
Page 26
```

```
our roll call vote.
1
2
                REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Can we have
 3
    discussion?
                CHAIRMAN WELCH: We are in the midst of
4
5
    our roll call vote. I vote yes.
                CLERK: Demmer.
 6
7
                REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Yes.
                CLERK: Hernandez.
8
9
               REPRESENTATIVE HERNANDEZ: Yes.
10
                CLERK: Manley.
11
                REPRESENTATIVE MANLEY: I have a question
12
    before we move forward -- before I cast my vote.
13
                CHAIRMAN WELCH: We are in the midst of
14
    the roll call, Representative.
15
                REPRESENTATIVE MANLEY: Can we suspend
16
    that while I ask a parliamentary question?
17
                CHAIRMAN WELCH: I will withdraw my
18
    motion.
19
               You want to withdraw your second?
20
               REPRESENTATIVE HERNANDEZ: Yes.
21
               CHAIRMAN WELCH: Motion has been
22
    withdrawn. I'm going to recognize Leader Manley,
23
    and then we'll recognize Representative Mazzochi.
24
               REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Thank you.
```

1	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Leader Manley.
2	REPRESENTATIVE MANLEY: If we allow
3	based on the motion that you just previously said,
4	is there any reason that Leader Durkin will not be
5	eligible or not be able to be called before this
6	Committee as a witness in the future? I need to
7	know for sure.
8	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Give me one moment.
9	REPRESENTATIVE MANLEY: Sure.
10	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Leader Manley, can you
11	state your question on the record again? I just
12	want to be clear what the question is.
13	REPRESENTATIVE MANLEY: Certainly.
14	My question is, if we allow Leader
15	Durkin, as the House Minority Leader, to make an
16	opening statement at this Committee hearing, will
17	that in any way prohibit us from calling him as a
18	witness in the future? I think that's very
19	important.
20	CHAIRMAN WELCH: It is my opinion, after
21	seeking legal counsel, that we can still call Leader
22	Durkin as a witness.
23	On the record, I want to ask Leader
24	Durkin: You are waiving any right to claim that we

1	can't call you as a witness, right?
2	LEADER DURKIN: Counsel, as you know,
3	opening statements are not evidence. I am not a
4	witness. I am giving an opening statement.
5	CHAIRMAN WELCH: But you acknowledge the
6	fact
7	LEADER DURKIN: But I will not try to
8	stand in your shoes. I am not going to make that
9	respond to that. That is an important matter for
10	the Committee.
11	CHAIRMAN WELCH: You acknowledge that you
12	could possibly be a witness before this Committee,
13	correct?
14	LEADER DURKIN: Chairman Welch, you can
15	decide that, and also your Members, on how you want
16	to move forward. I am not a witness today. I am
17	making an opening statement, which is not evidence,
18	as you know, and we'll take it from there.
19	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Thank you.
20	Representative Mazzochi, I apologize
21	again. It is your opportunity.
22	REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Thank you,
23	Chairman.
24	One of the concerns that I have about

1	suggesting that Members of the Committee cannot rely
2	on counsel to either facilitate the questioning or
3	to even participate in questioning is that, while,
4	you know, Mr. Welch, you are an attorney, I am an
5	attorney, there are other individuals where you may
6	have a future committee comprised of this that is
7	not made up of attorneys, where they may need to be
8	able to ask particular nuanced investigative
9	questions. They need to have particular knowledge
10	of criminal law and statutes in a way that your
11	typical legislator may not.
12	So because, again, I am very concerned
13	about precedent, as well, going forward, I am very
14	concerned about the notion that we are taking the
15	position that committee only committee members
16	can ever ask questions of a witness and that they
17	cannot also rely on advice and assistance from
18	potential legal counsel to facilitate the
19	investigation.
20	I also think that, from the perspective
21	of an efficiency standpoint, you can sometimes get
22	through things a lot more quickly when you have
23	professional attorneys asking questions. We know
24	that this is done very frequently at the federal

1	level in the context of investigative committees. I
2	don't think it's out of the ordinary to say that we
3	should be allowed to do this at the state level.
4	And to the extent the parties cannot the Members
5	of the Committee cannot agree on one counsel, then
6	certainly, if they can agree on, you know,
7	particular Members which have counsel and other
8	Members wish to have counsel, as long as the time is
9	divided up fairly, then that seems to me to be an
10	appropriate way to move forward.
11	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Representative Mazzochi,
12	let me first say, we may not agree on policy, but I
13	respect you for what you do here, and I respect you
14	for what you do out of here. I think you are a very
15	capable attorney who can handle yourself quite well,
16	and I'm assuming that is why Leader Durkin appointed
17	you to this Committee.
18	Also, just as you have all seen me lean
19	over and seek advice of our counsel, there is
20	nothing prohibiting you from leaning over and
21	seeking advice from your counsel.
22	However, only Members of this Committee
23	will be allowed to ask questions of witnesses.
24	Representative Wehrli.

1 REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: Thank you, 2 Chairman. 3 My question to you as the Chair is, what 4 is the basis of your accusation that Leader Durkin 5 would be a material witness? And do you have a similar basis of findings or belief that Leader 6 7 Manley or Representative Hernandez can also be a 8 material witness to this investigation? 9 Well, first of all, let CHAIRMAN WELCH: 10 me say that Representative Leader Durkin is a 11 Petitioner. He signed the Petition, and our rules 12 specifically address that particular issue. 13 Secondly, Leader Durkin and former 14 Governor Bruce Rauner put on a press release where 15 they say they have to move mountains to get energy 16 legislation passed in 2016. And so that only leaves 17 the possibility of us calling him as a witness. Ι haven't said he will be a witness for sure, but the 18 19 fact that he could possibly be a witness is yet 20 another reason, in addition to the fact that he is a 21 Petitioner. I am not aware of anything that would 22 disqualify any of us who are Members of this 23 Committee from serving in our roles and being able 24 to ask questions here.

1	There being no further one seeking
2	recognition, I move to allow Leader Durkin to make
3	an opening statement in his capacity as the Minority
4	Leader, not as a Petitioner, and that only Members
5	of this Committee will ask witnesses questions
6	during this process.
7	Is there a second?
8	REPRESENTATIVE HERNANDEZ: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN WELCH: It has been moved and
10	properly seconded. Any further discussion?
11	Seeing none, Madam Clerk, please call the
12	roll.
13	CLERK: Welch.
14	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Yes.
15	CLERK: Demmer.
16	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Yes.
17	CLERK: Hernandez.
18	HERNANDEZ: Yes.
19	CLERK: Manley.
20	REPRESENTATIVE MANLEY: Yes.
21	CLERK: Mazzochi.
22	MAZZOCHI: No.
23	CLERK: Wehrli.
24	REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN WELCH: On a vote of 5 voting
2	yes, 1 voting no, the majority voting, Leader Durkin
3	will be recognized for an opening statement.
4	Let me say this just procedurally really
5	quickly. I want to recognize Leader Durkin for an
6	opening statement. I am then going to ask
7	introduce the witness and, if he has any opening
8	statement, allow him to make an opening statement,
9	and then we will proceed to Members of the Committee
10	asking questions. And I intend today to go in order
11	of seniority, but I'm going to alternate parties. I
12	will begin the questioning. I will then go to
13	Leader Demmer and bounce back and forth, Democrat,
14	Republican.
15	Okay. Leader Demmer.
16	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Thank
17	you, Mr. Chair.
18	As we enter some items into the record as
19	exhibits, we had quite a few documents that were
20	tied up in the initial motion. We had some
21	disagreement over witness response letters, but I
22	would move that the correspondence between me and
23	you and the U.S. Attorney's office, those series of
24	letters, be admitted into the record as exhibits.

1 REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Second. CHAIRMAN WELCH: It has been moved and 2 3 properly seconded to move letters between myself and 4 Representative Demmer and U.S. Attorney Mr. Lausch 5 and U.S. Attorney Mr. Ricorus into the record. All 6 letters, I'm assuming your second letter and your 7 second response from Mr. Lausch. 8 Give me one moment. 9 There's a motion that has been moved and 10 properly seconded on the floor. Everyone understands the motion. Any discussion? 11 12 Anyone object to this passing on leave? 13 Seeing no objection, leave being granted, 14 on a motion of 6 voting yes, no voting no, none 15 voting present, those items will be moved into the 16 record. 17 Representative Mazzochi. 18 REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Thank you, 19 Mr. Chairman. 20 For the convenience of the Committee, I 21 have prepared subpoenas directed to Michael McClain, 22 and I would hereby move that this Committee issue a subpoena to Michael McClain for testimony to appear 23 24 before this Committee on or prior to October 15,

1 2020. 2 REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: Second, Wehrli. 3 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Let me ask this 4 question, and I'm not asking you to not make your motion. Can we deal with this after Leader Durkin 5 has made his opening statement, after Mr. Glockner 6 7 and Mr. Schar have finished here, and we would deal 8 with this before we adjourn today? 9 REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Yes. I 10 actually wanted to do it before our last Committee 11 meeting, but as long as you're committing that we 12 will get to it before we adjourn for the day, then that's fine. 13 14 CHAIRMAN WELCH: I am committed to 15 that. 16 REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Trying to be respectful 18 of the folks in front of us. 19 Motion withdrawn. 20 Leader Durkin, you are recognized, sir. 21 LEADER DURKIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 22 and thank you, ladies and gentlemen of the Special 23 Investigative Committee. 24 I would like to begin with this following

1 statement. 2 CHAIRMAN WELCH: I've gotten several 3 messages that you can't be heard, and I don't want 4 to be accused of you not being heard. Can you speak 5 up a little bit? LEADER DURKIN: All right. I have to 6 7 wear this, correct? 8 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Yes, you do. 9 LEADER DURKIN: You got it. How is this? 10 All right. Thank you. 11 As I said, I would like to begin with the 12 following statement: While we have seen major steps 13 forward, we have also seen the good work of the many 14 honest members of this legislature be overshadowed 15 by the wrongdoing of individuals who have sought to 16 put themselves first. It is clear that we must take 17 significant steps within the coming weeks to restore 18 confidence in state government. 19 Those words were not mine, but they 20 should serve as a roadmap of this Committee's work. 21 Those words were spoken by Speaker Michael J. 22 Madigan on January 29, 2020, after Governor 23 Pritzker's state-of-the-state address in the wake of 24 the corruption scandals of Senator Martin Sandoval

1 and Assistant House Majority Leader Luis Arroyo. 2 It was a different world in January of 3 2020. The coronavirus had not yet affected this 4 country. And Speaker Madigan's systematic pattern 5 of corruption had not been laid bare by Commonwealth Edison's admissions. 6 7 Now, we know that for almost a decade, 8 it, Commonwealth Edison, had bribed Speaker Madigan in exchange for and/or to reward favorable action on 9 10 legislation that saved Commonwealth Edison at least \$150 million. 11 12 The people of Illinois endured corruption 13 for so long that corruption has been on both sides 14 of the aisle in every level of government. Now you, 15 Members of the Committee, have been called upon of 16 doing the hard work of being impartial arbiters of 17 whether or not there are reasonable grounds to 18 support the charges in this case. 19 I do not believe that finding the 20 objective truth is hard. The evidence will be 21 direct, strong, convincing, and will meet the burden 22 of proof for this Committee. That is whether 23 reasonable grounds exist to authorize charges. 24 However, I am a realist and I believe it

1 will be hard to be objective. There are three 2 Democrats and three Republicans on this Committee, and no one in the State of Illinois believes that 3 4 this will come out any other way than a 3-3 split 5 along party lines. That is because, as the Speaker stated earlier, people have lost faith in 6 7 government. 8 This Committee has an opportunity to 9 restore some of the confidence people used to have 10 in Illinois government. And at a time where 11 partisanship has never been more extreme and our 12 system has never been more polarized, you can put 13 aside your party's affiliation and be those 14 impartial arbiters of the facts. If you do that, 15 there will only be one possible conclusion. 16 In this statement, I will describe the 17 evidence that is already in the record, the evidence that you hopefully will hear and the conclusion that 18 19 this evidence can house. Briefly, the Deferred 20 Prosecution Agreement, which can be referred to 21 interchangeably as the DPA. 22 The DPA is the evidence that compels a

24 sustain this charge, and it's already in the record.

conclusion that there are reasonable grounds to

23

1	We filed it last week. And of course, that evidence
2	is the Deferred Prosecution Agreement.
3	Now before I highlight what that Deferred
4	Prosecution Agreement says about the Speaker, let me
5	talk about what the Deferred Prosecution Agreement
6	represents in comparison to an indictment or a
7	complaint that has been mentioned over and over
8	again by the Chairman.
9	An indictment or a complaint is a
10	charging document that sets forth the government's
11	version of the facts. It is not evidence. And in
12	the Derrick Smith, it was not evidence. It is one
13	side's view of what the evidence will show. An
14	indictment or a complaint is inadmissible in any
15	court of law because it carries no evidentiary
16	value. And yes, in those situations of an
17	indictment or a complaint, the defendant is presumed
18	innocent.
19	In contrast, the Deferred Prosecution
20	Agreement, the DPA, contains an agreed upon
21	statement of facts between the government and the
22	defendant, in this case, Commonwealth Edison. It is
23	admissible evidence. It carries significant
24	consequences. Both sides, the government and the

1	defendant in a DPA, agree that the events described
2	in that document took place. That carries much more
3	weight and significance than a mere charge,
4	complaint, or indictment rendered by any one of
5	those. Think about it. What carries more weight,
6	an allegation or an admission of fact?
7	In this case, Commonwealth Edison has
8	admitted to a scheme that spanned almost a decade,
9	where Speaker Michael Madigan sought to obtain from
10	ComEd jobs, vendor subcontractors, and, ultimately,
11	a board position for his associates, including
12	precinct captains who operated in his legislative
13	district.
14	Commonwealth Edison admitted that they
15	paid person after person who was associated with
16	Speaker Michael Madigan thousands of dollars even
17	though those Madigan associates did little or no
18	work. Commonwealth Edison also admitted that
19	several of its executives and agents knew the
20	purpose of these payments and that was to influence
21	and reward Michael Madigan in connection with his
22	official duties.
23	For example, Commonwealth Edison admitted
24	that Michael Madigan and Anne Pramaggiore,

1 Commonwealth Edison's former CEO, to hire one of his 2 political allies who was retiring from Chicago's 3 City Council in May of 2018. ComEd followed 4 Madigan's directive and laundered payments to a 5 Madigan associate for \$5,000 a month to a lobbying 6 Importantly, Anne Pramaggiore agreed that company. 7 Michael Madigan could tell this person about the 8 arrangement rather than someone from ComEd. And why 9 did he do that? Because he wanted to make sure that 10 Michael Madigan got the credit directly for these 11 underhanded payments. 12 ComEd admitted that to conceal their 13 scheme and to prevent law enforcement from finding 14 out about its unlawful conduct with Michael Madigan, 15 ComEd wanted their payments to Madigan's associates 16 through consulting companies. The individuals at 17 ComEd paid, in order to influence or reward Michael Madigan, include one of Madigan's top three precinct 18 19 captains, former ward committeemen, and aldermen and 20 many others in what was described as part of an 21 old-fashioned patronage system. It's a publicly 22 held company, a public utility, one of the largest 23 public utilities in the United States. 24 ComEd admitted that it paid approximately

1	\$1.3 million to Michael Madigan's associates who did
2	little or no work for ComEd. Let me say that again.
3	One of the largest utilities in the nation paid over
4	\$1.3 million in bribes to influence or reward the
5	Speaker of the Illinois House of Representatives,
6	Michael Madigan, in connection with the advancement
7	and passage of legislation favorable to ComEd in the
8	General Assembly. In a state that has long
9	witnessed and suffered from scandal and corruption,
10	that admission, in itself, is breathtaking.
11	Now, keep in mind, when we talk about
12	precedent, let's go back to 2002. That's when we
13	threw former Representative Derrick Smith out of
14	this august body, the House of Representatives,
15	under the same process that we are here today.
16	Discipline a petition a discipline a
17	special investigative committee, a select committee
18	on discipline, and then a vote of the House. He was
19	thrown out of that body because he was accused of
20	taking a single \$7,000 bribe from a bribe from an
21	informant who was working for the federal
22	government.
23	Now, in this case, we have concrete,
24	solid evidence that, over the course of almost a

1	decade, ComEd's bribes totaled over 189 times the
2	amount that Derrick Smith was accused of taking.
3	The differences are stark. There is concrete
4	evidence versus a charge. There is a pattern of
5	bribes for almost a decade, which has been admitted
6	to by Commonwealth Edison, versus a single bribe.
7	And there is one other difference in
8	those two matters. Derrick Smith did not have the
9	clout that Michael Madigan has. With Derrick Smith,
10	there was a bipartisan effort to say that corruption
11	would not be tolerated, and yet it remains to be
12	seen whether this Committee will live up to that
13	precedent or let clout prevail.
14	Speaker Madigan's corruption went beyond
15	bribery with money. Commonwealth Edison admitted
16	that, in 2017, Michael Madigan wanted one of his
17	associates placed on ComEd's board of directors. No
18	small favor at all. There was opposition to this
19	within ComEd, as would be expected. CEO Anne
20	Pramaggiore asked Mike Madigan through his chosen
21	intermediary and gatekeeper, Mike McClain, whether
22	it would be okay if ComEd gave this person a job for
23	\$78,000 a year but not place him on the board. The
24	message came back from Madigan, not good enough.

1	Get him on the board. Ultimately, that deed was
2	done. Madigan got his board member. ComEd admitted
3	that person was appointed to influence and reward
4	Madigan in connection with his official duties.
5	Just like that. That would be enough to qualify as
6	an extraordinary pattern of corruption.
7	Unfortunately, there's more.
8	ComEd admitted to hiring a law firm with
9	the intent of influencing and rewarding Michael
10	Madigan. ComEd understood that giving that contract
11	to a particular law firm was important to Speaker
12	Michael Madigan. When the contract was up for
13	renewal, ComEd sought to change the contract with
14	the law firm because the firm was being paid for
15	many more hours than it had worked. ComEd sought to
16	pay only for the work the law firm had performed. I
17	would suggest that that is a reasonable business
18	position.
19	That excuse me.
20	Speaker Madigan would not have any of
21	that. Gatekeeper Mike McClain wrote to CEO
22	Pramaggiore: I am sure you know how valuable Lawyer
23	A is to our friend. That's code for Mike Madigan.
24	McClain wrote: I know this drill and so do you. If

1	you do not get involved and resolve this issue of
2	850 hours for this law partner per year, then he
3	will go to our friend, Michael Madigan, and our
4	friend will call me and then I will call you. Is
5	this a drill we must go through?
6	So it's very clear that this was not an
7	isolated incident. The pattern of ComEd pushing
8	back against Mike Madigan when he wanted to exploit
9	this corrupt relationship, Mike Madigan threatening
10	ComEd, and ComEd ultimately conceding to Madigan's
11	demands was a drill that they had all been through
12	before. Pramaggiore understood the threat and
13	didn't want to anger the Speaker of the House,
14	Michael Madigan.
15	Sorry, she wrote. No one informed me. I
16	am on this. Pramaggiore ordered the ComEd employee
17	who was assigned to assist in obtaining approval for
18	the Future Energy Jobs Act to ensure that Law Firm
19	A's contract was renewed under the terms that
20	Speaker Madigan demanded. This employee had nothing
21	to do with the legal work, but the law firm was
22	hired, in part, to influence Madigan's vote for
23	passage of FEJA. Of course, the contract was
24	renewed.
1	

1	We expect to call witness or witnesses
2	from ComEd. The gentleman to my right will explain
3	its relationship with Michael Madigan. He will
4	explain that they paid bribes. He will explain that
5	Michael Madigan had their economic fate in their
6	hands. The impact of this testimony is clear.
7	As I stated in my Petition, Speaker
8	Michael Madigan abused his office. Speaker Michael
9	Madigan abused the public's trust.
10	This afternoon, we'll attempt to subpoena
11	Mike McClain and others, as allowed under the
12	Illinois House Rules, but I don't know what they're
13	going to tell you if they do appear. It appears
14	that what you would have what they would have you
15	believe, in order to explain away the devastating
16	testimony from ComEd, they would have to say there
17	were no bribes. Yet, ComEd paid over \$1.3 million
18	to people and received no work in exchange for that
19	money. Yes, these people were former employees of
20	Michael Madigan and they were close associates of
21	Michael Madigan. But Speaker Michael Madigan had
22	nothing to do with it and didn't ask for it and
23	didn't know about it.
24	In order to buy that, you would have to

1	believe that Mike McClain, the gatekeeper, duped the
2	CEO and other top executives of one of the largest
3	utilities in the country for over a decade. Yes,
4	McClain said in a wiretap call that he was acting at
5	Madigan's behest. Yes, he wrote in emails that he
6	was acting at Madigan's behest. But he wasn't. He
7	pulled the wool over the eyes of Anne Pramaggiore?
8	Partner at one of the nation's largest law firms,
9	chair of the Federal Reserve Bank, CEO of Exelon,
10	Mike McClain took advantage of her? Mike McClain on
11	his own?
12	But they would have to testify also to
13	more than that. They would have to say that, when
14	ComEd paid over \$1.3 million in what they intended
15	to be bribes to so many close associates of Michael
16	Madigan as those payers, it not only was because
17	Madigan wanted it to happen, but he knew absolutely
18	nothing about it. Because if he did, if he did know
19	that ComEd was paying this money to his associates
20	as gifts, they would put two and two together, as
21	any reasonable person would, that ComEd was doing
22	this to curry favor with him. And if he were true
23	to his oath of office, he would go to ComEd and tell
24	them to stop. This is not going to work.

1	So in order to discredit ComEd's
2	admissions, you would have to believe that Michael
3	Madigan didn't know what was going on around him.
4	That would be an extremely tough sell to this
5	group to this group, to this Committee, let alone
6	12 jurors. It's impossible to sell that to you.
7	You know Michael Madigan. He is not ignorant of
8	what is going on around him. He is not naive and he
9	is not easily surprised.
10	I hope that Speaker Madigan changes his
11	mind because, not only myself, but Governor Pritzker
12	would like to hear what he has to say. The governor
13	of our state says the Speaker needs to come before
14	this Committee and explain it to you all.
15	Now, there have been suggestions that
16	these proceedings are political theater and are
17	being conducted to earn free media. Chairman Welch
18	and Speaker Madigan, this Committee was convened
19	under your House rules and not mine.
20	And if we are to believe that public
21	statements have been made about the motivation of
22	the convening of this Committee, we would have to
23	believe that there are two sets of rules in the
24	House, one for 117 members and another one for the

1 Speaker.

2	Those statements that I also mentioned
3	earlier about the political theater are truly
4	remarkable given what has transpired. Are we really
5	going to pretend that I, as the Republican Leader,
6	am creating this issue out of whole cloth for some
7	political purpose? Are we really going to pretend
8	that a major utility is not admitting in federal
9	court that the Speaker of the Illinois House of
10	Representatives, as a central figure in an almost
11	decades long, over \$1.3 million bribery scheme?
12	The DPA isn't an allegation that the
13	Speaker recommended someone for a job. It is an
14	admission of a systemically corrupt scheme. It is a
15	scheme that took place day after day, week after
16	week, month after month, year after year for
17	virtually the entire last decade. And it would
18	still be going on if it were not for the United
19	States Attorneys and FBI investigation. This is a
20	bribery scheme that is so pervasive that
21	Commonwealth Edison paid \$200 million as a penalty
22	for its conduct. If everyone believed that
23	Commonwealth Edison paid over \$1.3 million to affect
24	the Speaker's conduct as a Representative, but the

1	Speaker knew nothing about it, it is either
2	willfully ignorant or doesn't that person doesn't
3	know the first thing about Speaker Madigan and how
4	he operates.
5	If the Speaker wants to try to sell that
6	bill of goods to this Committee and to the public, I
7	look forward to him taking the oath, testifying, and
8	subjecting himself to cross-examination. The door
9	is still open.
10	No one should invoke the federal
11	investigation into Speaker Madigan's corruption as a
12	shield to this Committee's work. On September 14,
13	2020, representatives of this Committee spoke with
14	the U.S. Attorney about the Committee's desire to
15	respect the federal criminal investigation.
16	Somehow, Mr. Lausch's comments were interpreted as
17	saying that the Committee could call witnesses but
18	could not really ask any substantive questions.
19	In his letter of September 17, 2020, the
20	U.S. Attorney disabused everyone on that notion. He
21	said that we recognize the SIC's, Special
22	Investigative Committee's, independence in its
23	obligation to conduct its inquiry.
24	Mr. Lausch also wrote, in direct

1	contradiction of what the Speaker or what the
2	Speaker has said, we do not have a general objection
3	to the SIC seeking testimony from witnesses or the
4	production of documents in the course of the
5	investigation. We understand that the SIC's
6	investigation will include topics related to the
7	federal criminal investigation. But given that the
8	SIC has specifically referenced the DPA entered in
9	the ComEd entered by the Commonwealth Edison
10	Company, we recognize the SIC's separate and
11	independent responsibilities; and, therefore, we do
12	not object generally to the SIC's pursuit of
13	testimony or the production of documents on those
14	topics, even if the party previously shared the same
15	underlying factual information with federal
16	prosecutors or law enforcement agents, except as
17	admitted below.
18	The only exception were to witnesses who
19	asked only questions only exceptions were
20	questions that asked witnesses about information
21	provided to the witness by the government, and to
22	questions that asked witnesses to identify what they
23	told the government.
24	This very clear and straightforward

1	direction from the U.S. Attorney was somehow
2	interpreted differently in the invitation sent to
3	witnesses before this Committee.
4	Those letters told the witnesses that the
5	Committee would not ask about, among other things,
6	nonpublic information you provided to the federal
7	government in connection with its investigation.
8	That is directly contrary to what the U.S. Attorney
9	said and wrote.
10	After these letters were sent to
11	witnesses, Representative Demmer sent yet another
12	letter to the United States Attorney's office asking
13	if the letter sent by this Committee to witnesses
14	accurately reflected his objections. Once again,
15	the U.S. Attorney emphatically stated: To be clear,
16	this office has not objected generally to witnesses
17	providing nonpublic information and such, an
18	understanding of the objections in our office's
19	September 17 letter would be incorrect. There was
20	no remaining doubt that the letter sent to the
21	witnesses were wrong about the United States
22	Attorney's office objections.
23	Now, Speaker Madigan wrote to this
24	Committee, in light of Mr. Lausch's letter to the

1	Committee: In order to ensure that the federal
2	investigation runs its course without interference
3	or distraction, I do not believe that I can provide
4	testimony for the Committee at this time.
5	That's not true. That's false. The U.S.
6	Attorney had made it clear in its phone conversation
7	on September 17, in his letter, in his phone
8	conversation, in his September 23 letter, that he
9	does not object to witnesses testifying and
10	providing nonpublic information. There's no
11	interference. There's no interference based on what
12	was stated incorrectly in Speaker Madigan's letter
13	to this Committee.
14	The U.S. Attorney's office was given
15	Michael Madigan's name as a witness, and he said
16	that he did not object to Speaker Madigan testifying
17	before the SIC. The Speaker is concerned for the
18	federal government's investigation into his criminal
19	conduct is interesting. But in context, it's a
20	transparent attempt to avoid his responsibility to
21	appear before this Committee, answer this charge,
22	and testify before this Committee.
23	If he chooses not to do so, it's to
24	protect it is not to protect the United States

1 Attorney's office. It is to protect himself from 2 cross-examination. 3 Let me end where I began with Speaker 4 Madigan's words. Let's be clear, bad actors will 5 always try to game the system and break the law. We 6 must commit to sending the clear sign that the game 7 is over and every step will be taken to prosecute. 8 As I conclude, I'll just state that the 9 evidence that supports this charge is overwhelming, 10 it's strong, it's direct. Speaker Madigan abused 11 his office. Speaker Madigan abused the public 12 Speaker Madigan committed conduct unbecoming trust. a Representative. That charge will be proved beyond 13 14 any doubt, let alone the applicable standard, 15 reasonable grounds. 16 To fulfill your obligation -- if you 17 fulfill your obligation and astute rank partisanship, you will have no choice but to vote 18 19 for disciplinary action for the next stage in this 20 process. 21 Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Thank you, Leader 23 Durkin. 24 Before we move on, Mr. Glockner, I just

1	want to make a quick note, just for the record, and
2	remind everyone in attendance and those listening
3	via live streaming that Leader Durkin was provided
4	an opportunity via agreement of the Committee to
5	make an opening statement. Opening statements are
6	not evidence. These are his opinions, not
7	statements of fact provided under oath.
8	Leader Durkin appears by his opening
9	statement to know quite a bit. So we will look
10	forward to welcoming Leader Durkin back at some
11	point as a witness under oath.
12	At this time, I would like to move and
13	recognize our guests here today.
14	Mr. David Glockner, the Executive Vice
15	President of Compliance and Audit for Exelon
16	Corporation and all of its operating companies. In
17	that role, he is responsible for ensuring that the
18	company and its employees remain in compliance with
19	all laws, regulations, and internal and external
20	policies affecting Exelon and its operating
21	companies.
22	Mr. Glockner, welcome here today as our
23	first witness. I would like to ask you, sir, if you
24	would raise your right hand.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9/29/2020

Page 56

1 DAVID GLOCKNER, 2 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 3 4 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Thank you, 5 Mr. Glockner. Welcome. 6 Before the Committee launches into questions, I would like to ask if you would like to 7 8 begin with an opening statement of some kind? 9 MR. GLOCKNER: So thank you, Chairman. Ι 10 don't have an opening -- I don't have -- can you 11 hear me? 12 I don't have an opening statement. I do 13 think it would be useful if I just explained briefly 14 a couple of parameters that I'm going to --15 CHAIRMAN WELCH: A little closer, like 16 this, especially with the masks that we are wearing. 17 MR. GLOCKNER: Okay. Any better? 18 All right. I think it would be helpful 19 if I explained a couple of parameters that will 20 govern my ability to answer questions today. 21 First, I need to be respectful, as I am 22 sure the Committee wishes to be, of the constraints 23 that have been placed on us by the U.S. Attorney's office. Certain information we received from the 24

1	U.S. Attorney's office or our counsel received from
2	the U.S. Attorney's office in connection with this
3	matter I will not be able to disclose.
4	And then I would also note that
5	information that I have received or the company has
6	received through the internal investigation
7	conducted by our outside counsel, subject to
8	attorney-client privilege, is also information that
9	I'm not in a position to disclose.
10	What I can do in answering your questions
11	to the best of my ability is speak to facts that are
12	known to us from the documents and other materials
13	in our possession and from other nonprivileged
14	sources. But I'll do the best I can in being
15	responsive to the Committee's questions.
16	The only thing I think I should probably
17	note is, I joined the company in March of this year.
18	So I was not with the company at the time these
19	events transpired. So my personal knowledge does
20	not extend to some of the matters that I will be
21	testifying about today.
22	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Thank you, Mr. Glockner.
23	That was actually one of the questions I was going
24	to ask.

1	And I just want to, just for the record,
2	identify and, again, be clear on the record that Mr.
3	Schar is here in his capacity as your attorney as an
4	employee of ComEd; is that correct, Mr. Schar?
5	MR. SCHAR: That's correct. I am not a
6	witness.
7	CHAIRMAN WELCH: You are not a witness.
8	You took the words out of my mouth, sir.
9	Thank you so much.
10	I just want to begin with just a few
11	questions just to establish some things on the
12	record. And again, thank you all for coming down
13	today.
14	EXAMINATION
15	BY CHAIRMAN WELCH
16	Q. Mr. Glockner, let me begin by asking why
17	is it that you, Mr. Glockner, decided to come here
18	today?
19	A. So I came here today because it's very
20	important to ComEd and Exelon that we cooperate to
21	the extent possible with the Committee's efforts.
22	And my role at the company as the executive
23	responsible for our compliance and audit program is
24	to help ensure that, going forward, we act in the

1 most ethical and legally compliant manner possible. 2 Part of that has -- part of that role has 3 involved putting into place a series of ethics and 4 compliance reforms since I joined the company. But 5 part of that is also making sure that we continue to 6 be as cooperative as possible with those in the 7 public sector and others who are attempting to get 8 to the truth of what happened at ComEd. 9 Q. With the exception of the letter inviting 10 you here today and your email confirmation of 11 attendance that we received from your counsel, have 12 you or other employees of ComEd, officers of ComEd, 13 or representatives of the company had any 14 communications with the Petitioners who signed the 15 Petition in this case, any of the Members here, any 16 of our staffers, like the attorneys, in the last 17 month? 18 MR. SCHAR: The only -- I'll jump in 19 here, Chairman. 20 I was in contact with Petitioner's 21 counsel regarding a request to appear and the 22 logistics of it and was informed that I could 23 confirm for the company Mr. Glockner's appearance 24 through Petitioner's counsel. It became clear I

1 could not do that, at which point, I believe on 2 Friday evening, I confirmed Mr. Glockner's 3 appearance. 4 Other than that, there was not that we're 5 aware of. MR. GLOCKNER: I have had no such 6 communication. 7 BY CHAIRMAN WELCH: 8 9 Q. And Petitioner's counsel being Mr. Safer, 10 for the record? 11 Α. Yes. Correct. That has been -- the only communication 12 Ο. 13 with anyone involved in this process has been with 14 Mr. Safer? 15 MR. SCHAR: Other than the confirmation 16 that you noted of via our attendance today. 17 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Thank you, sir. 18 BY CHAIRMAN WELCH: 19 Mr. Glockner --Ο. 20 MR. SCHAR: May I add one thing? Prior 21 to Mr. Safer, there was another attorney who had 22 reached out also indicating representation by the 23 name of Robert Milan, who I had very preliminary discussions with. But I understand he is not 24

involved. 1 CHAIRMAN WELCH: What is his name again? 2 3 MR. SCHAR: Robert Milan, M-i-l-a-n. 4 CHAIRMAN WELCH: And who did he 5 represent? 6 MR. SCHAR: My understanding, again, just 7 through his communications with me, also the 8 Petitioner. 9 CHAIRMAN WELCH: If you don't -- I know 10 you're not the witness, Mr. Schar. But I just want 11 to ask you, when did you have your conversation with Mr. Schar -- Mr. Safer? 12 MR. SCHAR: That would have been last 13 14 week. 15 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Last week. Was that the 16 first and only time that you talked to Mr. Safer 17 about this? 18 MR. SCHAR: I think there might have been 19 a handful of conversations last week, understanding 20 the timing of the Committee's hearing and the 21 subject matter. I couldn't give you the exact 22 dates, but it would have been in the last week, week 23 and a half. 24 CHAIRMAN WELCH: And those communications

1 were only with Mr. Safer? 2 MR. SCHAR: Only with Mr. Safer. 3 BY CHAIRMAN WELCH: 4 Mr. Glockner, in preparation for coming Q. 5 here today, with whom did you communicate with in 6 preparation for this hearing? 7 Α. Sir, I communicated with our outside counsel. I communicated with a number of others 8 9 within ComEd and Exelon. 10 Are you able to tell us who those people Ο. 11 were that you communicated with in preparation for 12 the hearing? 13 Α. Counsel beyond Mr. Schar would have 14 included Gayle Littleton at Jenner and Block, Nicole 15 Allen at Jenner and Block. 16 Other -- at ComEd, a larger number, but 17 really more about logistics. 18 In terms of the substantive work, really 19 that was essentially my review of the DPA, my work 20 in connection with attorneys at Jenner in terms of understanding what information we had underlying the 21 22 DPA that might be nonprivileged and we might be in a 23 position to share with the Committee. I don't know 24 that I would really characterize communications that

1 I had with others at ComEd or Exelon as being 2 preparation for this. 3 0. Other than attorneys -- I don't want to 4 ask you about conversations with your attorneys. 5 The nonattorneys that you spoke with in 6 preparation for this hearing, can you tell us who 7 those people were are? 8 Α. If you -- if by preparation you mean sort of discuss anything having to do with the hearing? 9 10 Ο. Yes. To take it very broadly, that would 11 Α. 12 include Judy Rader, head of our communication team; 13 others on her staff, including Bill Gibbons; Paul 14 Elsberg, who is ComEd's communication staff; Joe 15 Dominguez, who is CEO of ComEd; William Von Hoene, 16 the executive vice president of -- Senior Executive 17 Vice President of Exelon; Veronica Gomez, I think the general counsel of ComEd. All of those I would 18 19 really describe as kind of nonsubstantive 20 communications, however. 21 Everyone you just listed were all 0. 22 nonsubstantive conversations? 23 I think so. I mean, there were -- there Α. 24 also were some joint communications with counsel and

1	others within ComEd and Exelon.
2	Q. Other than the attorneys for ComEd and
3	Exelon, have you given us all of the names of the
4	nonattorneys that you have spoken with in
5	preparation for this hearing?
6	A. I have given you the names of those that
7	I can remember.
8	Q. In preparation for this hearing, did you
9	speak with Anne Pramaggiore?
10	A. No.
11	Q. In preparation for this hearing, did you
12	speak with Fidel Marquez?
13	A. No.
14	Q. In preparation for this hearing, did you
15	speak with John Hooker?
16	A. No.
17	Q. In preparation for this hearing, did you
18	speak with Michael McClain?
19	A. No. None of those are current ComEd
20	employees. I don't have access to them.
21	Q. Understood. I just want to ask, just for
22	the record, the Committee sent the formal invitation
23	requesting your presence here today or a
24	representative of Commonwealth Edison here today.

1	Instead of responding to the request, ComEd we
2	found out about it because Paul Elsberry was quoted
3	in a publication in the Chicago Tribune also, I
4	believe, actually mentioned your name.
5	But I had no formal indication that
6	anyone from ComEd was coming here today. And no one
7	on the Committee did, including our counsel,
8	Margaret Livingston, who is sitting here to my
9	right.
10	So I think I put out a statement at five
11	o'clock on Friday that we had not received any
12	formal notification of any witnesses attending here,
13	and it was not intended to be misleading in any way.
14	However, we did receive an email from Mr. Schar
15	about seven o'clock Friday night, indicating that a
16	representative from ComEd would be here today. And
17	then yesterday, we received an email from Mr. Schar
18	with your name.
19	So was there any particular reason that
20	ComEd did not respond to us until after seven
21	o'clock last Friday?
22	MR. SCHAR: Let me I might be the best
23	person to answer that question because that's on
24	counsel and not Mr. Glockner or Commonwealth Edison.

1	In my conversations with Mr. Safer, he
2	had indicated that my confirmation to him that
3	Mr. Glockner would appear met the requirements of
4	the notice I received from Ms. Livingston, I
5	believe. I received an email, I believe it was
6	later on Friday evening, from Mr. Safer indicating
7	that that a formal response to the email was
8	required, and I, thereafter I think, pretty
9	shortly thereafter responded formally to
10	Ms. Livingston. I believe I received an email
11	Monday I can't be certain might have been late
12	on Sunday evening or Monday morning asking for
13	the specific name. Monday was a holiday I do
14	observe, so I was not able to get back to her with
15	that name until later in the day on Monday. But as
16	soon as I was able to get back and active, I did
17	provide that name. So that's the sequence of events
18	that led, and I apologize if there was confusion.
19	And as outside counsel, I take responsibility for
20	that.
21	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Thank you, Mr. Schar.
22	BY CHAIRMAN WELCH:
23	Q. Mr. Glockner, what can you tell us about
24	the Deferred Prosecution Agreement?

1	A. Well, I executed it on behalf of the
2	company, so I am familiar with the facts underlying
3	the Deferred Prosecution Agreement, and I'm not
4	really sure where to begin in terms of answering
5	that question.
6	Q. But you were the person that executed it
7	on behalf of the company?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Your signature is on the Deferred
10	Prosecution Agreement?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And as you you stated in your opening
13	statement of sorts, your signature is on the
14	Deferred Prosecution Agreement, but it is not based
15	on any of your personal knowledge of facts,
16	correct?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. I want to ask you a question because I
19	know you are also a respected attorney, as well.
20	What what constitutes a crime of
21	bribery under federal law as it applies to the
22	Deferred Prosecution Agreement?
23	A. So Chairman, that's really a that's a
24	legal question that that I'm not really prepared

1	to answer in this context. In the Deferred
2	Prosecution Agreement, ComEd has made admissions
3	with respect to a number of facts. ComEd has been
4	charged with bribery. ComEd has not pled guilty to
5	bribery, has not been convicted of bribery. If
6	ComEd complies with the terms of the DPA, at the end
7	of three years, ComEd will not stand convicted of
8	the admissions that ComEd has made in the Deferred
9	Prosecution Agreement. I think they speak for
10	themselves, and I would rather not characterize them
11	further.
12	Q. Is a public official guilty of bribery
13	because someone tries to bribe them or is there more
14	to it? Are you allowed to answer that?
15	A. So I think, with all due respect, my role
16	
	here is as a fact witness with respect to matters
17	here is as a fact witness with respect to matters related to ComEd. I don't think I'm best equipped
17 18	-
	related to ComEd. I don't think I'm best equipped
18	related to ComEd. I don't think I'm best equipped to provide, essentially, legal advice or testimony
18 19	related to ComEd. I don't think I'm best equipped to provide, essentially, legal advice or testimony on matters of law to the Committee.
18 19 20	related to ComEd. I don't think I'm best equipped to provide, essentially, legal advice or testimony on matters of law to the Committee. Q. Thank you. I don't mean to be
18 19 20 21	<pre>related to ComEd. I don't think I'm best equipped to provide, essentially, legal advice or testimony on matters of law to the Committee. Q. Thank you. I don't mean to be disrespectful. I apologize.</pre>
18 19 20 21 22	<pre>related to ComEd. I don't think I'm best equipped to provide, essentially, legal advice or testimony on matters of law to the Committee. Q. Thank you. I don't mean to be disrespectful. I apologize. What does it mean that ComEd official's</pre>

1	A. So in the in the court proceedings
2	so let me back up a moment.
3	In connection with the Deferred
4	Prosecution Agreement, a criminal charge referred to
5	as a criminal information was filed against
6	Commonwealth Edison. Procedurally, when the when
7	that case was put on the district court docket and
8	assigned a district court judge, it was the judge's
9	decision that, in order for that case to proceed as
10	a formal matter, there needed to be entry of a
11	formal plea. Because as a under the terms of the
12	Deferred Prosecution Agreement, ComEd would not end
13	up with a conviction, would not be convicted, ComEd
14	could not plead guilty, was not pleading guilty, and
15	there are two options when you enter a plea in
16	federal court. One is guilty and the other is not
17	guilty. And so we pled not guilty.
18	Q. You pled not guilty, but you readily
19	admit to the facts in the Deferred Prosecution
20	Agreement?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And I've read the Deferred Prosecution
23	Agreement a few times, and I just want to be clear
24	on the record, since this is our first hearing with

1	witnesses.
2	The Deferred Prosecution Agreement
3	requires full compliance and cooperation with
4	government authorities during the term of a DPA, and
5	that is, like, three years, correct?
6	A. I believe the cooperation requirement
7	extends beyond that; but, at a minimum, it requires
8	it for a three-year period.
9	Q. So at a minimum for three years?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. Does that cooperation include
12	investigatory bodies, like this Committee?
13	A. Whether or not it formally does, we
14	certainly would interpret that as being the case.
15	We want to be as cooperative with this Committee as
16	we can be.
17	Q. Is it ComEd's interpretation that being
18	before the Committee today is required by the
19	Deferred Prosecution Agreement?
20	A. I don't know that I would say that.
21	We we think it is important for us to be here as
22	a as a corporate citizen. We think it is
23	certainly consistent with the cooperation agreement.
24	I have not focused on whether the DPA, as a formal

1 legal matter, specifically requires cooperation in these proceedings. I don't want to characterize 2 3 that, but --4 Ο. You say it is certainly consistent. If 5 you had chosen not to be here today, would you have 6 been considered in violation of the Deferred 7 **Prosecution Agreement?** 8 Α. I don't think so. 9 MR. SCHAR: Our position would be, I 10 believe, we would not be in violation of the DPA by 11 failure to be here. But clearly, I think, as 12 Mr. Glockner has said, it's the company's view that 13 they are going to be as cooperative as they can 14 be. 15 Thank you. Thank you, CHAIRMAN WELCH: 16 Mr. Schar. 17 BY CHAIRMAN WELCH: 18 So is it fair to say, though, that there Q. 19 are some questions, just like the couple that I 20 asked earlier, that you won't be able to answer --21 that you can't answer? 22 Α. Yes. As I said at the outset, there are 23 certain limitations on my ability to answer 24 questions, and there are others -- for example, the

1	one you just asked about an interpretation of a
2	specific passage in the DPA, that I had not
3	considered before. It's there will be questions
4	that I don't expect to be able to answer.
5	Q. The Deferred Prosecution Agreement
6	Statement of Facts contains descriptions of numerous
7	acts of wrongdoing on the part of ComEd. You have
8	testified here today that you have signed the
9	Deferred Prosecution Agreement. Is it your
10	testimony here today that Commonwealth Edison
11	admitted to hiring individuals and firms that did
12	little or no work for ComEd and influenced in an
13	effort to influence Public Official A?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Is it your testimony here today that
16	Commonwealth Edison admits in a Statement of Facts
17	that it did not enforce Exelon's Code of Conduct
18	which prohibits bribery in third-party vendors and
19	requires ComEd to maintain accurate and complete
20	records regarding payments, contact conduct due
21	diligence reviews of potential agents and
22	consultants?
23	A. So are you referring to a particular
24	if you could point me to a particular passage in the

1 DPA you are reading from, it would be easier for me 2 to respond. 3 Ο. Let me come back to that one. I didn't 4 mark the page number. 5 Would it be accurate to say that 6 Commonwealth Edison agreed and admitted to 7 wrongdoing, that it placed an individual on its 8 board of directors without doing a thorough vetting 9 interview process for other applicants pursuant, 10 presumably, to influence Public Official A? 11 So with respect to that point, I would Α. 12 stand on the statement in the Statement of Facts 13 that is attached to the DPA which indicates that 14 that ComEd -- and again, I could summarize here, but 15 there's a two-paragraph description, and it might be 16 simpler and more accurate if I simply read that, if 17 you prefer. 18 Q. Give me one moment. 19 You may proceed. I just wanted to get 20 the DPA in front of me. 21 Α. Sure. 22 You may proceed. Q. 23 So what the DPA says with respect to the Α. 24 appointment of Board Member 1 is that, beginning in

1	or around 2017, Public Official A sought the
2	employment of an associate to the ComEd board of
3	directors, hereinafter referred to as Board Member
4	1. Public Official A's request was communicated by
5	Individual A to CEO-1 in or around May 2018 in
6	response to internal company opposition to the
7	appointment of Board Member 1, CEO-1 asked
8	Individual A if Public Official A would be satisfied
9	if CEO-1 arranged for Board Member 1 to receive a
10	part-time job that paid an equivalent amount of
11	money to a board member position, namely, \$78,000 a
12	year. Individual A told CEO-1 that Public Official
13	A would appreciate it if CEO-1 would keep pressing
14	for the appointment of Board Member 1 and CEO-1
15	agreed to do so. In or around September 2018,
16	CEO-1, who by this time had been promoted to an
17	executive position within Exelon Utilities, in which
18	capacity CEO-1 maintained oversight authority over
19	ComEd, assured Individual A that CEO-1 was
20	continuing to advocate for the appointment of Board
21	Member 1 made at Public Official A's request because
22	"You take good care of me and so does our friend
23	(Public Official A) and I will do the best that I
24	can to take care of you."

1	On or about April 25, 2019, CEO-1 advised
2	Individual A by text message, "Just sent out board
3	approval to appoint Board Member 1 to ComEd board."
4	The following day, April 26, 2019, ComEd filed a
5	notice with the United States Securities and
6	Exchange Commission stating that Board Member 1 had
7	served as a director of ComEd since April 2019.
8	Although ComEd and Exelon conducted due diligence on
9	Board Member 1 and ultimately determined he was
10	qualified for a board position, no one at ComEd or
11	Exelon recruited Board Member 1 to serve as a
12	director, and ComEd did not interview or vet other
13	outside candidates for the vacant board seat. ComEd
14	appointed Board Member 1 in part with the intent to
15	influence and reward Public Official A in connection
16	with Public Official A's official duties.
17	So that's what ComEd admits with respect
18	to that episode.
19	Q. Thank you, Mr. Glockner. And that pretty
20	much is the long version of my previous question.
21	A. It is; and, in part, this is baggage
22	associated with my being an attorney, trying to be
23	very careful to be as precise as possible on these
24	matters.

1 0. Understood. And I actually appreciate 2 you reading that into the record. 3 Based on what you just read, though, 4 correct me if I'm wrong, there is nothing in the 5 information you just read that would indicate that 6 Mr. Madigan had personal knowledge of the scheme 7 laid out here in the Deferred Prosecution Agreement; 8 is that correct? 9 Α. The Deferred Prosecution Agreement does 10 not establish personal knowledge by Mr. Madigan. 11 There is nothing anywhere in the Deferred Q. 12 Prosecution Agreement that establishes personal 13 knowledge of Mr. Madigan, correct? 14 I would agree with that. Α. 15 Thank you, Mr. Glockner. CHAIRMAN WELCH: 16 I will tender it to Spokesperson Demmer. 17 REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. In fact, I would like to defer to 18 19 Representative Mazzochi for initial questioning. 20 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Representative 21 Mazzochi. 22 REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Thank you very 23 much. 24 EXAMINATION

1	BY REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI
2	Q. All right. I just want to start by
3	confirming, Mr. Glockner, you joined Exelon as the
4	Executive Vice President for Compliance and Audit in
5	March of 2020?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. All right. And you personally were the
8	one who signed the Deferred Prosecution Agreement
9	with the United States Attorney's office for the
10	Northern District of Illinois on behalf of ComEd?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. What was it about your role that allowed
13	you to sign the Deferred Prosecution Agreement with
14	the U.S. Attorney's office?
15	A. I was authorized by the Board of
16	Directors.
17	Q. All right. And did you satisfy yourself
18	that all of the facts stated therein in the
19	Statement of Facts were accurate before you signed
20	them?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And you signed it on July 16, 2020?
23	A. I believe that's the date.
24	Q. Yep. All right. Now, before I ask you

1 about some of the contents of the DPA, let me ask 2 you a few questions about your background. 3 Can you describe your employment history 4 before you started at Exelon? 5 So for the little over two years before I Α. 6 was at Exelon, I was the chief compliance officer 7 for Citadel, which is a hedge fund located in 8 Chicago. 9 For approximately four years prior to 10 that, I was the regional director of the Securities and Exchange Commission for the Chicago office, 11 12 which covers the nine midwest states and was 13 responsible for enforcement and examination 14 activities in those states. 15 For about a year and a half before that, 16 I was a managing director at a digital risk 17 management consulting firm called Stroz Friedberg that had an office in Chicago. 18 19 And then for the bulk of my career, about 20 24 and a half years, I served in the United States Attorney's office in Chicago. The last 11-plus of 21 22 those as chief of the criminal division. 23 Ο. Thank you. Now, I would like to get into 24 a little bit of background about the Deferred

1	Prosecution Agreement. Can you just explain for the
2	Committee what is a Deferred Prosecution Agreement?
3	A. A Deferred Prosecution Agreement is an
4	agreement between the government and a either
5	actual or potential defendant in a criminal matter,
6	by which the government allows a party to
7	demonstrate through good behavior or some other
8	terms as agreed to between the parties the conduct
9	to the satisfaction of the government such that the
10	government chooses either not to bring charges or to
11	dismiss charges that have been filed.
12	Q. And are you familiar with the DPA both
13	from your experience with ComEd, as well as chief of
14	the criminal division with the U.S. Attorney's
15	office?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. All right. So let's take a look at the
18	Deferred Prosecution Agreement you signed on behalf
19	of ComEd, which has been identified as Exhibit 2 to
20	the Committee. Do you have a copy of it before
21	you?
22	A. I do.
23	Q. All right. Now, I would like to turn to
24	paragraph 1 of the Deferred Prosecution Agreement.

1 Now, does paragraph 1 contain an 2 admission that ComEd violated Title 18 in United 3 States Code paragraph -- Section 666(a)(2)? 4 Α. No. 5 Q. Sorry. Wrong paragraph. 6 Well, doesn't it state that ComEd 7 acknowledges and agrees the government will file the 8 accompanying Information in the United States 9 District Court for the Northern District of Illinois 10 charging ComEd with bribery in violation of Title 11 18, United States Code, Section 666(a)(2)? 12 Yes, that's correct. Α. 13 All right. And ComEd knowingly waived Q. 14 any right to indictment on that charge, as well as 15 any rights to a speedy trial under the Sixth 16 Amendment; isn't that fair? 17 Yes, I would agree. Α. 18 Q. Title 18 USC 666(a)(2), I believe that is 19 titled --20 Α. Federal Program Bribery Statute. 21 And I believe it's titled theft or Ο. Yes. 22 bribery concerning programs receiving federal funds. 23 Does that sound about right? 24 Α. Yes.

All right. And I'll read for the record 1 0. 2 Section 666(a)(2) reads: 3 (a) Whoever, if the circumstance 4 described in subsection (b) of this section 5 exists --6 (2) corruptly gives, offers, or agrees to 7 give anything of value to any person, with intent to 8 influence or reward an agent of an organization or 9 of a state, local, or Indian tribal government, or 10 any agency thereof, in connection with any business 11 transaction or series of transactions of such 12 organization, government, or agency involving 13 anything of value of \$5,000 or more shall be fined 14 under this title, imprisoned not more than 10 years, 15 or both. 16 And as I understand your testimony, sir, 17 in the Deferred Prosecution Agreement, ComEd does 18 not dispute that it must comply with this federal 19 law, 18 USC Section 666(a)(2)? We agree not to violate any laws going 20 Α. 21 forward, and I'm not totally sure I understand the 22 question. 23 Ο. Sure. Well, you're familiar with that 24 statute from your role as chief of the criminal

1 division for the Northern District of Illinois, 2 right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 0. All right. Now, the facts supporting the 5 government's criminal Information in the Deferred 6 Prosecution Agreement appears in a Statement of 7 Facts that is attached to the Deferred Prosecution 8 Agreement as Exhibit A; is that right? 9 Α. Yes. 10 All right. Could you turn to that, Q. 11 please? 12 All right. So do you have the document 13 that is headed Attachment A, Statement of Facts, 14 starting at page A-1? 15 Α. I do. 16 Q. All right. And just to be clear, you 17 agreed on behalf of Commonwealth Edison that the 18 entirety of the Statement of Facts is true? 19 Α. Yes. 20 All right. Now, if you take a look at Q. 21 page A-3, Commonwealth Edison, in the Overview, 22 stated: From in or around 2011 through in or around 23 2019, in an effort to influence and reward Public 24 Official A's efforts, as Speaker of the Illinois

1	House of Perrogentatives to againt ComEd with
	House of Representatives, to assist ComEd with
2	respect to legislation concerning ComEd and its
3	business, ComEd arranged for various associates of
4	Public Official A, including Public Official A's
5	political allies and individuals who performed
6	political work for Public Official A, to obtain
7	jobs, vendor subcontracts, and monetary payments
8	associated with those jobs and subcontracts for
9	ComEd, even in instances where certain political
10	allies and workers performed little or no work that
11	they were purportedly hired to perform for ComEd.
12	Have I read that correctly?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And you agree, on behalf of ComEd, that
15	that statement is true?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. All right. And Public Official A, of
18	course, was Illinois House Speaker Mike Madigan?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. All right. Now, specifically, if we take
21	a look at pages A-3 to A-4, this starts to go
22	through how Commonwealth Edison arranged for various
23	associates of Michael Madigan, including the
24	political allies and individuals who performed

1	political work for Michael Madigan, to obtain jobs,
2	vendor subcontracts, and monetary payments
3	associated with those jobs and subcontracts; is that
4	right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And again, ComEd has admitted that that
7	money was paid to influence and reward Michael
8	Madigan's efforts to assist ComEd with respect to
9	legislation concerning ComEd and its business?
10	A. So I would say that's essentially right
11	with the what we acknowledge is that the the
12	payments were made, at least in part, with the
13	intent to influence. I would have to I would
14	have to
15	Q. Sure. I understand that qualification.
16	At least in part to influence Illinois House Speaker
17	Mike Madigan?
18	A. Right.
19	Q. Okay. And if we take a look at these
20	payments, it's ComEd's understanding that these
21	associates who were being paid who were the
22	affiliates of Speaker or associates of Speaker
23	Madigan, performed little or no work for ComEd?
24	A. In many instances, that's correct.

1 0. All right. If you could take a look at 2 page A-5. 3 At the top, there's a reference -- very 4 top of the page, there's a reference to Consultant And that's Michael McClain, yes? 5 1. Α. 6 Yes. 7 Q. And can you identify --8 Sorry. Thank you, Mr. Schar. Α. 9 Consultant 1 was Jay Doherty. 10 Q. Jay Doherty, okay. 11 And Company 1, was that Mr. Doherty's 12 lobbying firm, JA Doherty, Limited? 13 Α. I believe it was JD Doherty and 14 Associates. Okay. All right. And according to 15 0. 16 Commonwealth Edison's understanding -- I believe 17 this is on page A-8, if you need to validate the 18 number -- Commonwealth Edison paid over \$1.3 million 19 over the relevant time frame in the DPA to influence 20 Michael Madigan's actions as the Speaker of the 21 House? 22 Α. I'm reading the passage that you are 23 pointing to. And that particular passage does not 24 reference the intent to influence, but I think the

1 broader DPA does. And I would agree that at least a 2 partial motive for those payments was to influence 3 the Speaker. 4 0. Okay. So let me try to rephrase it then. 5 Is it fair to say that Commonwealth 6 Edison paid over \$1.3 million, at least in part, to 7 influence Michael Madigan's actions as Speaker of 8 the House? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. Okay. And the money was not paid 11 directly to Michael Madigan but rather to other 12 people associated with him? 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. All right. How were those people who 15 Michael Madigan wanted paid identified for ComEd? 16 Primarily -- one moment. Α. 17 0. Sure. 18 So I don't have a source of information Α. 19 that I can speak to that permits me to answer that 20 question. 21 Ο. Okay. In general -- well, let's go 22 through some of the specifics then. 23 If you can go back to page A-5. The 24 paragraph that is at the bottom of the page that

1	starts off: Certain senior executives and agents of
2	ComEd were aware of these payments from their
3	inception until they were discontinued in or around
4	2019. For example, in or around May 2018, Public
5	Official A, meaning Madigan, through Individual A
6	let me stop there. That's Mike McClain?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. Wanted to check my score card.
10	Q. I understand. And then asked CEO-1
11	meaning Anne Pramaggiore?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q to hire a political ally of Public
14	Official A, meaning Madigan, who was retiring from
15	the the Chicago City Council at the end of the
16	month, Associate 3. And that's Mike Zalewski,
17	Senior?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. All right. So then in terms of talking
20	about what happened, it says: CEO-1, meaning Anne
21	Pramaggiore, in coordination with Senior Executive 1
22	and Consultant 1 I believe Consultant 1 we
23	identified as Jay Doherty. Do you recall who Senior
24	Executive 1 was?

1 A. Senior Executive 1 was Fidel Marquez.
2 Q. Okay. All right. And Mr. Marquez is the
3 one who pled I believe he pled guilty in federal
4 court today?
5 A. That's my understanding.
6 Q. Okay. In connection with some of the
7 events with the DPA?
8 A. That's my understanding.
9 Q. All right. Okay. So we have here in the
10 DPA that CEO-1, meaning Pramaggiore, in coordination
11 with Senior Executive 1, Marquez, and Consultant 1,
12 Doherty, agreed that ComEd would pay Associate 3,
13 Zalewski Senior, approximately \$5,000 a month
14 indirectly as a subcontractor through Company 1,
15 Mr. Doherty's company.
16 All right. Now, I take it it's fair to
17 say that ComEd was not posting any job postings that
18 they needed another lobby subcontractor at that
19 time?
20 A. Not that I'm aware of.
21 Q. All right. Do you know where that so
22 that request came from Mr. McClain on behalf of
23 Speaker Madigan?
A. That's not a question I'm able to answer

1	under the parameters of government testimony.
2	Q. Okay. At the time the it says here in
3	the Deferred Prosecution Agreement: At the time
4	CEO-1 approved this arrangement, CEO-1 was aware
5	that there were other associates of Public Official
6	A that were paid indirectly as subcontractors
7	through Company 1, which CEO-1 referred to as the
8	roster.
9	So do you know how many other associates
10	of Public Official A were being paid indirectly as
11	subcontractors through Mr. Doherty's company?
12	Approximately. I'm not I mean
13	A. I'm pausing here to think through both
14	numbers and to make sure that I've got a
15	nonprivileged, non-U.S. Attorney origin source for
16	that information.
17	Q. Sure.
18	A. Sorry. The answer is approximately
19	three.
20	Q. Approximately three, okay. So there
21	could be more?
22	A. The number would be approximately
23	three.
24	
24	Q. Okay. That's fine.

1	And again, let me ask you this: Was it
2	ComEd's understanding that many of these associates
3	of Public Official A that it was going to be paying
4	to do either little or no work for ComEd, were most
5	of them that you're aware of identified by Michael
6	McClain to ComEd?
7	A. Unfortunately, I don't believe that I can
8	answer that question consistent with the
9	restrictions imposed by the U.S. Attorney's office
10	and our attorney-client privilege.
11	Q. Okay. Is it ComEd's understanding that
12	Michael McClain was the intermediary between ComEd
13	and Michael Madigan?
14	A. So the so without speaking to to
15	particular incidents and individuals, I think I can
16	say that there were instances in which Mr. McClain
17	held himself out as speaking on behalf of or
18	representing the interests of Speaker Madigan.
19	Q. Can you answer what ComEd did to confirm
20	that McClain was, in fact, accurate when he
21	represented himself to be acting on behalf of
22	Speaker Madigan?
23	A. I don't think I can. I don't know that
24	answer.

1	Q. Okay. When you say you don't know that
2	answer, is it because you can't answer because of
3	what is going on with the U.S. Attorney's office or
4	because it may reveal information that was obtained
5	in connection with privileged investigations?
6	A. Actually, I just don't know.
7	Q. Okay. Did ComEd but no matter what,
8	ComEd, at least for some of the instances identified
9	here in the Deferred Prosecution Agreement, did
10	understand that Mike McClain was acting at the
11	direction of Michael Madigan?
12	A. Yes. ComEd ComEd has acknowledged
13	that it believed that it was it was seeking to
14	influence Speaker Madigan through these actions.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. I'll leave it at that, which we we
17	said in the DPA.
18	Q. Sure. In your experience as an Assistant
19	U.S. Attorney and also chief of the criminal
20	division, is it common for public officials who are
21	involved in a bribery scheme to use
22	intermediaries?
23	A. I think that's really asking for me to
24	characterize the evidence here, which I really don't

1	think it's appropriate for me to do.
2	Q. I'm just asking based on your personal
3	experience as head of the criminal division in the
4	Northern District of Illinois and as a former
5	Assistant U.S. Attorney. In your personal
6	experience in that capacity, did you find it common
7	or did you at least see many instances where public
8	officials who were involved in a bribery scheme used
9	intermediaries?
10	A. So I can certainly say that there were
11	times when I saw people use intermediaries and there
12	were times when I didn't.
13	Q. Okay. And in the times when they used
14	intermediaries, do you have an understanding, again,
15	based on your personal experience as chief of the
16	criminal division in the Northern District of
17	Illinois, why public officials would use those
18	intermediaries?
19	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Pause for one moment.
20	Mr. Glockner, can you speak directly into that
21	microphone. What I'm noticing is that your mouth
22	seems to be off to the side. So I'm still getting
23	complaints that people can barely hear you.
24	MR. GLOCKNER: Sorry.

1	So with respect to that last question
	So with respect to that last question,
2	I with all due respect, I really don't want to
3	opine on criminal behavior. You know, there's a
4	whole spectrum of behaviors that I've seen, and you
5	talked about a couple examples. Sometimes public
6	officials use intermediaries, sometimes they act
7	directly, sometimes you have intermediaries or
8	people who purport to be intermediaries who aren't
9	really intermediaries and are sort of operating for
10	themselves. Sometimes you have gradations of all of
11	that. You know, I'm not in a position here to speak
12	to any of that.
13	I'm in a position to speak to what ComEd
14	did and the information that we are in a position to
15	share with the Committee. But I I really don't
16	want to get into, and I don't think it's appropriate
17	for me to try to characterize, people's motives,
18	particularly when I don't have all of the
19	information available.
20	BY REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI:
21	Q. All right. Let's go back then to the
22	Deferred Prosecution Agreement page A-4.
23	And I would like to take a look at the
24	second full paragraph on page A-4 of the Deferred

1	Prosecution Agreement Statement of Facts.
2	It says: Beginning no later than in or
3	around 2011, Public Official A and Individual A
4	sought to obtain from ComEd jobs, vendor
5	subcontracts, and monetary payments associated with
6	those jobs and subcontracts for various associates
7	of Public Official A, such as precinct captains who
8	operated within Public Official A's legislative
9	district. Do you see that?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. All right. So Commonwealth Edison has
12	admitted that Public Official A and Individual A
13	sought to obtain from ComEd jobs, vendor
14	subcontracts, and monetary payments for various
15	associates of Public Official A, right? Not or.
16	And?
17	A. Yes. That was ComEd's belief.
18	Q. Okay. And earlier, when Chairman Welch
19	asked you about any direct steps, he didn't take you
20	to this particular paragraph. So again, it's not
21	in this particular paragraph, this is indicating
22	that Public Official A sought to obtain from ComEd
23	jobs, vendor subcontracts, and monetary payments
24	associated with those jobs and subcontracts for

1 various associates of Public Official A; is that 2 fair? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Okay. Now, it also says: Beginning 0. 5 in -- starting at the bottom of A-4, last full 6 paragraph on that page: Beginning in 2011 -- I'm 7 sorry -- in or around 2011, Individual A, referring 8 to McClain, and Lobbyist 1 developed a plan. 9 Do you know who Lobbyist 1 is? 10 Α. Yes. Lobbyist 1 is John Hooker. 11 Okay. And it says: They developed a Q. 12 plan to direct money to two of Public Official A's 13 associates, Associate 1 and Associate 2. 14 Can you identify who those are, please? 15 Associate 1 is Ray Nice and Associate 2 Α. 16 is Frank Olivo. 17 Ο. Can you spell both -- can you spell both 18 of those names, please, for the record? 19 Α. Nice is N-i-c-a (sic). And Olivo is 20 O-l-i-v-o. 21 Sorry. I just want to make sure I got it Q. 22 clear for the record. So can you just spell the 23 full name for Associate 1. 24 Ray Nice, N-i-c-e. Α.

1	Q. Okay. And Associate 2?
2	A. Frank Olivo, O-l-i-v-o.
3	Q. Okay. All right. So let me then kind of
4	read this with people's names in there.
5	In or around 2011, Mike McClain and John
6	Hooker developed a plan to direct money to two of
7	Mike Madigan's associates, Ray Nice and Frank Olivo,
8	by having ComEd pay them indirectly as
9	subcontractors to Consultant 1, Jay Doherty. Is
10	that right?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. All right. And then the payments to Ray
13	Nice and Frank Olivo, as well as later payments to
14	other subcontracted associates of Public Official A,
15	continued until in or around 2019, even though those
16	associates did little or no work during that period.
17	Is that right?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. All right. Were you are you able to
20	say whether Ray Nice ever did any work for ComEd
21	during that period?
22	A. We have found no evidence of work product
23	and no evidence of specific when I say evidence,
24	I mean documentation.

1 Ο. Sure. 2 Α. No documents reflecting work product, no 3 documents reflecting specific taskings. 4 Q. Okay. And similarly for Frank Olivo, has 5 ComEd been able to identify any -- or has ComEd been 6 able to document any work that Frank Olivo ever did 7 for ComEd? 8 Α. Not that I'm aware of. 9 Q. Okay. Thank you. 10 Do you have an understanding as to what 11 Ray Nice's relationship is -- I'm sorry. 12 Does ComEd have an understanding as to 13 what Ray Nice's relationship is to Michael Madigan? 14 Α. Not that I can address within the 15 parameters of my government testimony. 16 Q. Okay. And can you say what the 17 relationship was between Frank Olivo and Mike 18 Madigan? 19 Α. Same response. 20 Do you know why ComEd paid these Ο. Sure. 21 people for eight years if they did little or no work 22 for ComEd? 23 I think the DPA indicates that ComEd Α. 24 believed that it would be helpful for the company,

1	Speaker Madigan.
2	Q. All right. And why did ComEd care what
3	Speaker Madigan wanted?
4	A. As the DPA noted, Speaker Madigan was an
5	influential public official.
6	Q. All right. Now, because these two people
7	were paid through JD Doherty and Associates, those
8	eight years of payments were not reflected in the
9	vendor payment system used by ComEd; is that
10	right?
11	A. It is, although I would note that the
12	vendor payment system is not set up so that any
13	subcontractor would be identified. So if you look
14	in ComEd's vendor payment system, you would see
15	payments to vendors; you would not see a separate
16	line for payments to subcontractors.
17	Q. Do you have an understanding as to why
18	ComEd has a vendor payment system?
19	A. Every company has one and they use it to
20	track your expenditures and it's kind of an
21	essential part of your financial operations.
22	Q. All right. Is it also a central part of
23	your internal control mechanism for auditing
24	purposes?

1	A. Sure.
2	Q. Okay. Do you know whether there's any
3	well, do you know whether the vendor payments or a
4	portion of them have to be reported to the Illinois
5	Commerce Commission?
6	A. You know, I'm not familiar with our
7	specific reporting rules to the ICC. There are
8	others in the company who would be, but I'm I do
9	think we report at least certain of our expenses to
10	the ICC, but I'm, unfortunately, not an expert on
11	our ICC reporting rules, at least six months into
12	the job.
13	Q. That's fair. I understand.
14	Do you know whether ComEd has to put its
15	political donations anywhere into its vendor payment
16	system?
17	A. I believe those are in the vendor payment
18	system, and we also disclose our political donations
19	publicly.
20	Q. Okay. And when political donations are
21	put into the vendor payment system, do you know
22	whether they are actually marked or designated as
23	you know, with a category of political donations so
24	that they can appropriately be disclosed in

1 accordance with election rules? 2 Α. I don't have personal knowledge of that, 3 but I would assume so. 4 Q. Okay. Thank you. 5 All right. So now we're looking on page 6 A-5 of the Deferred Prosecution Agreement. And 7 there's no record in ComEd's vendor payment system 8 of any money going to Ray Nice or Frank Olivo. And 9 that arrangement where no one could find payments to 10 these persons in the ComEd system, that was 11 discussed with Michael McClain, right? Could you indicate to me where you are 12 Α. 13 referring to in the DPA? 14 0. Yeah, I'm just trying to summarize. And 15 if you don't feel comfortable summarizing, I'll 16 start reading. 17 Right. Well, just point me to a Α. paragraph. I just -- I want to be very careful that 18 19 I'm not mischaracterizing anything through a 20 summary. 21 Q. Sure. Well, okay. Let me go ahead and 22 rephrase it this way. Let's do this. 23 Let's jump to -- let's jump to the bottom 24 of page A-5. It says: Certain senior executives

1	and agents of ComEd were aware of these payments
2	from their inception until they were discontinued in
3	or around 2019. For example, in or around May 2018,
4	Public Official A, meaning Madigan, through
5	Individual A, meaning McClain, as CEO-1, meaning
6	Pramaggiore, to hire a political ally of Public
7	Official A who was retiring from the Chicago City
8	Council at the end of the month. And that was
9	Alderman Zalewski Senior.
10	All right. Now, it says in the next
11	sentence: CEO-1, in coordination with Senior
12	Executive 1, meaning Marquez, and Consultant 1,
13	meaning Doherty, agreed that ComEd would pay
14	Associate 3, Zalewski Senior, approximately 5,000 a
15	month indirectly as a subcontractor through Company
16	1, Doherty's company.
17	And then it says: At the time CEO-1
18	approved this arrangement, CEO-1, meaning
19	Pramaggiore, was aware that there were other
20	associates of Public Official A that were paid
21	indirectly as subcontractors.
22	And then it says: CEO-1 also agreed that
23	Public Official A, meaning Madigan, rather than an
24	officer or employee of ComEd or Company 1, meaning

1 Doherty, would advise Associate 3 of this new 2 arrangement. Do you have that? 3 Α. Yes. 4 0. All right. So ComEd agreed to pay 5 Michael Zalewski Senior \$5,000 per month as a 6 subcontractor for JD Doherty and Associates, 7 right? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. And doing it this way, there is no record 10 of those payments to the Madigan associate in 11 ComEd's system that was in place at the time, 12 right? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Ο. All right. And ComEd had an 15 understanding that Associate 3, Michael Zalewski 16 Senior, was very close to Michael Madigan, wasn't 17 he? 18 Α. He was -- what the DPA says is that ComEd 19 understood that he is an associate of Mr. Madigan. 20 I don't know that it speaks to the degree of 21 closeness. 22 Okay. Well, again, we are at the top of Q. 23 page A-6. First full sentence: CEO-1, meaning 24 Pramaggiore, also agreed that Public Official A,

1 Madigan, rather than an officer or employee of ComEd 2 or Company 1, would advise Zalewski Senior of this 3 new arrangement. That's true, right? 4 Α. Yes. 5 All right. So ComEd's CEO agreed that Q. 6 Michael Madigan would be the one to tell Michael 7 Zalewski Senior about this \$5,000 per month 8 arrangement? 9 Α. Yes. 10 All right. And again, there were -- were ο. 11 you able to document any work that Alderman Zalewski 12 Senior actually did for ComEd while he was being 13 paid this \$5,000 a month under Company 1's 14 contract? 15 So we have no written documentation of Α. 16 that. 17 Q. Okay. 18 I can't go beyond that in responding to Α. 19 the question. 20 Q. Sure. That is fair. 21 So the payment that we just discussed, 22 where ComEd's CEO agreed that Michael Madigan would 23 tell Michael Zalewski Senior about the \$5,000 per 24 month arrangement, that was done to influence and

1 reward, at least in part, Michael Madigan in 2 connection with Madigan's official duties; is that 3 true? 4 Α. It was part of the course of conduct that 5 was done for that purpose. 6 Okay. All right. And Michael McClain Ο. 7 would often inform ComEd of the details of how and 8 why some of these people were important to Michael 9 Madigan, didn't he? So I don't believe I can answer that 10 Α. 11 based on information that is available to me for the 12 purposes of this hearing. 13 Okay. Well, let's go to page A-6 of the Q. 14 Deferred Prosecution Agreement, paragraph A. Ιt 15 says: On or about May 16, 2018, Individual A, 16 meaning McClain, explained to Senior Executive 1, 17 meaning Marquez, why certain individuals were being 18 paid indirectly through Company 1, meaning Jay 19 Doherty's company, by making reference to their 20 utility to Mike Madigan's political operation. 21 Right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. And Individual A identified 24 Associate 1, who was Ray Nice, as one of the several

1	individuals on Jay Doherty's company's payroll as
2	one of the top three precinct captains who also
3	trains people how to go door-to-door. So just to
4	give you an idea how important the guy is. ComEd
5	has admitted to that, right?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. All right. And Michael McClain, at the
8	very least, explained to ComEd, according to this,
9	why Ray Nice was so important to Public Official A,
10	Mike Madigan?
11	A. Yes. And I was not recalling that
12	specific paragraph
13	Q. That's fine.
14	A when I responded earlier.
15	Q. That is why I figured I would go through
16	it.
17	A. A lot of paragraphs in here.
18	Q. I know.
19	All right. And then likewise, if we take
20	a look at subparagraph (b), it says: On or about
21	February 7, 2019, Individual A, referring to
22	McClain, advised Senior Executive 1, meaning
23	Marquez, about how to present information within
24	ComEd concerning the renewal of Company 1's

1 contract, meaning Jay Doherty's contract, for 2019. 2 So there again, Mr. McClain was giving a 3 representative of ComEd how to present information 4 within ComEd about Jay Doherty's contract for 2019. 5 Is that right? 6 Α. Yes. 7 All right. And in that conversation, Q. 8 Mike McClain advised Mr. Marquez, "I would say to 9 you don't put anything in writing," explaining later 10 in the conversation because, "all it can do is hurt 11 ya." ComEd has admitted to that fact, correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 All right. So Michael McClain was giving Q. 14 an individual at ComEd advice about how to hide this 15 scheme; is that fair? 16 I think the statement speaks for itself, Α. 17 but that's a -- that's one -- certainly a characterization of it. 18 19 Q. Okay. Is it a characterization that 20 ComEd would be willing to dispute? 21 Α. I would not dispute that 22 characterization. 23 Okay. Thank you. 0. 24 All right. So then if we go further on

1	in this subparagraph (b), which is at the top of
2	page A-7, Mike McClain further advised Marquez that
3	if asked by a ComEd official why Jay Doherty's
4	company was being paid, Marquez should explain that
5	the associate's of Madigan were former ward
6	committeemen and aldermen, that it was a "favor" and
7	that it would be up to Jay Doherty to prove that
8	Madigan's associates performed work, not ComEd.
9	That's also a true statement?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. All right. And it was McClain helping to
12	explain to Marquez how to conceal within ComEd the
13	renewal I'm sorry that this was going to be
14	that this was a that doing this was a favor to
15	Speaker Madigan?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. All right. And one of the benefits of
18	running all of these favors through Jay Doherty's
19	company is that fewer people then inside ComEd would
20	actually know about all of these political
21	associates of Speaker Madigan getting ComEd funds;
22	is that fair?
23	A. I think that's an that's an inference
24	that is going to be more appropriate for you to draw

1 than for me to comment upon. 2 Okay. Well, would ComEd dispute that Q. 3 inference? 4 Α. You know, I think all that does is, 5 essentially, ask me to draw the inference but in a 6 different way, and I prefer to stick with the facts 7 that we have acknowledged and leave inferences for 8 the Committee. 9 Q. All right. Well, were these payments to 10 Madigan's associates that are being referred to here 11 in pages A-6 and A-7, were they a favor or were they 12 a bribe to Speaker Madigan? 13 Α. Again, I would say the DPA speaks for 14 itself on that point. The DPA quotes language 15 from -- from an individual who was acting on behalf 16 of the company describing it as a favor. 17 Ο. Okay. Did ComEd have an understanding 18 that doing these types of favors for Public Official 19 A would influence Public Official A or dispose 20 Public Official A more favorably towards ComEd? 21 Α. Yes, ComEd has acknowledged that in the 22 DPA. 23 0. Okay. All right. 24 Let's jump to the conversation with John

1 Hooker that took place in subparagraph (c) on page 2 A-7. 3 Says: On or about February 11, 2019, 4 Individual A, meaning McClain, had a conversation 5 with Lobbyist 1, meaning Hooker, who had by that 6 time retired -- had retired from ComEd, but had 7 continued to serve as a paid external lobbyist to 8 ComEd. In discussing how the renewal of Company 1's 9 contract, which included significant payments to 10 Company 1, meaning Doherty's company, to account for 11 indirect payments to Madigan's associates should be 12 communicated internally, McClain said, "We had to 13 hire these quys because Public Official A, Madigan, 14 came to us. It's just that simple." Lobbyist 1, 15 meaning Hooker, agreed and added, "It's clean for 16 all of us." Do you see that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 That statement is pretty close to the Q. truth, isn't it, that it's clean for all of us? 19 20 Α. The statement speaks for itself. I 21 mean --22 All right. Well, it wasn't true actually Q. 23 that it was a clean way of doing things, was it? 24 It certainly would not be my definition Α.

1	of clean from an appropriate sensitive term.
2	Q. Yes. And maybe we can put it this way.
3	From a compliance perspective, it certainly wasn't
4	clean for ComEd or Michael McClain or Michael
5	Madigan to try to be structuring these payments
6	through Jay Doherty's company. Is that fair?
7	A. That would be a bad compliance
8	practice.
9	Q. All right. And why would it be so to
10	your understanding, why would it be a bad compliance
11	practice?
12	A. Well, in this particular context, the
13	speaker is indicating that he is structuring those
14	payments not for some business reason, but for some
15	other questionable motive.
16	Q. All right. Now, if we go to subpart (d)
17	on page A-7 of the Deferred Prosecution Agreement,
18	Statement of Facts, it says: On or about February
19	13, 2019, Consultant 1 advised Senior Executive 1
20	that Associate 1 and Associate 2 so those were
21	the Madigan precinct people, Ray Nice and Frank
22	Olivo had been made "subcontractors" of Jay
23	Doherty's company at the request of Lobbyist 1, and
24	Lobbyist 1 was Mr. Hooker, and that Associate 3,

1 Zalewski Senior, was also currently being paid as a 2 "subcontractor". 3 Do you know why Consultant 1 advised 4 Mr. Marquez about Associate 1 and 2 and 3 being made 5 subcontractors? That is not a question that I can answer 6 Α. 7 under the limitations of my testimony. 8 All right. Now, it says here: Ο. 9 Consultant 1 emphasized that -- and meaning -- and I 10 believe that's Mr. Doherty -- emphasized that he had 11 told no one of the arrangement per instructions 12 previously given to Consultant 1. Do you see 13 that? 14 Α. Yes. 15 So do you know -- so when he says that he 0. 16 had told no one of the arrangement per instructions 17 previously given to Consultant 1, do you know who 18 gave those instructions to Consultant 1? 19 Not based on any -- I'm not sure I know Α. 20 it at all, and I definitely don't know it based on 21 any source of information that I can use here 22 today. 23 0. All right. All right. It now says, if 24 we take a look at the third line from the bottom of

1	the page actually, let me go back a step.
2	Consultant 1 actually so this is
3	the sixth line of subparagraph (d) on page A-7 of
4	the Deferred Prosecution Agreement. Consultant 1,
5	meaning Jay Doherty, emphasized that he had told no
6	one of the arrangement per instructions previously
7	given to him and cautioned Senior Executive 1,
8	Marquez, that ComEd should not tamper with the
9	arrangement because "your money comes from
10	Springfield" and that Doherty had "every reason to
11	believe" that Individual A, McClain, had spoken to
12	Madigan about the retention of Madigan's associates
13	and knew Lobbyist 1, Hooker, had done so. Is that
14	true?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. And does this again start to
17	the statement that Consultant 1 had every reason to
18	believe that Individual A, McClain, had spoken to
19	Public Official A about these retentions and that
20	and that he wanted it, can you state how that
21	influenced ComEd?
22	A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
23	Q. Well, sure. You know, again, trying to
24	get back to Mr. Welch's I'm sorry to Chairman

1	Welch's questions to you earlier. This is now
2	multiple individuals who are informing Mr. Marquez
3	at ComEd that they had every reason to believe that
4	Mike McClain had spoken to Madigan about retaining
5	Madigan's associates and knew that Hooker had done
6	so.
7	So is it reasonable to infer that
8	Mr. Madigan had knowledge of the scheme from that,
9	from ComEd's perspective?
10	A. ComEd I think what I can say is that
11	ComEd acknowledges repeatedly through the agreement
12	that it believed or it intended to influence the
13	Speaker through its conduct. Whether it, in fact,
14	influenced the Speaker, whether the Speaker was
15	aware of its intent to influence, those are those
16	are questions that I don't think I'm in a position
17	to comment on.
18	Q. Okay. But certainly, ComEd believed that
19	when Mr. McClain, for example, was making these
20	demands on behalf of the Speaker, they didn't think
21	Mr. McClain was lying to them?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Right. They thought he was authorized to
24	make these statements by Mike Madigan?

1	A. They believed him, yes.
2	Q. Okay. All right. And ComEd also had a
3	longstanding relationship with Mike McClain, right?
4	So there was no reason for them to doubt the
5	truthfulness of any representations he made to them
6	regarding the Speaker's wants and wishes?
7	A. So I think there are kind of multiple
8	parts to that question. One part is the length of
9	the relationship, and I'm not certain as I sit here
10	how long that relationship was. And then in no
11	reason to doubt the accuracy of McClain's
12	statements, I think I think the two problems with
13	being responsive to that, one one is that, to the
14	extent that there is information that I can't speak
15	to that may bare on that in one direction or
16	another, I can't discuss that, and also, I'm just
17	not sure of how much information I have on that
18	point.
19	Q. Sure. Let me see if I can try to break
20	it down a little bit more.
21	So it was Doherty's company that was
22	being used to funnel the money from ComEd to the
23	three Madigan associates referred to in the DPA,
24	true?

1	A. Yes.
2	All right. And Doherty warned Marquez that
3	he shouldn't tamper with the arrangement because
4	"your money comes from Springfield," true?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. All right. And Doherty said that he had
7	"every reason to believe" that McClain had spoken to
8	Madigan about funneling money to Madigan's associate
9	referred to in the DPA, right?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. All right. And Doherty told Hooker that
12	he, Doherty, knew Hooker had talked to Madigan about
13	the retention and payment of Madigan's associates?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. All right. Now, if we then take a look
16	at the bottom of page A-7, going over to the top of
17	page A-8: Consultant 1, meaning Doherty, added that
18	Public Official A, meaning Madigan's associates,
19	"keep their mouth shut and, you know, so. But do
20	they do anything for me on a day-to-day basis? No."
21	All right. So Doherty explained that the
22	payments to Madigan's associates I'm sorry. So
23	Doherty said to ComEd that Madigan's associates keep
24	their mouth shut, right?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. All right. And Doherty if we take a
3	look at the next sentence: Consultant 1 explained
4	that these payments were made to keep Madigan happy.
5	And so Doherty said the payments to Madigan's
6	associates are being made "to keep Madigan happy. I
7	think it's worth it because you'd hear otherwise."
8	Right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. All right. Now, on March 5, 2019, and
11	according to subpart (b), page A-8: On or about
12	March 5, 2019, McClain and ComEd personnel
13	participated in a meeting during which they
14	discussed Jay Doherty's contract and why the
15	indirect payments to Madigan's associates made under
16	the guise of that contract should be continued for
17	another year.
18	So let's go into why that happened. So
19	during that meeting, McClain explained that, for
20	decades, Michael Madigan had named individuals to be
21	ComEd employees, such as meter readers; is that
22	true?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. All right. Can you are you authorized

1 to say how you were able to validate that? The --2 Α. No. 3 And as Mr. Schar reminded me, what I can say is that the -- what is true here is the fact 4 5 that the statement was made. I don't have knowledge 6 of the underlying truth of the statement. 7 Q. Okay. But in any event, during this 8 March 5, 2019 meeting, Mike McClain did explain that 9 Madigan naming -- that in this conversation, he 10 indicated that Madigan naming these individuals for 11 ComEd to hire through decades was, quote -- I'm 12 sorry -- was part of an "old-fashioned patronage 13 system"? 14 Α. Yes. 15 0. Okay. That's how McClain, at least, was 16 explaining the scheme to ComEd? 17 Α. That's correct. 18 Q. All right. And then Commonwealth Edison, 19 if we take a look further down -- and that was part 20 of the reason, as well, why McClain was trying to 21 urge ComEd to renew Jay Doherty's lobbying contract, 22 right? So this -- the DPA places this 23 Α. 24 conversation in the context of discussions about

1	Mr. Dobortyla contract
	Mr. Doherty's contract.
2	Q. Right. Okay. And was it ComEd's
3	understanding that one of the reasons why Mr.
4	McClain was talking about how Madigan had been able
5	to name individuals to be ComEd employees as part of
6	a patronage system for years, was that being done to
7	try to perhaps minimize or otherwise incentivize
8	doing this bad compliance stuff with Mr. Doherty's
9	contract?
10	A. So I can't characterize why that
11	statement was made.
12	Q. Okay. Right. I'm trying to get ComEd's
13	response to that statement, though.
14	How did ComEd perceive it in terms of
15	influencing ComEd's behavior?
16	A. So I don't think I can speak to that
17	based on any information that's available for me to
18	share.
19	Q. Okay. Do you know whether ComEd was
20	you know, as a result of some of Mr. McClain's
21	statements and some of those from Mr. Hooker and
22	Mr. Doherty and others, was ComEd induced to change
23	any of their positions or do things they wouldn't
24	have otherwise wanted to do based on Mr. McClain's

1 and other's representations that these are the kind 2 of things that the Speaker wants? 3 Α. Yeah. I don't think I'm in a position to 4 answer that question. 5 Q. Okay. Continuing on then on page A-8, 6 where, you know, again, we're -- if we're looking at 7 the number on the bottom paragraph of page A-8, the 8 \$1,324,500, those indirect payments made to Public 9 Official A's associates, did those all go through 10 the Doherty contract? 11 Α. So I don't believe that I can answer that 12 question on the basis of information that's 13 available for my use today. 14 Ο. Okay. Is there any dollar amount that 15 you can identify for us here today that is 16 associated with a dollar amount that you can say 17 went through Jay Doherty's company to fund the 18 non-comEd work of Speaker Madigan's associates? 19 Α. One moment. 20 I don't have that information. 21 Q. Okay. 22 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Representative, may I just ask. I don't want to cut you off. I just want 23 24 to ask, because there are other Members that want

1 recognition, how much longer do you think you will 2 have? 3 REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Probably another 20 minutes. 4 5 CHAIRMAN WELCH: I also wanted everyone 6 to take a restroom break. I see people grimacing. 7 Would now be a time to take a break or 8 can you get through this? 9 REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: No, that's 10 fine. I'm happy to take a break for everybody, and 11 that will, hopefully, give me time to cut down 12 questions, as well. 13 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Let's take a five-minute break and then she can conclude. We will stand at 14 15 ease for five minutes. 16 (Recess taken at 4:52 p.m.) 17 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Looks like everyone is back. Thank you, Representative, for allowing us to 18 19 take that much needed break. She promises that she has no more than 15 or 20 minutes left. 20 21 Representative, you have -- you may 22 resume. 23 REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Thank you. 24 BY REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI:

1	Q. If we could go to page A-9 of the
2	Deferred Prosecution Agreement Statement of Facts,
3	and I would like to focus on the sentence that
4	starts about the fourth line down. But the context
5	of what's going on is this this paragraph is
6	talking about the associates of Madigan were paid by
7	ComEd for doing little to no work. It says: Prior
8	to ComEd's discovery of the federal law enforcement
9	investigation, Public Official A, meaning Madigan,
10	and Individual A, meaning McClain's, approval was
11	sought by ComEd before payments to certain of
12	Madigan's associates were discontinued, even though
13	these individuals performed little to no work with
14	ComEd. Are you with me?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. All right. So so number one, when
17	this is talking about Public Official A's associates
18	were discontinued, are these individuals who were
19	separate from the three associates referred to
20	elsewhere in the Deferred Prosecution Agreement?
21	A. So this is this is not a question I
22	can answer based on the information available to me
23	today.
24	Q. Okay. But to be clear, when it comes to

1	this particular paragraph, this is saying that, when
2	ComEd wanted to stop paying some of Speaker
3	Madigan's associates, who were doing little or no
4	work for ComEd, ComEd secured both Madigan and
5	McClain's approval before they discontinued those
6	payments?
7	A. So the the the in the DPA, it
8	says what it says on that point.
9	Q. Right. Right. It says and, not or,
10	right?
11	A. Right. I don't want to the DPA says
12	what we have said on these points, and I don't want
13	to characterize beyond those statements.
14	Q. Right. That's fair. And let me put it
15	this way: You have no reason to doubt today that
16	both Public Official A, Madigan's, and McClain's
17	approval was sought by ComEd before the payments to
18	Public Official A's associates were discontinued?
19	A. That's correct. We acknowledge that in
20	the DPA.
21	Q. All right. And by the way, when we were
22	talking about Speaker Madigan's associates, the
23	Frank Olivo, does ComEd know whether that's the
24	Frank Olivo who was the former 13th Ward Alderman?

1 Α. I don't believe I can answer that on the basis of the information available for my use at 2 3 this hearing. 4 Okay. All right. Let's go ahead then Q. and keep on moving. 5 6 Let's talk about just the board member --7 where board member -- let's see. Board Member 1, 8 can you just confirm that that is Juan -- and I'll 9 spell the last name -- O-c-h-o-a. 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay. And can you confirm that, before Q. 12 the request was made on -- by Mr. McClain, 13 ostensibly on behalf of Speaker Madigan to put Juan 14 Ochoa on the board, that ComEd did not have any open 15 board seats? 16 I'm not sure I can confirm that. Α. 17 0. Okay. Do you know whether ComEd created 18 a new board seat for Board Member 1 to -- so that 19 Board Member 1 could have a position or did he 20 replace an existing board member? 21 My understanding is that he was not a new Α. 22 seat. 23 Q. Okay. 24 Α. It was an existing seat.

1	Q. Okay. If we can take a look at page
2	A-10, this is the it's the top paragraph on the
3	page, the first full paragraph, but I do want to ask
4	about the statement that the CEO made. You take
5	good care of me and so does our friend, meaning
6	Madigan, and I will do the best that I can to
7	take to take care of you. So, again, our friend
8	is Michael Madigan, right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. All right. And part of at least part
11	of the reason why Juan Ochoa was put on ComEd's
12	board was to influence and reward Michael Madigan in
13	connection with his official duties?
14	A. So the the DPA acknowledges that this
15	was part of a part of a course of conduct
16	Q. Right.
17	A with the overall intent, and
18	specifically acknowledges that ComEd appointed Board
19	Member 1, in part, with the intent to influence and
20	reward Speaker Madigan in connection with his
21	official duties.
22	Q. Okay. Just in general, can you say,
23	sitting here today, that Anne Pramaggiore did not
24	speak to Mike Madigan about the events in the DPA to

1 confirm that they were authorized or that these were 2 things that he specifically wanted? 3 Α. That's not a question that I can answer on the basis of the information available. 4 5 All right. That's fine. Q. 6 All right. Let's just go to the 7 retention of the law firm, which is at the bottom of 8 page A-10. 9 So the -- the Law Firm A, was that Reyes 10 Kurson -- I'm sorry, Kurson? 11 Α. Reyes Kurson. 12 Kurson, sorry. 0. 13 Α. Can I have one moment? 14 Okay. Sorry for the interruption. 15 0. Sure. Can you just confirm that? 16 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Mr. Glockner, we are 17 hearing the court reporter is having difficulty hearing. 18 19 MR. GLOCKNER: Sorry. 20 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Do your best to try to 21 get as close to the mic as possible. 22 BY REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: 23 Ο. Just to repeat, can you confirm that Law 24 Firm A referred to on page A-10 is Reyes Kurson?

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9/29/2020

Page 126

1 Α. Yes. 2 Thank you. And was Lawyer A being Q. 3 referred to Victor Reyes? 4 Α. Is there a Lawyer A referred to here? 5 Yes. Q. Yes. Yes, I believe so. 6 Α. 7 Okay. All right. And again, the Q. 8 retention of Law Firm A and, in particular, the 850 9 hours of attorney work per year, it was ComEd's 10 understanding, giving this contract to Reyes Kurson 11 was important to Speaker Madigan, right? 12 The DPA reflects the personnel Α. Yes. 13 agents of ComEd understood that giving the contract 14 to Law Firm A was important to Speaker Madigan. 15 Ο. All right. And if we go from page A-10 16 to A-11, it says: 2016, the Reyes Kurson contract 17 was up for renewal and people at ComEd actually 18 wanted to change his contract so that ComEd was 19 paying only for the hours that were actually worked; 20 is that right? 21 So the -- what the DPA acknowledges is Α. 22 that the individuals within ComEd sought to reduce 23 the hours of work they provided to Reyes Kurson from 24 the 850 specified in the retention agreement because

1	ComEd paid only for hours worked and that there
2	wasn't enough work that was appropriate for the firm
3	to give them to fill up that 850 hours. I don't
4	believe that ComEd was paying for hours not
5	worked.
6	Q. Okay. So under the 2011 Retention
7	Agreement, do you recall what the hourly rate was
8	for Mr. Reyes or his law firm?
9	A. I do not.
10	Q. Was there a minimum guaranteed payment
11	amount under that contract under that Retainer
12	Agreement?
13	A. I don't have the contract in front of
14	me.
15	Q. Okay. Would it would that do you
16	know whether that actually is in the Retainer
17	Agreement?
18	A. I'm not aware of any such requirement.
19	Q. Okay. Do you know whether, over the
20	course of the contract, between 2011 to 2016, ComEd
21	paid the law firm for 850 hours, even though the
22	firm had not worked the 850 hours?
23	A. As I said before, I don't believe that
24	ComEd was paying for hours that were not worked.

1	Q. Okay. And then so if we look then on
2	page A-11, first full paragraph, it says:
3	Thereafter, an attorney associated with Law Firm A,
4	Lawyer A, meaning Victor Reyes, complained to
5	McClain about ComEd's effort to reduce the amount of
6	work provided to his law firm. And then on or about
7	January 20, 2016, McClain contacted Anne Pramaggiore
8	and wrote, "I am sure you know how valuable Lawyer
9	A, meaning Victor Reyes, is to our friend, meaning
10	Madigan" And then went on to write, "I know the
11	drill and so do you. If you do not get involved and
12	resolve this issue of 850 hours for his law firm per
13	year, then he will go to our friend, meaning
14	Madigan. Our friend, meaning Madigan, will call me
15	and then I will call you. Is this a drill we must
16	go through?" And Anne Pramaggiore replied in
17	writing, "Sorry. No one informed me. I am on
18	this." Is that true?
19	A. Yes, those statements were made.
20	Q. Okay. And then when it and then if
21	you look at the bottom of page A-11, it says that
22	Law Firm A's contract was renewed because the work
23	provided to Law Firm A was, in part, designed to
24	influence and reward Public Official A, meaning

1 Madigan, in connection with Madigan's official 2 duties, including the promotion and passage of FEJA. 3 Do you see that? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. All right. Now, it says ComEd agreed in 6 or around June 2016 to renew Law Firm A's contract 7 with substantially reduced annual hours. Do you see 8 that? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Do you know whether, even with that Ο. 11 reduced annual hours, the Reyes Kurson law firm 12 still wound up getting paid the same amount as under 13 the 2011 Retainer Agreements? 14 Α. I don't know that and if the subsequent agreement reduced the hours to 47.5 per month, of 15 16 which 15 would be administrative hearings, which 17 were expected to be about 570 hours per year, so down from the 850. 18 19 Okay. And then did the -- did the hourly Q. 20 rates also go up? 21 I don't have that information with me, Α. 22 but I'm not aware that they did. 23 Ο. Okay. So to -- so let me ask you this: 24 So when it comes to -- I mean, what was the -- do

1 you know how -- how much Kurson Reyes -- I'm sorry, 2 Reyes Kurson was paid in 2016 as compared to 2015? 3 Α. I'm sorry. I don't have that information 4 with me today. 5 Is it information you would be Q. Okay. 6 willing to share with the Committee? 7 Α. Assuming the information is not 8 privileged, which is my quess, that we would be 9 willing to share it with the Committee. 10 I would just be interested to ο. Yeah. 11 seeing what the actual Retainer Agreements looked 12 like and if the hourly rates changed or if the 13 dollar amounts actually paid. I would not be 14 interested in the substance of any communications, 15 obviously. 16 Α. Right. Right. 17 All right. Now, would it be fair to say, 0. 18 in your experience, Commonwealth is a pretty 19 sophisticated company? 20 Α. Yeah, I -- I don't want to get into 21 characterizing the company. We're -- we are a 22 Fortune 500 -- I think, Fortune 100 company. It's a 23 company that does a lot of complicated things. But 24 sophisticated is a matter of judgment and, you know,

1 we -- the DPA sort of speaks for itself with respect 2 to its conduct in this matter. 3 All right. Would it be fair to say that Q. 4 the executives that you have dealt with at ComEd, 5 they're not naive. They're sharp; they're smart 6 people? 7 Α. The executives that I have dealt with at 8 ComEd and Exelon have impressed me quite a bit. Ι 9 would also note that the executives who were 10 responsible for this conduct are no longer with the 11 firm. 12 Right. Does ComEd have a position that Ο. 13 it can share today regarding whether Anne 14 Pramaggiore was snickered -- snookered by Mike 15 McClain being boastful that he had connections and 16 authority to speak on behalf of the Speaker that he 17 didn't have? 18 So I can't go beyond what ComEd has said Α. 19 in the DPA, and the DPA reflects that ComEd, as an 20 entity at the time this conduct occurred, acted with 21 the intention to influence the Speaker. 22 And one of the reasons why Commonwealth Q. 23 had that intention to influence the Speaker is 24 because Commonwealth Edison anticipated receiving

1	over \$150 million worth of benefits as a result of
2	this scheme; is that fair?
3	A. I would not agree with that.
4	Q. Well, let's take a look at page A-12 of
5	the Deferred Prosecution Agreement, and I would like
6	to direct your attention to the section that says
7	Benefit to ComEd.
8	It says: Between in or around 2011 and
9	in or around 2019, during the same time frame that
10	ComEd was making payments to Public Official A,
11	Madigan's associates, and extending other benefits
12	for the purpose of influencing and rewarding Public
13	Official A, meaning Madigan, ComEd was also seeking
14	Public Official A, meaning Madigan's, support for
15	legislation that was beneficial to ComEd, including
16	EIMA and FEJA, that would ensure a continued
17	favorable rate structure for ComEd. ComEd
18	acknowledges that the reasonably foreseeable
19	anticipated benefits to ComEd of such legislation
20	exceeded \$150 million.
21	A. Yes, that I would agree with.
22	Q. All right. Now, when it came to
23	receiving these foreseeable anticipated benefits of
24	legislation that exceeded \$150 million, Mike McClain

1 did not have the power to deliver any of those 2 benefits, did he? 3 Α. So I -- so when -- Mr. McClain at that 4 point was -- was not a public official. Right. So Mike Madigan would be a public 5 Q. 6 official who potentially could have the power to 7 deliver the benefits of the EIMA and FEJA 8 legislation; is that fair? So I would, I think, take issue with your 9 Α. 10 use of the word deliver, which is not a word that is 11 used in the DPA. Both of these pieces of 12 legislation were supported by a wide range of 13 people. What ComEd has acknowledged in the DPA is 14 that it viewed Speaker Madigan as influential. Ιt 15 sought to influence him, but there is a difference, 16 I think, between seeking to influence one individual 17 and stating, as I think the question may have implied, that the Speaker was in a position by 18 19 himself to deliver a particular piece of 20 legislation. 21 Ο. Did ComEd have an understanding that 22 Speaker Madigan, under the House Rules, had the 23 authority to not call legislation to the floor, 24 which would effectively kill the legislation?

1	A. In the the DPA, ComEd acknowledged
2	that Speaker Madigan was able to exercise control
3	over what measures were called for a vote in the
4	House of Representatives.
5	Q. Right. And that's pretty influential,
6	right?
7	A. I
8	Q. ComEd can't get legislation passed if the
9	Speaker won't call it, right?
10	A. The your I don't want to take issue
11	with your characterizations, but I also the DPA
12	is very sort of carefully and tightly crafted, and I
13	don't want to add or agree to characterizations that
14	go beyond what we said in the DPA.
15	Q. Sure. Well, maybe we can try to get at
16	some of these things this way: Mike McClain didn't
17	have any power to bring any legislation to the floor
18	or not allow legislation to come to the house floor;
19	is that fair?
20	A. That is that is fair, based on my
21	understanding of Mike McClain's role as a private
22	citizen at that time.
23	Q. Right. And Michael Madigan is the one
24	who actually held a public office to use and abuse;

1	is that fair?
2	A. Speaker Madigan was a public official. I
3	don't want to I don't want to accept your the
4	remainder of the sentence, which is a
5	characterization.
6	Q. All right. Well, let's try to get at
7	this this way. Take a look at page 6 of the
8	Deferred Prosecution Agreement, and it's under the
9	heading Payment of Monetary Penalty. Item 9,
10	subpart (a), where the offense level is being
11	calculated under the sentencing guidelines. Page 6.
12	A. Sorry.
13	Q. That's okay.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. All right. So do you see there, item (b)
16	(3), Involvement of an elected public official in a
17	high-level decision-making or sensitive position.
18	The elected public official in a
19	high-level decision-making or sensitive position was
20	Illinois Speaker Mike Madigan, right?
21	A. Yes. Although, I think there are some
22	legal issues relating to the the application of
23	the guideline.
24	Q. Yeah. I'm not trying to say whether the

1	guideline is accurate or not. It's just in terms
2	of the quantification of the calculation levels or
3	anything like that. Just that it says that the
4	elected public official in a high-level
5	decision-making or sensitive position that would be
6	referred to in the context of this DPA was Mike
7	Madigan?
8	A. So what I think is the accurate statement
9	here is that this particular language that you're
10	pointing to reflects our agreement that this section
11	of the sentencing guidelines, Section 2C1.1(b)(3),
12	was applicable. I don't I don't believe that
13	that was meant as a or should be read as a
14	statement of fact. It's it's an agreement as to
15	the legal applicability of that particular provision
16	of the United States Sentencing Guidelines.
17	Q. Sure. And the only factual and if you
18	look at the Deferred Prosecution Agreement, the only
19	elected public official in a high-level
20	decision-making or sensitive position that is
21	referred to in the Deferred Prosecution Agreement is
22	Illinois House Speaker Michael Madigan, right?
23	A. That's correct.
24	REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Okay. All

1	right.
2	Chairman, I think I've gotten to my 15
3	minutes, so I'll see the floor. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Thank you,
5	Representative. I will say, you've been thorough.
6	Representative Hernandez.
7	REPRESENTATIVE HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
8	Mr. Chairman.
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY REPRESENTATIVE HERNANDEZ
11	Q. Mr. Glockner, glad to have you here. I'm
12	going to start off because I noticed that there was
13	a repeated it was repeatedly said that ComEd's
14	intent. Does ComEd's intent, does that constitute
15	unbecoming of a lawmaker?
16	A. I can't comment on matters relating to
17	the interpretation of the House Rules.
18	Q. Okay. So okay. So Mr. Glockner, did
19	ComEd admit guilt in a bribery scheme lasting nine
20	years or did they enter a not guilty plea?
21	A. ComEd has acknowledged facts in the DPA.
22	The the a criminal charge has been filed.
23	Pursuant to the terms of the DPA, if ComEd complies
24	with the DPA, after three years, the charge will be

1 dismissed with no conviction. ComEd has not pled 2 quilty. 3 Okay. So for clarity's sake, ComEd has 0. 4 agreed that it does not contest the facts laid out 5 in the DPA, right? I -- yes, we agree to -- we affirmatively 6 Α. 7 have agreed to those facts. 8 0. So from ComEd's perspective, even if we 9 accept all of the facts laid out in the DPA to be 10 accurate, ComEd believes it to be not quilty of any 11 federal crime? That is ComEd's position, as you 12 understand it? 13 Α. So I would certainly not say that. Ι 14 would say that we have acknowledged facts. We -- we 15 have not pled quilty. We do not expect, at the end 16 of these proceedings, to be convicted, but I can't and won't characterize ComEd's view of the legal 17 implications of those facts. We have not -- we have 18 19 not pled guilty. 20 Okay. So moving to other questions. Q. 21 Over the years, ComEd has likely received 22 recommendations from legislators for jobs, 23 contracts, charitable contributions, etcetera. То 24 your knowledge, is that a correct assumption?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. For the record, in your opinion,
3	is it a crime for a legislator to make a job
4	recommendation to ComEd or recommendations?
5	A. One moment here.
6	So any any specific instance of an
7	exchange between public officials and other people
8	requires its own kind of specific analysis, but one
9	thing that I think I can say is that in connection
10	with our compliance efforts, to sort of strengthen
11	our compliance program after this incident, we have
12	put into place a process that requires anyone in the
13	ComEd or broader Exelon organization who receives a
14	request, referral, recommendation from a public
15	official of any sort, not just a legislator, for
16	anything that is something of value and not, kind
17	of, ordinary course constituent service request or
18	part of their ordinary official duties, those
19	matters are now required to be reported to ComEd
20	through a tracking system that captures the
21	interaction, captures our analysis, and captures our
22	disposition of it. I think implicit in that is sort
23	of both that these interactions can be can
24	require close analysis, but also that they are not

1	inherently illegal.
2	Q. Okay. So that's that's what you are
3	implementing now. So prior, then, if a legislator
4	made a job recommendation to ComEd or any other
5	company with any threat or promises implicit or
6	explicit attached, is it simply not a crime? Is
7	it?
8	A. Yeah, I don't I'm really not my
9	role here is really not to be any kind of expert on
10	the law for the Committee. I can talk to you about
11	ComEd's practices and information that's sort of
12	within my knowledge in my role, but I I don't
13	think it's really appropriate for me to give some
14	broad legal advice.
15	Q. Okay. So just to make it clear, did I
16	hear you say that it is not inherently illegal for a
17	legislator to make a recommendation?
18	A. Yes, but I put that in the context of our
19	processes which, in the wake of this, there is a
20	clear recognition that these are interactions that
21	need to be handled with caution and great care and
22	carefully analyzed. The mere fact of an interaction
23	standing alone is not illegal, though, and that's
24	the we're in the training that we're doing

1	internally and our communications with public
2	officials, it's important that we be able to
3	continue to communicate with public officials.
4	That's not inherently illegal. But it's also
5	important that those communications not cross the
6	line and that we we make sure that in responding
7	to those communications, we act with the kind of
8	greatest care and most integrity in our own response
9	to those communications.
10	Q. Okay. So in your opinion, would you say
11	then is it unethical for a legislator to make a job
12	recommendation?
13	A. So I can't comment on legislative
14	ethics.
15	Q. Okay. So ComEd has various lobbyists
16	that work for the company. Some work internally as
17	full-time employees of ComEd and some are
18	contractural workers. Many of these contractural
19	workers are lobbyists; is this accurate?
20	A. That is my understanding.
21	Q. How many are currently employed?
22	A. I cannot I don't have that
23	information.
24	Q. What is the reason that ComEd hires both

1	internal and contractural lobbyists?
2	A. I can't speak to the the reasons for
3	the specific structure of how that's handled. It's
4	not a matter that I'm familiar with at this point.
5	Q. Okay. Did ComEd hire lobbyists with ties
6	to all of the legislative caucuses or only those
7	with connections to Speaker Madigan?
8	A. I think it's fair to say that that
9	ComEd has lobbyists with a variety of political
10	backgrounds and perspectives and sources of
11	expertise.
12	Q. So would you say that ComEd hired
13	lobbyists with connections to Leader Durkin?
14	A. One moment.
15	Unfortunately, I don't I don't know
16	enough at this point about the way our lobbying
17	operation is structured in terms of the segments and
18	relationship to be able to provide you with an
19	answer to that that I'm comfortable
20	Q. All right. So you wouldn't know about
21	President Cullerton or now President Harmon or even
22	Leader Brady?
23	A. I wouldn't know about them.
24	Q. You know, did ComEd hire lobbyists with

1 these connections? So you wouldn't know that? 2 Α. So what I -- what I think I can say is 3 that ComEd has had lobbyists with relationships with 4 many different political leaders from both 5 parties. 6 So would you say -- so the strategy of 0. 7 hiring lobbyists to assist the company was not 8 solely aimed at Speaker Madigan, was it? 9 So -- I'm not sure I would agree with Α. 10 the -- with the use of the term aimed at. We -- the 11 company uses lobbyists to provide information to a 12 range of legislators and other people involved --13 Q. So it --14 -- in the policy process, and -- but I Α. 15 think that is the probably the best way to describe 16 that. 17 Ο. So you would say it would be aimed to all 18 legislators, including Leader Durkin? 19 I wouldn't use the use the word aimed, Α. 20 but I would say that ComEd attempts to engage with 21 legislators across the political spectrum. 22 So to use the words that the government Q. 23 uses to describe this everyday event, ComEd, through 24 its lobbyists, wanted to curry favor with the

1	legislative leaders; is that right?
2	A. Sorry. Are you quoting from a document
3	or is this a characterization?
4	Q. It's a quote. To us, the word of the
5	government or what the government uses to describe
6	this everyday event, does ComEd, through its
7	lobbyists, want to curry favor with legislative
8	leaders?
9	A. So there are several layers in that
10	question, partly with the term currying favor. I
11	think if you if you look at the language in the
12	DPA and the specific admissions set out in the DPA,
13	we we have acknowledged that ComEd attempted to
14	influence Speaker Madigan. But I think I would
15	characterize the work of our lobbying team generally
16	as being to provide information and to advocate for
17	policy interests on the government with
18	government officials on the company's behalf.
19	Q. So there are legislative leaders or
20	legislators who ask ComEd or Exelon to hire
21	particular lobbyists?
22	A. One moment.
23	The answer to that is that I'm not aware
24	of requests directly from legislators to hire

1 specific lobbyists, but I also don't -- I can't 2 represent that I've got full information on that 3 point. 4 Okay. So are you aware then if any 0. 5 recommendations that maybe Leader Durkin may have 6 made to ComEd? 7 Α. So when you say Leader Durkin may have 8 made --9 Q. Uh-huh. 10 Α. -- I'm not aware of any communication 11 from Leader Durkin to ComEd with respect to a 12 lobbyist. No direct communication. 13 So you are aware, are you not, Q. Okay. 14 that ComEd, at the recommendation of Fidel Marquez, 15 hired former Republican legislator Tom Walsh, in 16 part, because Leader Durkin urged ComEd to do so? 17 Did you know that? 18 So I'm aware of -- you're -- I'm trying Α. 19 to make sure that I'm recalling this accurately. 20 But my recollection is that we have a communication 21 from a third party, I don't recall whether it was 22 Mr. Marquez or somebody else, indicating that Leader 23 Durkin had recommended Mr. Walsh. 24 Q. And so when the FEJA bill was being

1 debated in the Illinois House of Representatives, 2 Tom Walsh was among the army of lobbyists that ComEd 3 employed to help pass the bill. Are you aware of 4 that? 5 I'm not familiar with who lobbied on that Α. 6 particular matter. 7 And ultimately, Leader Durkin voted for Q. 8 the FEJA bill, right? 9 I don't have individual's votes on that Α. 10 legislation in front of me. 11 Okay. And it is presumed that Tom Walsh Q. 12 urged him to do so, right? 13 Α. That's your presumption. I have no information. 14 15 So Governor Rauner and Leader Durkin took Ο. 16 credit for passing that bill, saying that they had 17 to move mountains to accomplish that. Are you aware 18 of that? 19 I have read that quote. Α. 20 And so the agreement to hire Leader Q. 21 Durkin's recommended candidate, Tom Walsh, even if 22 done in part to curry favor with Durkin, was not --23 this was not done in return for getting Leader 24 Durkin's support for a stream of benefits that

1 Durkin would bestow upon ComEd or Exelon, including 2 supporting FEJA, right? 3 Α. So I'm not aware that that particular 4 hire was with an effort to curry favor with a --5 with Leader Durkin. I think, really, the rest of 6 your question was really a characterization, which I 7 prefer not to respond to. 8 0. Okay. So has ComEd ever actively 9 solicited job recommendations from public officials? 10 And, if you have, can you describe them? I don't know the answer to that 11 Α. 12 question. 13 For example, like, the smart meters, Q. 14 there was a -- there was an effort to -- before the 15 grid came out, a hiring of smart meters. So ComEd 16 never asked for recommendations from public 17 officials that you're aware of? 18 Α. So what I'm saying is, I don't know the 19 answer to that question. The -- that's not an area 20 that was -- that I'm familiar with in my six months 21 with the company. 22 REPRESENTATIVE HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank 23 you. 24 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Representative Wehrli.

1	EXAMINATION
2	BY REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI
3	Q. Thank you, Chairman.
4	If I could return us back to the Deferred
5	Prosecution Agreement, which is why I believe you
6	were called here to testify; is that correct?
7	A. Just here to answer questions.
8	Q. Okay. Has in the Deferred Prosecution
9	Agreement, can you point to anywhere in the document
10	that either identifies or mentions Jim Durkin?
11	A. No.
12	Q. In the Deferred Prosecution Agreement,
13	can you point can you identify or point to any
14	area that mentions Bill Brady?
15	A. No.
16	Q. In the Deferred Prosecution Agreement,
17	can you identify or point to any mentions of Senate
18	President John Cullerton?
19	A. No.
20	Q. In the Deferred Prosecution Agreement,
21	can you identify or point to any mentions of now
22	Senate President Don Harmon?
23	A. No.
24	Q. I'm sorry?

1 Α. No. 2 In the Deferred Prosecution Agreement, Q. 3 can you identify or point to any mentions of Tom 4 Walsh? 5 Α. No. Can you point to, in the Deferred 6 0. 7 Prosecution Agreement, 72 mentions of Public 8 Official A, Speaker Michael J. Madigan? 9 Α. I have never counted. 10 Ο. Can you explain multiple mentions of 11 Public Official A, Speaker Michael J. Madigan? Yes. The focus of the Deferred 12 Α. 13 Prosecution Agreement was the -- the effort by ComEd 14 to influence Speaker Madigan. 15 0. Okay. In your opening statement, you 16 mentioned that not only were you a U.S. Attorney for 17 the criminal division here in the Northern District 18 of Illinois, but you were also a Securities Exchange 19 lawyer. For how long were you in that role? 20 Α. So just to correct, I was not the U.S. 21 Attorney. 22 Q. Okay. 23 Α. I was an assistant U.S. Attorney and 24 served as chief of the criminal division.

1 Q. Okay. Thank you for that clarification. 2 And were you a lawyer for the Securities 3 and Exchange Commission? 4 Α. I was the regional director for the 5 Securities and Exchange Commission for the Chicago office. 6 7 Okay. So in that role, are you familiar Q. 8 with penalties being paid by public corporations in 9 both civil and criminal matters? Yes. And the SEC doesn't have criminal 10 Α. 11 authority, but it has civil authority. 12 In that role, is it -- all right. 0. 13 Are you -- do you agree that, on page 7 14 of the DPA, the government and ComEd agree, based on 15 the application of the guidelines, that the 16 appropriate total criminal penalty, criminal 17 penalty, is \$200 million? Is that correct? 18 So I would not characterize the -- the --Α. so I would not -- sorry. I'm looking for the page 19 20 here. 21 Q. Page 7. 22 Α. So the -- the total criminal penalty, 23 based on the application of the guidelines, is \$200 24 million. So if the guidelines apply, which they

would if this were a criminal case in which the 1 2 guidelines applied, because there was a conviction, 3 then the penalty -- the applicable penalty under the 4 sentencing guidelines would be \$200 million. 5 Has ComEd agreed to pay a penalty of \$200 Q. 6 million? 7 Α. So we have agreed to make a payment to 8 the Treasury of \$200 million, yes. 9 Q. And that is part of this Deferred 10 Prosecution Agreement, correct? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Okay. Are you aware of any lobbyists on Ο. 13 the Republican side of the aisle who subverted the 14 ComEd vendor payment system? 15 Α. No. 16 Q. Who hired people who did little or no 17 work for Commonwealth Edison? 18 Commonwealth Edison did. Α. 19 Q. Has any Republican member of the General 20 Assembly placed into position with ComEd anyone that 21 did precinct or political work? 22 Α. One moment. 23 So the challenge of your question is --24 it is a very broad question that goes considerably

1 beyond the DPA. 2 Q. So I will rephrase it. 3 Does the DPA, Deferred Prosecution 4 Agreement, delineate any Republican members of the 5 General Assembly seeking jobs with Commonwealth 6 Edison? 7 Α. No. 8 REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: No further 9 questions. 10 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Thank you, 11 Representative. 12 Representative Manley, seeking 13 recognition of the Leader. 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY REPRESENTATIVE MANLEY 16 Q. Hi, Mr. Glockner. How are you doing? 17 Fine. Α. 18 Q. This is fun, isn't it? You need some 19 coffee? 20 Α. I'm good. 21 Okay. So I'm going to kind of jump Q. 22 around a little bit here. 23 Did anyone, other than McClain or 24 Madigan, refer Mr. Ochoa to the open existing seat

1 on ComEd's board? 2 Could you repeat the question, please? Ι Α. 3 want to make sure I understand it. 4 Did anyone -- to your knowledge, did 0. 5 anyone, other than Mike McClain or Michael Madigan, 6 refer Mr. Ochoa to the open existing seat on ComEd's 7 board? 8 Α. Sorry for the delay. So I am aware of -make sure I'm correctly -- so I'm aware of an email 9 10 from an office manager for Speaker Madigan's Pulaski 11 Street office which sent Mr. Ochoa's resume' to 12 Ms. Pramaggiore. And the email said Speaker Madigan 13 asked me to send this to you. I can't go --14 0. I said anybody other than McClain or 15 Madigan recommend --16 Right. This was from Speaker Madigan's Α. 17 assistant. So -- so --18 Recommended or referred is what I am Ο. 19 looking for. Do you know anybody that recommended, 20 not forwarded a resume', but recommended or 21 referred? 22 Α. Right. I was trying to be literally 23 careful in responding to that. So I think that 24 answer was in a literally correct -- the information

1	I provided was responsive to that answer. I can't
2	go beyond that without getting into information that
3	I'm I can't speak to because of either privilege
4	or other considerations.
5	Q. Do you have knowledge of other
6	legislators making recommendations through third
7	parties for any type of job or contract? Not
8	looking for names, just a yes or no.
9	A. Knowledge of other legislators
10	Q. Making recommendations through third
11	parties for any job or contract?
12	A. Again, I'm trying to think about what
13	information I might have that I would be in a
14	position to share versus the areas where I'm not in
15	a position to speak to. I can't, as I sit here, say
16	definitively one way or the other just because it's
17	the ComEd had lots of contacts with lots of
18	legislators, and I I want to be very careful to
19	be accurate and
20	Q. I appreciate that.
21	A and precise in comments here, and I
22	don't want to speculate.
23	Q. I'm going to loop back because I just
24	want some understanding.

1	Representative Hernandez asked you if you
2	were aware that ComEd, at the recommendation of
3	Fidel Marquez, hired former Republican legislator
4	Tom Walsh, in part, because Leader Durkin urged
5	ComEd to do so. And you said you are aware of some
6	communication from a third party that said Leader
7	Durkin recommended. I want to be clear.
8	A. Yes. I appreciate that and and that
9	is my recollection. I don't believe I have that
10	particular communication with me.
11	Q. So did you believe that person was
12	speaking on behalf of Leader Durkin?
13	A. So I can't say what ComEd believed. What
14	I can say is that there was a communication that
15	referred to a relationship between speaker Durkin
16	sorry Leader Durkin and this lobbyist.
17	Q. So this person was speaking on Leader
18	Durkin's behalf?
19	A. I'm not sure that's accurate. There is a
20	distinction between speaking on someone's behalf and
21	passing on information. And without having
22	Q. It's important. That's important.
23	Okay. So let's talk about lobbyists, you
24	know, the dreaded lobbyists. In my time here,

1	lobbyists, although sometimes it evokes a negative
2	connotation they are up to some nefarious things,
3	but they are critical to the process because we
4	handle complicated things and a good lobbyist will
5	tell you not only why you should support what they
6	are there to talk to you about, but they would, in
7	turn, also tell you what the nay sayers would say.
8	So you kind of get both sides of it. And they won't
9	lie to you, by the way. So you get the truth and
10	you build relationships, and this is how we learn
11	things. And so when a big company, like ComEd, or
12	some other big issue comes up, they hire armies of
13	lobbyists to come and see us. And it's pretty much
14	based on relationships over time, through other
15	work, things like that. Is there anything illegal
16	about hiring a lobbyist for those reasons?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Has it ever been a crime to do that?
19	A. No. But I will say lobbyists, at various
20	points, have gotten into trouble. But the act of
21	lobbying, done appropriately, as you described
22	Q. Is vital to the process?
23	A is a well-established part of the
24	process and is not illegal.

1	Q. Okay. I told you I was going to jump
2	around a little bit. I have notes everywhere.
3	Would you say it's inappropriate or
4	illegal to recommend people for jobs?
5	A. Again, it's a it's a broad
6	characterization and and in there may be
7	contexts where the answer to that is yes. There are
8	certainly contexts where the answer to that is no.
9	Q. So you're saying, sometimes it is illegal
10	to recommend people for jobs or inappropriate?
11	Let's say there's somebody that worked
12	for you that was excellent in whatever capacity and
13	you supervised them and they were young and up and
14	coming and you you do you think that's
15	inappropriate or illegal to recommend that person to
16	another person who was looking for somebody that
17	really could benefit?
18	A. No. I certainly wouldn't suggest that
19	the mere act of recommending somebody with
20	absolutely no kind of other context around is
21	unlawful.
22	Q. And so let me ask you this: If if you
23	were an employer so you are the duly chosen
24	person here representing ComEd. Do you think, as an

1 employer, you have the responsibility to ensure that 2 the people that you hire work? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. And is it the employer's job to monitor 5 and keep employees accountable? 6 Α. Absolutely. 7 Q. Is it the recommender's job? 8 Α. No. 9 Q. Would you accept recommendations from 10 someone whose previous recommendations ended up 11 doing little or no work? 12 I certainly wouldn't. Α. 13 So there's nothing really inappropriate Q. 14 by making job recommendations, but why was ComEd not 15 monitoring their employees? 16 So I can't speak to why. I can speak to Α. 17 a number of things that were done to make sure that there is very active supervision of our lobbying and 18 19 public affairs teams going forward in both -- in 20 terms of a much more rigorous vetting process to get them in the door, process that requires detailed 21 22 invoices, setting up the work they have done, twice annual reviews of their activities with 23 24 documentation associated with that, involvement of

1 senior business leadership, as well as compliance 2 team in that review process, and contracts that have 3 much more extensive language with respect to the 4 obligations of lobbyists and the scope of their 5 work, their compliance with various ethics and legal 6 requirements. So those are -- those are some of the 7 things that we have done in response to -- to 8 looking at what happened here, to make sure that 9 this doesn't happen again and going forward, and 10 we're not going to have issues with what we want and 11 need to be a first-class and responsible public 12 policy operation.

Q. ComEd's position is that there is nothing in the facts of the DPA that constitutes a violation of federal law because there was no quid pro-quo; is that right?

17 I would not say that. What I have said Α. 18 is that the DPA speaks for itself. We acknowledge 19 the facts in the DPA. We -- we acknowledge the 20 seriousness of that conduct. We have characterized 21 it publicly as misconduct, our officers, and we have 22 apologized for the conduct and taken significant 23 steps to make sure that it never happens again, 24 including, in part, by bringing me into a role of

1	compliance and audit program for the corporation.
2	But the Deferred Prosecution Agreement is not a
3	guilty plea document. It is just, as a legal
4	matter, distinct. And I I really want to be very
5	careful not to characterize, one way or the other,
6	our view of the legalities here.
7	Q. I have more questions, but I'm going to
8	wrap it up here. I want to clarify something.
9	Many of your comments today referred to
10	what ComEd believed or intended. I think
11	Representative Hernandez tried to get to that
12	earlier. You are not presenting first-hand
13	observations about Speaker Madigan's conduct,
14	correct?
15	A. Correct. I am not presenting first-hand
16	observations about anything.
17	REPRESENTATIVE MANLEY: Thank you for
18	your patience.
19	CHAIRMAN WELCH: For our last speaker
20	tonight, Minority Spokesperson Demmer.
21	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Thank
22	you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you both for your
23	detailed answers.
24	EXAMINATION

1	BY REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER
2	Q. I don't have many questions. I would
3	just like to ask a few follow-ups based upon the
4	conversations today.
5	There has been discussion about the
6	difference or the practices that ComEd has engaged
7	in as it relates to lobbying, to hiring lobbyists,
8	what those lobbyists do, and with whom they have
9	relationships. But would you agree there is a
10	difference between hiring a lobbyist in order to do
11	lobbying work and hiring lobbyists with the intent
12	to reward and influence a public official?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. You, in the Deferred Prosecution
15	Agreement, have admitted to having hired individuals
16	in order to reward and influence Speaker Madigan?
17	A. Yes. I don't recall whether it says in
18	part or just without any qualification; but, yes,
19	that's part of the DPA.
20	Q. Okay. Separately, on page A-8 of the
21	additional information, there's a statement that
22	says: During a certain meeting, Mike McClain
23	explained that, for decades, Michael Madigan had
24	named individuals to be ComEd employees, such as

1 meter readers, as a part of an old-fashioned 2 patronage system. In response, a ComEd employee 3 acknowledged that such hires could be used as a chip 4 by ComEd. 5 So ComEd employees acknowledged that such 6 hires existed. Do you affirm that such hires 7 existed where Mike Madigan, for decades, had named 8 individuals to be ComEd employees? 9 So this -- the -- what I can affirm is Α. 10 that these statements were made. Based on the --11 the restrictions that I'm operating under today with 12 respect to the sources of my information, I don't 13 think I can go beyond that. 14 0. But it would be fair to say that, at this 15 time, at the time this conversation took place, a 16 ComEd employee acknowledged that such hires 17 existed? 18 Α. So the -- the -- the DPA says what it says here, and I would really rather not 19 20 characterize further the communication that is set 21 out in the DPA. 22 Okay. And those -- the DPA indicates Q. 23 that those ComEd employees, such as meter readers, 24 one would assume that that was a legitimate job as a

1 meter reader, someone who is working as a meter 2 reader? 3 Again, I think it's sort of a slippery Α. 4 slope here in terms of starting to characterize 5 parts of this and not others and --6 So I would distinguish or I would draw a 0. 7 contrast between that section discussing the 8 recommendations for jobs, like meter readers, with 9 the information that's contained on page A-4, which 10 would be hiring of Public Official A's, Madigan's, 11 associates as vendor subcontractors who performed little or no work for ComEd, that there is a 12 13 distinction between making a job recommendation for 14 a job that the person works in versus making a job 15 recommendation for a job that requires little or no 16 work. There is a distinction, although, I think 17 Α. what -- what the DPA does not address in the factual 18 19 summary is whether the recommendation was -- whether 20 a part of the recommendation was that they do no 21 work or whether ComEd simply did not ask them to 22 work. 23 Ο. And so that is sort of the question I 24 wanted to get to. Because in the second paragraph

1	of that section on page A-4, as previous
2	Representatives pointed out, acknowledges that
3	Madigan sought to obtain from ComEd jobs, vendor
4	subcontracts, and monetary payments. Can you
5	identify those jobs, subcontracts, or monetary
6	payments that Mike Madigan sought from ComEd?
7	A. So I don't think I can do so beyond what
8	is set out in the DPA.
9	Q. And what is set out in the DPA is that
10	section regarding the solicitation of jobs,
11	contracts, and monetary payments is in a section
12	which is about vendor subcontractors who performed
13	little or no work for ComEd?
14	A. Yes. That is the title of the section
15	and the particular subcontractor is addressed in
16	this section up here, performed little or no work.
17	Q. And those individuals identified in the
18	section, at least some of them, their employment or
19	monetary payment or contract was sought by Mike
20	Madigan?
21	A. The the DPA speaks for itself on that
22	point, and I don't want to characterize the DPA
23	further.
24	Q. We also had a discussion at the beginning

1	of this beauing shout direct involvement by Speaker
1	of this hearing about direct involvement by Speaker
2	Madigan in this process, and we have had a few
3	examples of that that have come out through the
4	testimony you have given today.
5	First, that Mike Madigan sought to obtain
6	from ComEd jobs, vendor contracts, and monetary
7	payments.
8	Second, that ComEd sought the approval of
9	Mike Madigan when discontinuing a contract.
10	Third, that ComEd agreed that Mike
11	Madigan would be the individual who notified former
12	Alderman Zalewski that he was being hired to a
13	subcontract position.
14	And fourth, something not contained in
15	the DPA, but a statement that you made, that Speaker
16	Madigan instructed his office director to forward
17	the resume' of Mr. Ochoa for consideration on the
18	board.
19	Would all four of those
20	A. So I don't believe that was my testimony.
21	My testimony was that the there was an email from
22	his office assistant to the fact.
23	Q. That said Speaker Madigan, something to
24	the effect, asked me to send this to you?

1	A. Yes. But I can't speak to anything
2	beyond the text of that statement.
3	Q. Okay. Would one or all of those examples
4	show that there was direct knowledge of and
5	participation in these activities by Speaker
6	Madigan?
7	A. So again, you're asking for a
8	characterization by me, and I'm really not prepared
9	to characterize the evidence beyond what is set out
10	in the DPA. I think the inferences to be drawn from
11	that are are for others.
12	Q. But we have as we discussed, we have
13	specific references to not Mike Madigan through an
14	intermediary or via a third party, but Public
15	Official A, Mike Madigan, being directly involved in
16	that action?
17	A. So what the DPA acknowledges is that, as
18	far as ComEd believed in the paragraph that you
19	pointed to on page A-4, that that ComEd was
20	attempting to influence Speaker Madigan and believed
21	that Speaker Madigan was was associated with
22	certainly these requests.
23	Q. Let's look at page A-8, as well, to
24	ask in the final paragraph, that indicates that

1	the indirect payments were made not only through
2	Company 1, Jay Doherty's company, but through other
3	additional third-party vendors. Can you identify
4	any additional third-party vendors?
5	A. So I want to try to get this right,
6	because this is a little complicated. There were
7	Hang on one second.
8	So sorry. Just want to make sure that
9	I'm precise here. Could you repeat that question?
10	Q. Yes. So on page A-8, it is indicated
11	that indirect payments were made not only through
12	Company 1, but through other additional third-party
13	vendors. I would like to know who those other
14	additional third-party vendors are.
15	
τU	A. So there were four additional third-party
16	A. So there were four additional third-party vendors that I'm aware of through whom certain
16	vendors that I'm aware of through whom certain
16 17	vendors that I'm aware of through whom certain lobbyists/subcontractors were paid. What those
16 17 18	vendors that I'm aware of through whom certain lobbyists/subcontractors were paid. What those subcontractors did or what they may have done, I
16 17 18 19	vendors that I'm aware of through whom certain lobbyists/subcontractors were paid. What those subcontractors did or what they may have done, I can't speak to that without going into I can't
16 17 18 19 20	vendors that I'm aware of through whom certain lobbyists/subcontractors were paid. What those subcontractors did or what they may have done, I can't speak to that without going into I can't speak to that without going into areas that I can't
16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>vendors that I'm aware of through whom certain lobbyists/subcontractors were paid. What those subcontractors did or what they may have done, I can't speak to that without going into I can't speak to that without going into areas that I can't go. But the four other entities that employ</pre>

1	Bradley Law Firm.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	We had an earlier question about the plea
4	that has currently been entered by ComEd, plea of
5	not guilty. Could I clarify that, on page 2 of the
6	Deferred Prosecution Agreement, it says: Should the
7	government pursue the prosecution that is deferred
8	by this agreement, ComEd agrees that it will neither
9	contest the admissibility of nor contradict the
10	Statement of Facts in any such proceeding, including
11	any trial, guilty plea, or sentencing proceeding.
12	So if the if ComEd were to not comply
13	with its side of the Deferred Prosecution Agreement,
14	the government would be free to bring those charges,
15	and there's a potential that ComEd would enter a
16	guilty plea at that time; is that true? Not saying
17	that you would enter a guilty plea. However, the
18	possibility of a guilty plea for the charges
19	identified is not a foreclosed conclusion?
20	A. So I don't think that's really a question
21	that is appropriate for me to answer. So first, I
22	would say that we have every expectation of
23	complying with the terms of the DPA. That's
24	extraordinarily important to our board, to our

1	senior officers, and to me in my role. But what
2	the what the DPA makes clear is that, should
3	we should we violate the DPA, should we then be
4	prosecuted by the government, this particular
5	document could be offered into evidence against us,
6	essentially, in its entirety and treated as
7	admissions in sort of any form of proceeding that
8	would follow. And there are a variety of forms of
9	proceedings that could follow.
10	Q. Now, would it be fair to say that the
11	penalty or the fine that was you agreed to pay
12	here of \$200 million is the largest fine that ComEd
13	has agreed to pay in its history?
14	A. I although I don't have knowledge of
15	every fine the company has paid in its history, I am
16	quite confident that is far and away the largest.
17	Q. And this is not a Deferred Prosecution
18	Agreement that because of the size of the fine
19	and the significant admissions contained within
20	this, this is not an agreement that ComEd has
21	entered into lightly or without great introspection
22	and thought?
23	A. That that's correct. This has been
24	something that has been very carefully considered at

1	the highest levels of the company.
2	Q. For how long did ComEd investigate the
3	facts that were set forth here prior to agreeing to
4	a Deferred Prosecution Agreement?
5	A. So I don't think there is much I can say
6	about that without going into matters that are
7	attorney-client privilege, but I think ComEd has
8	publicly said that, shortly after the receipt of the
9	initial subpoena, that there's a special committee
10	the board created and ComEd began an internal
11	investigation.
12	Q. Thank you.
13	Can you talk about some of the you
14	talked about some of the compliance steps you have
15	taken with regard to recommendations from
16	legislators and requests from legislators for
17	various things. Could you talk about any additional
18	compliance steps that have been imposed as it
19	relates to political consulting work or law firm
20	work?
21	A. Sure. So we really treat lobbyists and
22	
	political consultants, essentially, as
23	political consultants, essentially, as interchangeable for purposes of our policies. We

1	July. One of them is a high-level policy that
2	that addresses, as a general matter, interactions
3	between public officials and employees and
4	representatives of all Exelon companies, including
5	ComEd. Other another policy relates specifically
6	to relationships between lobbyists and consultants
7	and ComEd and how we manage those relationships.
8	And we have a separate policy that relates to
9	requests, recommendations, and referrals from public
10	officials relating to employment. And another
11	policy relating to requests, recommendations, and
12	referrals from public officials relating to the
13	selection and use of vendors. So all of those are
14	kind of part of a series of things that we have done
15	to kind of strengthen the controls around
16	interactions with public officials to provide much
17	clearer guidance to our employees on these issues
18	and also to make sure that we have stronger
19	oversight of these activities so that that you
20	can't have a situation anymore where a relatively
21	small number of people could engage in activity like
22	this without the ability of the compliance team or
23	senior officials in separate parts of the
24	organization having given the ability to intervene

1	and provide a check.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	And just to wrap up, the your
4	disclosure of the existence of an email from an
5	office manager of Speaker Madigan related to the
6	appointment of Mr. Ochoa, I think, is of interest to
7	this Committee. Our charge is to better understand
8	the circumstances that are set forth in the Deferred
9	Prosecution Agreement.
10	So without making an overly broad request
11	that may interfere with the internal investigation
12	that you've done, the internal attorney privileged
13	communications that you have, are there other
14	existence of other e-mails or messages or
15	communications of any form from Speaker Madigan or
16	someone who is identified with Speaker Madigan
17	related to the conduct set forth in the Deferred
18	Prosecution Agreement?
19	A. So I think the answer is that there
20	are there are certain communications that do fit
21	within that kind of broadly defined parameter. I
22	don't have them all with me today.
23	Q. Would you be willing to provide the
24	Committee with that information?

1	A. Yes. And I think we we would want to
2	have kind of a clear understanding of what the
3	specific request is so that we can make sure that
4	we're complying. But to the extent that the
5	Committee has requests of us for documents that are
6	not privileged and we can appropriately provide, we
7	will we will respond.
8	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Thank
9	you.
10	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Thank you, Leader
11	Demmer.
12	Mr. Glockner, Mr. Schar, on behalf of the
13	Special Investigating Committee II, I want to extend
14	my sincere thanks for you traveling here today, for
15	you being here and answering, to the best of your
16	ability, the questions before the Committee.
17	I don't see any other Members seeking
18	recognition in questioning, so you are free to go.
19	Mr. Glockner and Mr. Schar, thank you so
20	much.
21	MR. GLOCKNER: Thank you very much.
22	CHAIRMAN WELCH: I want to also note that
23	the Chair is in receipt of a note of apology from
24	Mr. Safer, and Mr. Safer is being recognized for the

1 limited purpose to address the note that was sent to 2 the Chair. 3 MR. SAFER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I wanted to, while Mr. Schar is here, as 4 5 well, because I feel very badly that I told him that he could communicate through us to the Chair about 6 his attendance. I feel that that was disrespectful 7 8 of the Chairman and the Committee, and I have great 9 respect for both, and I regret doing that and put 10 Mr. Schar in a bad position, as well. So I 11 apologize for doing that. 12 Thank you for recognizing me. 13 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Thank you, Mr. Safer, 14 and I do appreciate the note, and apology accepted. 15 We're going to take just another 16 five-minute recess and we will reconvene shortly. Just another break, guick break. 17 18 (Recess at 6:29 p.m. until 6:40 p.m.) 19 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Okay. We're back. 20 Thank you all again for the second break. 21 I want to put something on the record 22 regarding what happened today in Chicago. We became aware that today in Chicago that -- strike that. 23 24 Let me say this: On September 4, 2020,

1	Fidel Marquez was charged by Information for
2	violating 18 USC 371, conspiracy to commit the
3	offense or defraud the United States.
4	We learned that today, before this
5	Committee began its work at two o'clock, that he was
6	arraigned in Chicago and he entered a plea of guilty
7	just before our Committee began.
8	This Committee had reached out to
9	Mr. Marquez to see if he would be here today to
10	testify. And on Saturday, we did receive a
11	communication from his attorney requesting that we
12	delay our request for him to appear. And so we have
13	to reach out to Mr. Marquez's attorney now, based on
14	the information that came out today, to determine
15	what our next steps should be with regard to
16	Mr. Marquez.
17	I also want to mention that, at the end,
18	the very end, of this hearing, we learned that he
19	now is residing in Arizona. And so as a part of
20	determining what the next steps will be, we also
21	have to determine what the logistics will be. So I
22	think that is extremely important to put on the
23	record.
24	Now, earlier today, my colleague in the

1 front made a motion regarding subpoenas. I iust 2 want to put some statements on the record and then 3 I'll open it up for discussion of the full Committee. 4 5 Now, there is a question whether the 6 Committee should issue subpoenas. And I want to say 7 that a subpoena compelling testimony or documents 8 related to the DPA could put this Committee directly 9 in conflict with the expressed direction of the U.S. 10 Attorney's office. It could. Should the Committee 11 seek to compel testimony and documents beyond the 12 scope of the Petition and is later at a charge, such 13 subpoena would very likely be outside the authority 14 of our Committee to issue beyond the authority 15 delegated through us through the House Rules and 16 invoked by Leader Durkin's Petition. 17 Even if a subpoena has met every legal requirement and there was no objection from the U.S. 18 19 Attorney's office, it is most likely that any 20 individual to whom a subpoena is issued will go to 21 court to quash it, leaving us in no better position 22 to assess the merits of Leader Durkin's charges than 23 we are now. Even if enforced, the subpoena would be 24 quite useless because, under state law,

1	attorney-client privileges are usually upheld under
2	subpoena; whereas, at the federal level, they are
3	not. The Committee would still not have access to
4	the to nearly the same material as the federal
5	government in its investigation.
6	We have to determine whether or not or
7	really, I have to determine, as the Chair of this
8	Committee, whether invoking the power of subpoena at
9	this time is premature or ripe.
10	There are many factors the Committee
11	should take into consideration as laid out by the
12	National Conference of State Legislators when it
13	comes to whether or not subpoenas should be issued.
14	Some of those factors include whether a
15	legislative purpose of pertinence exists, whether we
16	have followed procedural requirements for the
17	issuance, form, and service, and whether we have the
18	proper authority for it, whether all or part of the
19	subpoena is constitutionally prohibited, depending
20	upon who or what is being sought, whether the
21	subpoena is overbroad and vague or unreasonable, and
22	what is being sought or seeks information relevant
23	to the legislative purpose.
24	We have to also determine whether

1 testimonial or evidentiary privileges or other 2 confidentiality requirements are privileged, 3 prohibited or limit the testimony for materials 4 sought. 5 In response to these challenges, the 6 legislative entity can seek judicial enforcement of 7 our subpoenas and offer defenses pursuant to broad investigative powers, including potential claims of 8 9 lack of judiciability by the judicial branch and 10 prematurity. 11 I think, in terms of timing, to avoid 12 potential claims of waiver or default, most 13 challenges to a subpoena will usually be made prior 14 to any testimony being given or due date for the 15 production of materials. However, issues or 16 challenges at the hearing may still arise. 17 I think when it comes to the issue of 18 subpoenas, prudence is required. Legislative staff 19 must exercise prudence and preventative maintenance. 20 Potential challenges and related concerns must be 21 considered in advance when deciding whether, who, 22 and what to subpoena, and also in preparing for a specific hearing, including, if necessary, the 23 24 seeking of subpoena compliance or enforcement or the

1	seeking of contempt and penalties for noncompliance.
2	There has been guidance provided by the
3	National Conference of State Legislators.
4	So although my colleague withdrew her
5	motion earlier at my request, I do believe that any
6	motions to send subpoenas would be out of order,
7	especially right now when the entire Committee
8	hasn't seen any subpoenas. And under our rules,
9	subpoenas are at the discretion of the Chair. I
10	think any issue of subpoenas would have to be taken
11	into advisement and dealt with when we return, and
12	we know we're going to have to return at a minimum
13	to address Mr. Marquez.
14	So at this time, I'm willing to open up
15	for discussion regarding subpoenas and anyone
16	seeking recognition will be recognized.
17	Leader Demmer.
18	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Thank
19	you, Mr. Chairman.
20	I would like to make a couple of points
21	that I think are very relevant to this discussion.
22	The first is that the power of subpoena
23	is a power that any committee of the House can
24	technically exercise. However, this Committee of

the House is not a substantive committee where we 1 2 are seeking to get input on a legislative matter. 3 Instead, this is a special investigating committee. By its nature, this Committee is established to 4 5 conduct an investigation. Investigations in a legislative body or in any other setting are not 6 7 typically done simply with those who would like to 8 voluntarily participate. Investigations need to be 9 conducted in which -- in a setting which an 10 investigator asks what information do we need in 11 order to be able to make a qualified judgment about 12 the charge that is before us. Investigations are 13 not conducted by saying who is willing to share information with us, and will we use only that 14 15 information to make a decision that we have. 16 You have also indicated that the issuance 17 of these subpoenas is likely to cause issues with the United States Attorney's ongoing investigation. 18 19 I am surprised that we have to have this 20 conversation again. We had a phone call. We have 21 had multiple letters from the United States Attorney 22 in which they have specifically stated multiple 23 times, we do not object generally to the Special 24 Investigative Committee's pursuit of testimony or

1	the production of documents on those topics, even if
2	the party previously shared the same underlying
3	factual information with federal prosecutors or law
4	enforcement agents. And again, if a witness
5	explains certain facts to prosecutors or federal law
6	enforcement agents, we do not object generally to
7	the witness explaining those same facts to the
8	Special Investigative Committee.
9	In further correspondence, they indicated
10	that the Committee the office has not objected
11	generally to a witness providing nonpublic
12	information, and such an understanding of an
13	objection in our office's September 17 letter would
14	be incorrect. Additionally in that letter, from the
15	U.S. Attorney dated September 23, they specifically
16	said, we are not taking a position on how the
17	Special Investigative Committee may call witnesses.
18	I believe that those statements and the
19	conversation that we had with the U.S. Attorney and
20	today's example of the amount of valuable and
21	relevant information that individuals who are
22	identified in the Deferred Prosecution Agreement can
23	provide to this Committee are essential to this
24	Committee being able to have the information we need

1 to make a qualified judgment on the charge that has 2 been brought by the Petition. 3 Further, I would say -- and you've cited 4 that the National Conference of State Legislators 5 has guidance on the issuance of subpoenas, and I 6 would say that the majority of their guidance 7 evolves around the use of those subpoenas in typical 8 legislative settings. But they say specifically 9 that subpoenas are an essential tool for securing 10 information in the course of an investigation. Thev 11 say a legislative body cannot legislate wisely or 12 effectively in the absence of information, 13 respecting the conditions which the legislation is 14 intended to affect or change, or whether the 15 legislative body does not itself possess the 16 requisite information, which infrequently is true --17 which not infrequently is true. Recourse must be 18 had to others who do possess it. Experience has 19 taught that mere requests for such information often 20 are unavailable. And also, that information which 21 is volunteered is not always accurate or complete. 22 So some means of compulsion are essential to obtain 23 what is needed. I don't believe that this Committee could 24

1 hold ourselves out to our colleagues in the House of 2 Representatives or to the Illinoisans across the 3 state who are asking that we do our job and 4 investigate the very troubling facts that Commonwealth Edison has admitted to in the federal 5 court filing if we only hear from Commonwealth 6 7 Edison, if we don't hear from the other witnesses, the other individuals who are identified in that 8 9 document and surely possess information that would 10 be useful and relevant to this Committee's charge. 11 Further, I would say that the reading of 12 the rules, in House Rule 91E, first provides, before 13 giving the discretion to the chairperson, it first 14 provides that the Committee may, in the discretion 15 of the chairperson, administer oaths. The Committee 16 may first take action and then that action would be 17 to the discretion of the chairperson. 18 So I believe, because House Rules state 19 that the Committee may administer the oaths, compel 20 by subpoena any person to appear and give testimony, 21 that a motion by a Member of this Committee to issue 22 such subpoenas should first be acted upon with a roll call vote prior to the Chair exercising any 23 sort of discretion that the Chair has. 24

1	I would further note that, in the reading
2	of House Rules and in Robert's Rules of Order and in
3	typical parliamentary exercises, discretion is read
4	in a very narrow way. That discretion gives the
5	Chair ability to control the specific timing of the
6	method in which the subpoenas are issued, the
7	individual who it's directed to, the convening of a
8	future Committee meeting where those individuals
9	would be directed to appear. But that that
10	issuance of discretion to a chairperson does not
11	negate the underlying rule that says the Committee
12	may administer those oaths and compel individuals by
13	subpoena. The only way to determine the will of the
14	Committee is to take a vote of the Committee. And I
15	believe that a motion to issue a subpoena is in
16	order because it is a power delegated to the
17	Committee.
18	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Any other Members
19	seeking recognition?
20	Representative Mazzochi.
21	REPRESENTATIVE MAZZOCHI: Thank you,
22	Mr. Chairman.
23	What I would say is, you know, I,
24	obviously, echo Representative Demmer's comments. I

would also state that, to the extent the Chairman is reading and construing the House Rules as giving him unilateral authority over whether a subpoena motion can even be heard is, itself, an abuse of discretion.

We are here. We are convened. 6 The 7 goal -- if there is going to be any stonewalling of 8 the taking of relevant evidence, and our Rules are 9 very clear that the -- that the testimony we can 10 elicit with regard to a subpoena must be relevant to the charge or charges, so these are not untethered. 11 12 This is not unlimited. This is not, you know, a 13 fishing expedition. That we can absolutely and 14 should proceed. If you don't, you will be 15 validating the accusations that have been made 16 against this Committee to date, that it is little 17 more than a kangaroo court and that it is there to 18 try to protect the Speaker.

19Our charge is to investigate the Speaker.20To say we are going to not investigate anything21but -- unless you personally, Chairman, say so is to22completely undermine the work of this Committee.23And consequently, that is why I hereby24move that this Committee issue a subpoena to Michael

Page 186

```
1
     McClain for testimony to appear before this
     Committee on or prior to October 15, 2020.
2
 3
                I likewise move that this Committee issue
 4
     a subpoena to Michael J. Madigan for testimony to
 5
     appear before this Committee on or prior to October
 6
     15, 2020.
7
                I hereby move that this Committee issue a
8
     subpoena to Jay Doherty for testimony to appear
9
     before this Committee on or prior to October 15,
     2020.
10
11
                I hereby move that this Committee issue a
12
     subpoena to John Hooker for testimony to appear
13
     before this Committee on or prior to October 15,
14
     2020.
15
                I hereby move that this Committee issue a
16
     subpoena to Anne Pramaggiore for testimony to appear
17
     before this Committee on or prior to October 15,
18
     2020.
19
                And I hereby move that this Committee
20
     issue a subpoena to Michael Zalewski, Senior for
21
     testimony to appear before this Committee on or
22
     prior to October 15, 2020.
23
                REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: Second.
24
    Wehrli.
```

1 CHAIRMAN WELCH: The motion and the second are both out of order. The motion and second 2 3 are both out of order. Anyone else seeking recognition on this 4 5 issue? 6 Representative Demmer. 7 Well, hold on a second. Representative 8 Wehrli is seeking recognition. He hasn't spoken on 9 the issue yet. 10 REPRESENTATIVE WEHRLI: Motion to appeal the ruling of the Chair. 11 CHAIRMAN WELCH: This issue is not 12 13 appealable. 14 Anyone else seeking recognition? 15 Representative Demmer. 16 REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Yes. 17 Mr. Chairman, I would like a ruling from the Committee counsel or a parliamentarian, or who is 18 19 acting in the role of a parliamentarian, on what 20 basis are you ruling that a motion to issue a 21 subpoena is out of order? 22 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Under House Rule 92 Section -- I'm sorry. House Rule 91 Section E: 23 The 24 Chairperson may establish procedural rules subject

1	to the approval of the Speaker The Committee may
	to the approval of the Speaker. The Committee may,
2	in the discretion of the Chairperson, administer
3	oaths and compel by subpoena. Subject to Rule
4	4(c)(9), any person to appear and give testimony as
5	a witness or produce papers, documents, or other
6	materials relevant to the charge or charges.
7	The order the motion was ruled out of
8	order. It's not appealable. And let me also say
9	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Why is
10	the motion not appealable? Why is that not
11	appealable? Why is the ruling of the Chair not
12	appealable? Have you established rules of procedure
13	for this Committee?
14	CHAIRMAN WELCH: The Rule clearly states
15	that it is within the discretion of the Chair.
16	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: It's not
17	an appealable
18	CHAIRMAN WELCH: This Committee is also
19	governed by House Rule 57.
20	
	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Yes.
21	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Yes. House Rule 57 says that any appeal that is taken
21 22	
	House Rule 57 says that any appeal that is taken
22	House Rule 57 says that any appeal that is taken from a ruling of the Committee Chairperson shall be

1 As Mr. Wehrli has done, we appeal the 2 ruling of the Chair that an Investigative Committee 3 issue no subpoenas. 4 CHAIRMAN WELCH: Let me say this: I'm 5 trying to be kind of a -- I think we're having a 6 genuine discussion and we have a genuine 7 disagreement and disagreements are going to happen, 8 as we know. 9 I also think the issuance of subpoenas is 10 premature. You're asking this Committee to vote to 11 approve subpoenas that we haven't even seen, we 12 haven't even discussed. No one has called me --13 You want to give me subpoenas tonight? 14 That's not very professional. I am the Chair of the 15 Committee and no one is calling me in advance and 16 saying, hey, can you take a look at these subpoenas. 17 Can we agree on what is on them, what is not in them. Can we get opinions from our counsels to 18 19 determine if it's appropriate, not appropriate. 20 REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Can I 21 understand, following up on that, on that question? 22 Is it your interpretation of these Rules 23 that you, and you alone, control whether or not this 24 Committee issues subpoenas?

Page 190

1	CHAIRMAN WELCH: That was not my
2	interpretation.
3	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: What is
4	it?
5	CHAIRMAN WELCH: What I'm saying to you
6	is, it is in the discretion of the Chair to issue
7	them and I think it's premature to issue subpoenas
8	without even giving us the courtesy of having them
9	in advance and having our counsel to look at them to
10	make a determination of what should be in them, what
11	should not be in them. I think that would have been
12	the right, courteous, decent thing to do, instead of
13	springing that on us here today. I think it's
14	appropriate, especially knowing that we have to
15	return to deal with Mr. Marquez's testimony, that
16	this matter be taken under advisement and we, Tom,
17	actually do the work in a nonpartisan, bipartisan
18	way.
19	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: Can we
20	have a clarification on the method by which a
21	decision will be made about the issuance of
22	subpoenas?
23	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Well, first of all, I
24	think our two counsels should get together and talk

1	about these issues, and that doesn't happen here in
2	Committee when it is being sprung on you.
3	We have done everything that you all have
4	asked us to do. We convened an organizational
5	meeting shortly after the holiday. We had the
6	conversation with Mr. Lausch. Reasonable minds
7	disagree. We issued letters to the witnesses the
8	day after Mr. Lausch's written opinion came. And
9	what I'm saying is, we these things you have to
10	deal with procedurally, pragmatically, not springing
11	things on folks. And in the past, that is how this
12	Committee has operated.
13	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: To be
14	fair, this Committee has only operated one time in
15	the past.
16	And secondly, I would ask you, you have
17	indicated that you believe the Rules give the Chair
18	discretion about whether or not subpoenas will be
19	issued. So my question is, is there a procedure
20	CHAIRMAN WELCH: And the Rule says
21	subject to the approval of the Speaker. And in this
22	case, Leader Harris. You can't pick and choose
23	which parts of the Rule you like.
24	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER: So we

1 would like to issue the subpoenas, because the 2 Committee is empowered to do so, and then allow the 3 discretion of the Chair, or the approval of the 4 Speaker's designee, to make a decision on whether 5 those subpoenas will be issued. CHAIRMAN WELCH: I think that is a 6 7 premature decision. Let's take it under advisement. 8 Your counsel get with our counsel and we will deal 9 with it at the next meeting. 10 **REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER:** 11 Representative -- Chairman, I don't disagree that 12 when we come to points like this, we may have 13 reasonable people disagreeing on the interpretation 14 of the Rules on whether it's the appropriate time 15 for the Committee to take an action or whether the 16 Committee should indeed undertake the action at all. 17 My response is the same response we had at the 18 beginning of this Committee and that is, when a 19 disagreement arises, we, as a legislative body, have 20 a procedure of voting to determine whether or not 21 the Committee should or should not take certain 22 actions. The motion that was made here was a motion 23 to extend those -- to send those subpoenas, for the 24 Committee to issue those subpoenas. You may

1	
1	disagree with that. You may think that it's either
2	an inappropriate action to take or the timing is not
3	right. Either way, the method of resolving disputes
4	in a legislative body is to vote.
5	CHAIRMAN WELCH: Seeing no other Members
6	seeking recognition, the Special Investigative
7	Committee II will stand in recess.
8	REPUBLICAN SPOKESPERSON DEMMER:
9	Mr. Chairman, the Committee is recessed or
10	adjourned?
11	CHAIRMAN WELCH: We are recessed.
12	(Hearing recessed at 7:03 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Page 194

1	
	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, JOYCE D. LAWRENCE, the officer before
4	whom the foregoing hearing was taken, do hereby
5	certify that the foregoing hearing was taken by
6	me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to
7	typewriting under my direction; that said
8	hearing is a true record of the hearing to the
9	best of my ability; that I am neither counsel
10	for, related to, nor employed by any of the
11	parties to the action in which this hearing was
12	taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or
13	employee of any counsel or attorney employed by
14	the parties hereto, nor financially or overwise
15	interested in the outcome of this tion
16	Oguje Fauerence
17	Joyce D. Lawrence
18	Certified Shorthand Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
19	State of Illinois CSR License #84-1716
20	
21	
22	My commission expires:
23	August 4, 2022
24	

A	96:19 97:5,6	122:19 159:18	addition 4:13	183:5
A's 45:19 74:4	103:11 117:1	159:19	31:20	admitting 49:8
74:21 75:16	118:4 134:2	acknowledged	additional	advance 178:21
82:24 83:4	141:2 142:18	91:12 108:7,21	161:21 167:3,4	189:15 190:9
94:8 95:12	180:11 181:24	133:13 134:1	167:12,14,15	advancement
119:9 121:17	absence 182:12	137:21 138:14	170:17	42:6
122:18 128:22	absolutely 47:17	144:13 162:3,5	Additionally	advantage
	157:20 158:6	162:16	181:14	47:10
129:6 163:10	185:13	acknowledges	address 31:12	adversarial
A-1 82:14	abuse 134:24	80:7 113:11	36:23 97:14	14:17 24:6,11
A-10 124:2	185:4	124:14,18	163:18 174:1	advice 29:17
125:8,24	abused 46:8,9	126:21 132:18	179:13	30:19,21 68:18
126:15	54:10,11	164:2 166:17	addressed	106:14 140:14
A-11 126:16	abusing 14:3	act 14:6 45:18	164:15	advise 102:1
128:2,21	accept 10:1	58:24 93:6	addresses 171:2	103:2
A-12 132:4		141:7 156:20		advised 4:5
A-3 82:21 83:21	15:10,17 135:3		adhere 5:21	
A-4 83:21 93:22	138:9 158:9	157:19	adjourn 35:8,12	75:1 105:22 106:8 107:2
93:24 95:5	accepted 8:1	acted 131:20	adjourned	
163:9 164:1	174:14	183:22	193:10	110:19 111:3
166:19	access 64:20	acting 47:4,6	administer	advisement
A-5 85:2 86:23	177:3	90:21 91:10	183:15,19	179:11 190:16
100:6,24	accompanying	108:15 187:19	184:12 188:2	192:7
A-6 102:23	80:8	action 24:8	administrative	advocate 14:6
104:13 108:11	accomplish	37:9 54:19	129:16	74:20 144:16
A-7 107:2 108:11	146:17	166:16 183:16	admissibility	affairs 158:19
109:2 110:17	account 109:10	183:16 192:15	168:9	affect 49:23
112:3 115:16	accountable	192:16 193:2	admissible	182:14
A-8 85:17 115:17	158:5	194:11,15	39:23	affiliates 84:22
116:11 119:5,7	accuracy 114:11	actions 85:20	admission 40:6	affiliation 38:13
161:20 166:23	accurate 72:19	86:7 91:14	42:10 49:14	affirm 162:6,9
167:10	73:5,16 77:19	192:22	80:2	affirmative
A-9 121:1	90:20 136:1,8	active 66:16	admissions	10:12
A-w-e-r-k-a-m-p	138:10 141:19	158:18	37:6 48:2	affirmatively
167:23	154:19 155:19	actively 147:8	68:2,8 144:12	138:6
ability 15:23	182:21	activities 78:14	169:7,19	afternoon 4:2
21:20 56:20	accurately	158:23 166:5	admit 68:23	46:10
57:11 71:23	52:14 145:19	171:19	69:19 137:19	agency 81:10,12
171:22,24	accusation 31:4	activity 6:3	admits 72:16	agent 81:8
173:16 184:5	accusations	171:21	75:17	agents 40:19
194:9	185:15	actors 54:4	admitted 33:24	51:16 72:21
able 16:22 21:10	accused 6:2	acts 72:7	40:8,14,18,23	87:1 101:1
23:2 27:5	36:4 42:19	actual 79:5	41:12,24 43:5	126:13 181:4,6
29:8 31:23	43:2	130:11	43:15 44:2,8	agree 8:1 9:14
57:3 62:10	accuser 14:6	add 60:20	51:17 72:11	30:5,6,12 40:1
66:14,16 71:20	23:10	134:13	73:6 84:6	76:14 80:17
72:4 88:24	acknowledge	added 109:15	94:12 105:5	81:20 83:14
/ 2.4 00.24	28:5,11 84:11	115:17	106:11 161:15	86:1132:3,21
				, .

134:13 138:6	170:4 172:9,18	139:21,24	187:10 188:21	140:13 150:16
143:9 150:13	181:22	analyzed	189:1	168:21 189:19
150:14 161:9	Agreements	140:22	appealable	189:19 190:14
189:17	129:13 130:11	and/or 37:9	187:13 188:8	192:14
agreed 6:4	agrees 80:7	anger 45:13	188:10,11,12,17	appropriately
39:20 41:6	81:6 168:8	Anne 40:24	appear 2:18	99:24 156:21
73:6 74:15	ahead 24:9	41:6 43:19	6:23 7:9,19,22	173:6
79:8 82:17	100:21 123:4	47:7 64:9	19:20 34:23	approval 45:17
88:12 101:13	aimed 143:8,10	87:11,20	46:13 53:21	75:3 121:10
101:22 102:4	143:17,19	124:23 128:7	59:21 66:3	122:5,17 165:8
102:24 103:5	aisle 37:14	128:16 131:13	175:12 183:20	188:1 191:21
103:22 109:15	151:13	186:16	184:9 186:1,5	192:3
129:5 138:4,7	Alaris 3:19	annual 129:7,11		
151:5,7 165:10	Alderman 101:9	158:23	186:8,12,16,21 188:4	approve 189:11
169:11,13	103:11 122:24	answer 53:21		approved 89:4 101:18
	165:12	56:20 65:23	appearance 59:23 60:3	
agreeing 170:3				approximately
agreement	aldermen 41:19	68:1,14 71:20	appeared 22:15	41:24 78:9
23:15 25:2,5	107:6	71:21,23 72:4	appears 46:13	88:13 89:12,18
38:20 39:2,4	allegation 40:6	86:19 88:24	55:8 82:6	89:20,22
39:5,20 55:4	49:12	89:18 90:8,19	applicability	101:14
66:24 67:3,10	Allen 62:15	90:24 91:2,2	136:15	April 75:1,4,7
67:14,22 68:2	allies 41:2 83:5	104:10 111:6	applicable	arbiters 37:16
68:9,24 69:4	83:10,24	119:4,11 121:22	54:14 136:12	38:14
69:12,20,23	allow 9:22	123:1 125:3	151:3	area 147:19
70:2,19,23	15:20 18:5,21	142:19 144:23	applicants 73:9	148:14
71:7 72:5,9	20:15 21:1	147:11,19 148:7	application	areas 154:14
76:7,9,12 77:8	23:5,12 25:8	153:24 154:1	135:22 150:15	167:20
77:13 79:1,2,3	25:16 27:2,14	157:7,8 168:21	150:23	arises 192:19
79:4,18,24	32:2 33:8	172:19	applied 151:2	Arizona 175:19
81:17 82:6,8	134:18 192:2	answering	applies 67:21	armies 156:12
89:3 91:9	allowed 15:5	57:10 67:4	apply 150:24	army 146:2
93:22 94:1	16:5,23 17:12	173:15	appoint 75:3	arraigned 175:6
100:6 104:14	30:3,23 46:11	answers 160:23	appointed 14:15	arranged 4:15
110:17 112:4	68:14 77:12	anticipated	14:20 30:16	74:9 83:3,22
113:11 121:2,20	allowing 4:8	131:24 132:19	44:3 75:14	arrangement
126:24 127:7	14:1 120:18	132:23	124:18 188:23	41:8 89:4
127:12,17	allows 79:6	anybody 153:14	appointment	100:9 101:18
129:15 132:5	ally 87:13 101:6	153:19	73:24 74:7,14	102:2 103:3,8
135:8 136:10	alternate 33:11	anymore 171:20	74:20 172:6	103:24 111:11
136:14,18,21	Amendment	apologize 12:22	appreciate	111:16 112:6,9
146:20 148:5	80:16	28:20 66:18	74:13 76:1	115:3
148:9,12,16,20	amount 43:2	68:21 174:11	154:20 155:8	Arroyo 37:1
149:2,7,13	74:10 119:14,16	apologized	174:14	aside 38:13
151:10 152:4	127:11 128:5	159:22	appropriate	asked 15:16
160:2 161:15	129:12 181:20	apology 173:23	30:10 92:1	21:8,15 22:14
168:6,8,13	amounts 130:13	174:14	93:16 107:24	43:20 51:19
169:18,20	analysis 139:8	appeal 10:1,9	110:1 127:2	51:20,22

74.20 72.4	150-24 400-24	atta ma ay (12) 21	77.15 112.22	bedby 174.F
71:20 72:1	158:24 166:21	attorney 12:21	77:15 113:23	badly 174:5
74:7 87:10	associates	12:21 21:16	116:24 125:1	bag 24:20
94:19 107:3	40:11,17 41:15	22:15 29:4,5	available 4:13	baggage 75:21
147:16 153:13	42:1 43:17	30:15 34:4,5	4:14 10:6,7	Bank 47:9
155:1 165:24	46:20 47:15	50:14,20 52:1	93:19 104:11	bare 37:5 114:15
191:4	47:19 83:3,23	52:8,15 53:6	118:17 119:13	barely 92:23
asking 17:24	84:21,22	58:3 60:21	121:22 123:2	based 27:3
18:14,20 20:2	85:14 89:5,9	67:19 75:22	125:4	53:11 67:14
20:9 24:14	90:2 94:6,15	89:15 91:19	avoid 53:20	76:3 92:2,15
29:23 33:10	95:1,13 96:7	92:5 126:9	178:11	104:11 111:19
35:4 52:12	96:14,16 98:7	128:3 149:16	aware 31:21	111:20 118:17
58:16 66:12	101:20 102:6	149:21,23	60:5 87:2	118:24 121:22
91:23 92:2	107:8,21	172:12 175:11	88:20 89:4	134:20 150:14
166:7 183:3	108:10 109:11	175:13 180:21	90:5 97:8	150:23 156:14
189:10	112:12 113:5	181:15,19	101:1,19 113:15	161:3 162:10
asks 180:10	114:23 115:13	194:13	127:18 129:22	175:13
Assembly 1:3	115:18,22,23	Attorney's 2:16	144:23 145:4	basis 8:13 31:4
15:21 18:4	116:6,15 119:9	6:11,22 7:6	145:10,13,18	31:6 115:20
42:8 151:20	119:18 121:6,12	9:5,11 33:23	146:3,17 147:3	119:12 123:2
152:5	121:17,19 122:3	52:12,22	147:17 151:12	125:4 187:20
assess 176:22	122:18,22	53:14 54:1	153:8,9 155:2	began 54:3
assigned 45:17	132:11 163:11	56:23 57:1,2	155:5 167:16	170:10 175:5,7
69:8	assume 100:3	77:9,14 78:21	174:23	beginning
assist 45:17 83:1	162:24	79:14 90:9	Awerkamp	73:24 94:2
84:8 143:7	assuming 30:16	91:3 176:10,19	167:22	95:4,6 164:24
assistance	34:6 130:7	180:18	Aye 12:12	192:18
29:17	assumption	attorney-client		behalf 67:1,7
assistant 37:1	138:24	57:8 90:10	B	77:10 79:18
91:18 92:5	assured 74:19	170:7 177:1	b 81:4 105:20	82:17 83:14
149:23 153:17	astute 54:17	attorneys 6:6	107:1 116:11	88:22 90:17
165:22	attached 73:13	29:7,23 49:19	135:15	90:21 108:15
associate 41:5	82:7 140:6	59:16 62:20	back 20:13	113:20 123:13
74:2 87:16	Attachment	63:3,4 64:2	24:24 33:13	131:16 144:18
88:12 95:13,13	82:13	audit 55:15	42:12 43:24	155:12,18,20
95:15,15,23	attempt 46:10	58:23 77:4	45:8 55:10	173:12
96:1101:14	53:20	160:1	66:14,16 69:2	behavior 79:7
102:1,10,15,19	attempted	auditing 98:23	73:3 86:23	93:3 118:15
104:24 110:20	144:13	august 42:14	93:21 112:1,24	behaviors 93:4
110:20,24	attempting 59:7	194:23	120:18 148:4	behest 47:5,6
111:4 115:8	166:20	authorities 70:4	154:23 174:19	belief 31:6 94:17
associate's	attempts	authority 74:18	background	believe 7:18
107:5	143:20	131:16 133:23	78:2,24	10:5 15:1,9 17:1
associated	attendance	150:11,11	backgrounds	17:3 18:15
40:15 75:22	55:2 59:11	176:13,14	142:10	37:19,24
83:8 84:3	60:16 174:7	177:18 185:3	bad 54:4 110:7	46:15 47:1
86:12 94:5,24	attending 65:12	authorize 37:23	110:10 118:8	48:2,20,23
119:16 128:3	attention 132:6	authorized	174:10	53:3 60:1
			l	

65:4 66:5,5	176:14	120:10,14,19	135:11	40:2,5
66:10 70:6	big 156:11,12	174:17,17,20	calculation	case 5:23 15:23
71:10 77:23	Bilandic 4:15,17	breathtaking	136:2	17:5 18:7 21:14
80:18,21 85:13	bill 50:6 63:13	42:10	call 7:20 10:13	22:12 23:10
85:16 87:22	145:24 146:3	bribe 42:20,20	11:24 20:21	24:8 37:18
88:3 90:7	146:8,16	43:6 68:13	25:19 26:1,5	39:22 40:7
99:17 104:10	148:14	108:12	26:14 27:21	42:23 59:15
111:10 112:11,18	bipartisan 43:10	bribed 37:8	28:1 32:11	69:7,9 70:14
113:3 115:7	190:17	bribery 43:15	45:4,4 46:1	151:1 191:22
119:11 123:1	bit 13:5 36:5	49:11,20 67:21	47:4 50:17	case-in-chief
126:6 127:4	55:9 78:24	68:4,5,5,12	128:14,15	19:13
127:23 136:12	114:20 131:8	72:18 80:10	133:23 134:9	cast 9:17 26:12
148:5 155:9,11	152:22 157:2	80:20,22	180:20 181:17	category 99:23
165:20 179:5	Block 62:14,15	91:21 92:8	183:23	caucus 14:21
181:18 182:24	board 40:11	137:19	called 27:5	caucuses 142:6
183:18 184:15	43:17,23 44:1	bribes 42:4 43:1	37:15 56:2	cause 180:17
191:17	44:2 73:8,24	43:5 46:4,17	78:17 134:3	caution 140:21
believed 49:22	74:2,3,7,9,11	47:15	148:6 189:12	cautioned 112:7
91:13 97:24	74:14,20 75:2	briefly 38:19	calling 27:17	CCR 3:18,18
113:12,18 114:1	75:3,3,6,9,10	56:13	31:17 189:15	central 49:10
155:13 160:10	75:11,13,14	bring 79:10	candidate	98:22
166:18,20	77:15 123:6,7	134:17 168:14	146:21	CEO 41:1 43:19
believes 38:3	123:7,14,15,18	bringing 159:24	candidates	44:21 47:2,9
138:10	123:18,19,20	broad 140:14	75:13	63:15 103:5
beneficial	124:12,18 153:1	151:24 157:5	capable 14:23	103:22 124:4
132:15	153:7 165:18	172:10 178:7	24:14 30:15	CEO-1 74:5,7,9
benefit 132:7	168:24 170:10	broader 86:1	capacity 25:9	74:12,13,14,16
157:17	boastful 131:15	139:13	32:3 58:3	74:12,13,14,10
benefits 107:17	bodies 70:12	broadly 63:11	74:18 92:6	87:10,20
	body 9:16 14:1	172:21	157:12	88:10 89:4,4,7
132:1,11,19,23 133:2,7 146:24	18:4 42:14,19			101:5,11,17,18
	180:6 182:11	brought 182:2 Bruce 31:14	Capitol 3:19	101:22 102:23
best 57:11,14			captains 40:12 41:19 94:7	
65:22 68:17	182:15 192:19	build 156:10		certain 56:24
74:23 124:6	193:4	Building 1:17	105:2	66:11 71:23
125:20 143:15	bottom 86:24	4:16,17	captures	83:9 87:1 99:9
173:15 194:9	95:5 100:23	bulk 78:19	139:20,21,21	100:24 104:17
bestow 147:1	111:24 115:16	burden 37:21	card 87:9	114:9 121:11
better 56:17	119:7 125:7	business 44:17	care 74:22,24	161:22 167:16
172:7 176:21	128:21	81:10 83:3	98:2 124:5,7	172:20 181:5
beyond 17:2	bounce 33:13	84:9 110:14	140:21 141:8	192:21
43:14 54:13	bound 5:20,21	159:1	career 78:19	certainly 27:13
62:13 70:7	Bradley 168:1	buy 46:24	careful 75:23	30:6 70:14,23
103:18 122:13	Brady 142:22	C	100:18 153:23	71:4 92:10
131:18 134:14	148:14		154:18 160:5	106:17 109:24
152:1154:2	branch 178:9	c 109:1	carefully 134:12	110:3 113:18
162:13 164:7	break 54:5	C-1 1:16	140:22 169:24	138:13 157:8
166:2,9 176:11	114:19 120:6,7	calculated	carries 39:15,23	157:18 158:12

166:22 60:18 61:2,4,9 93:71 118:10 79:10 26:10 32:11,13 CERTIFICATE 61:15,24 62:3 122:13 138:17 chosen 43:20 32:15,17,19,21 194:1 66:21,22 144:15 150:18 71:5 157:23 32:23 Certified 194:17 67:23 71:15,17 160:5 162:20 Chris 3:2 close 46:20 certify 194:5 76:15,20 163:4 164:22 circumstance 47:15 102:16 9:14 10:2,9 112:24 119:22 characterized circumstances 139:24 17:21 31:3 125:16,20 characterizing Citadel 78:7 102:21 33:17 47:9 137:2,4,8 130:21 cite 16:21 21:6 close ness 17:42:6 177:7 152:10 160:19 40:3 43:4 city 41:3 87:15 72:17 80:3.11 179:9 183:23 160:22 173:10 53:21 54:9.13 134:22 code 44:23 183:24 184:5 173:22 174:3.8 69:4 80:14 City 41:3 87:15 72:17 80:3.11 189:14 190:6 184:22 185:1 180:12 182:1 cilii 150:9.11 colleague 189:14 190:6 184:22 185:1<
194:1 66:21,22 144:15 150:18 71:5 157:23 32:23 Certified 194:17 67:23 71:15,17 160:5 162:20 Chris 3:2 close 46:20 chair 8:4,16,16 92:19 94:18 166:9 81:3 109:18 125:21 9:14 10:2,9 112:24 119:22 characterized circumstances 139:24 11:3 15:12 17:16 120:5,13,17 159:20 172:8 closeness 17:21 31:3 125:16,20 characterizing Citadel 78:7 102:21 33:17 47:9 137:2,4,8 130:21 cite 16:21 21:6 closeness 17:42,6 177:7 152:10 160:19 40:3 43:4 cite 182:3 coldth 49:6 17:42,6 177:7 152:10 160:19 40:3 43:4 cite 182:3 coldth 49:6 17:11 188:11 174:13,19 137:22,24 101:7 coffee 152:19 188:15 189:2 179:19 184:18 172:7 176:12 civil 150:9,11 colleague 188:14 190:6 184:22 185:11 180:12 182:1 claim 77:24 175:24 179:4 192:17 192:3 185:21 187:1,12 183:10 185:11
Certified 194:17 67:23 71:15,17 160:5 162:20 Chris 3:2 close 46:20 certify 194:5 76:15,20 163:4 164:22 circumstance 47:15 102:16 19:14 10:29 112:24 119:22 characterized 17:21 31:3 139:24 11:3 15:12 17:16 120:5,13,17 159:20 172:8 closeness 109:18 125:21 33:17 47:9 137:2,4,8 130:21 citadel 78:7 102:21 closeness 17:41 32:3 147:24 148:3 characterizing citadel 78:7 102:21 closer 56:15 76:18 173:23 147:24 148:3 charge 38:24 cite 16:21 21:6 closer 56:15 clout 43:9,13 179:9 183:23 160:22 173:10 53:21 54:9,13 134:22 code 44:23 code 44:23 183:14 188:11 174:13,19 137:22,24 Clout 43:9,13 coffee 152:19 coffee 152:19 188:15 189:2 179:19 184:18 172:7 176:12 civil 150:9,11 coffee 152:19 coffee 152:19 191:17 192:3 185:21 187:1,12 183:10 185:11 claims 27:24 175:24 179:4 <
certify 194:576:15,20163:4 164:22circumstance47:15 102:1619:14 10:2,9112:24 119:22characterizedcircumstances139:2411:3 15:12 17:16120:5,13,17159:20172:8closeness17:21 31:3125:16,20characterizingCitadel 78:7102:2133:17 47:9137:2,4,8130:21cite 16:21 21:6closeness17:42,6 177:7152:10 160:1940:3 43:4cite 182:3cloth 49:6174:2,6 177:7152:10 160:1940:3 43:4cite 182:3cloth 49:6174:2,6 177:7152:10 160:1940:3 43:4cite 182:3cloth 49:6183:24 184:5173:22 174:3,869:4 80:14City 41:3 87:1572:17 80:3,11187:11 188:11174:13,19137:22,24101:7coffee 152:19188:15 189:2179:19 184:18172:7 176:12civil 150:9,11cofleague189:14 190:6184:22 185:1180:12 182:1claim 27:24colleague191:17 192:3185:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11claims 778:8,12colleague11:4 3:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:219:12 7:5,16189:4 190:1,568:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:419:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarify 103:8156:13 165:319:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1819:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79
chair8:4,16,1692:1994:18166:981:3109:18125:219:1410:2,9112:24119:22characterizedcircumstances139:2411:315:1217:16120:5,13,17159:20172:8closeness17:2131:3125:16,20characterizingCitadel 78:7102:2133:1747:9137:2,4,8130:21cite 16:21closeness174:2,6177:7152:10160:1940:343:4citzen 70:22clout 43:9,13179:9183:23160:22173:1053:2154:9,13134:22code 44:23183:24184:5173:22174:3,869:480:14City 41:387:1572:1780:3,11187:11188:11174:13,19137:22,24101:7coffee 152:19colleague188:15189:2179:19184:18172:77175:24179:4colleague189:14190:6184:22185:11180:12120:31colleaguesclaims 178:8,12colleagues189:14190:6184:22198:19188:6claimfication183:1183:12:143:219:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:1358:179:2,19,2310:419:26,1119:23176:22150:1come 4:3,418:210:11,1519:39,11168:14,18clarify 160:848:1356:13165:311:6,14,1912:2chargerson176:22185:11109:2
9:14 10:2,9112:24 119:22characterizedcircumstances139:2411:3 15:12 17:16120:5,13,17159:20172:8closeness17:21 31:3125:16,20characterizingCitadel 78:7102:2133:17 47:9137:2,4,8130:21cite 16:21 21:6closer 56:1576:18 173:23147:24 148:3charge 38:24cite 16:22 12:6clover 56:15174:2,6 177:7152:10 160:1940:3 43:4citzen 70:22clout 43:9,13179:9 183:23160:22 173:1053:21 54:9,13134:22code 44:23183:24 184:5173:22 174:3,869:4 80:14City 41:3 87:1572:17 80:3,11187:11 188:11174:13,19137:22,24101:7coffee 152:19188:15 189:2179:19 184:18172:7 176:12civil 150:9,11colleague189:14 190:6184:22 185:1180:12 182:1claim 27:24175:24 179:4191:17 192:3185:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11claims 178:8,12colleagues19:14 3:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:211:1,15,14199:2,3 19:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:13 58:179:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:1
11:315:1217:16120:5;13;17159:20172:8closeness17:2131:3125:16,20characterizingCitadel 78:7102:2133:1747:9137:2,4,8130:21cite 16:21 21:6closer 56:1576:18173:23147:24 148:3charge 38:24cited 182:3cloth 49:6179:9183:23160:22 173:1053:21 54:9,13134:22code 44:23183:24183:24173:22 174:3,869:4 80:14City 41:3 87:1572:17 80:3,11187:11188:11174:13,19137:22,24101:7coffee 152:19188:15189:2179:19 184:18172:7 176:12civil 150:9,11coffee 152:19188:15189:2179:19 184:18172:7 176:12civil 150:9,11coffee 152:19188:14186:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11claims 178:8,12colleague191:17192:3185:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11claims 178:8,12colleagues2:143:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:22:143:2 4:2189:4 190:1,568:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23 191:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:13 58:179:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarify's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17
17:21 31:3125:16,20characterizing 137:2,4,8Citadel 78:7 cite 16:21 21:6102:21 closer 56:1576:18 173:23147:24 148:3130:21cite 16:21 21:6 cite 16:21 21:6closer 56:15 closer 56:1576:18 173:23147:24 148:3charge 38:24citizen 70:22 clout 43:9,13clout 43:9,13 code 44:23179:9 183:23160:22 173:1053:21 54:9,13134:22code 44:23183:24 184:5173:22 174:3,869:4 80:14City 41:3 87:1572:17 80:3,11 coffee 152:19187:11 188:11174:13,19137:22,24101:7coffee 152:19188:15 189:2179:19 184:18172:7 176:12civil 150:9,11 claim 27:24colleague189:14 190:6184:22 185:1180:12 182:1 claim 77:88,12colleague191:17 192:3185:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11 claim 178:8,12colleagues2:14 3:2 4:2188:14,18 charged 23:1claim 27:24 claim 178:8,12175:24 179:45:1,12 7:15,16189:4 190:1,5 s3:8,31,11,5,2468:4 175:1 190:20190:20 22:9 38:4183:19:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:5 37:23 79:10,11168:5 168:14,18 claimty's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chaiperson chaiperson176:22 185:11 claim 179:1clean 109:15,19 192:12192:1212:13,15,17 15:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,24 188:2,22,2480:10 80:1027:12 36:16 27:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1 15:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,24 80:1080:10 27:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 17
33:17 47:9137:2,4,8130:21cite 16:21 21:6closer 56:1576:18 173:23147:24 148:3charge 38:24cited 182:3cloth 49:6174:2,6 177:7152:10 160:1940:3 43:4citizen 70:22clout 43:9,13179:9 183:23160:22 173:1053:21 54:9,13134:22code 44:23183:24 184:5173:22 174:3,869:4 80:14City 41:3 87:1572:17 80:3,11187:11 188:11174:13,19137:22,24101:7coffee 152:19188:15 189:2179:19 184:18172:7 176:12civil 150:9,11colleague189:14 190:6184:22 185:1180:12 182:1claim 27:24175:24 179:4191:17 192:3185:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11claims 178.8,12colleagues18:14 190:6184:22 185:1183:10 185:11claims 178.8,12colleagues191:17 192:3185:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11claims 178.8,12colleagues2:14 3:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:25:1,12 7:15,16189:4 190:1,568:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23 191:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:13 58:179:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17188:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,17 <tr< td=""></tr<>
76:18 173:23147:24 148:3charge 38:24cited 182:3cloth 49:6174:2,6 177:7152:10 160:1940:3 43:4citizen 70:22clout 43:9,13179:9 183:23160:22 173:1053:21 54:9,13134:22code 44:23183:24 184:5173:22 174:3,869:4 80:14City 41:3 87:1572:17 80:3,11187:11 188:11174:13,19137:22,24101:7coffee 152:19188:15 189:2179:19 184:18172:7 176:12civil 150:9,11colleague189:14 190:6184:22 185:1180:12 182:1claim 27:24175:24 179:4191:17 192:3185:21 187:1,22185:19 188:6clarification183:12:14 3:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:25:1,12 7:15,16189:4 190:1,568:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23 191:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:13 58:179:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23 <td< td=""></td<>
174:2,6 177:7152:10 160:1940:3 43:4citizen 70:22clout 43:9,13179:9 183:23160:22 173:1053:21 54:9,13134:22code 44:23183:24 184:5173:22 174:3,869:4 80:14City 41:3 87:1572:17 80:3,11187:11 188:11174:13,19137:22,24101:7coffee 152:19188:15 189:2179:19 184:18172:7 176:12civil 150:9,11colleague189:14 190:6184:22 185:1180:12 182:1claim 27:24175:24 179:4191:17 192:3185:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11claims 178:8,12colleaguesChairman 2:5187:17,22185:19 188:6clarification183:12:14 3:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:25:1,12 7:15,16189:4 190:1,568:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23 191:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:13 58:179:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14.18clairly's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clear 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17188:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challeng
179:9183:23160:22173:1053:2154:9,13134:22code 44:23183:24184:5173:22174:3,869:480:14City 41:387:1572:1780:3,11187:11188:11174:13,19137:22,24101:7coffee 152:19coffee 152:19188:15189:2179:19184:18172:7176:12civil 150:9,11coffee 152:19188:15189:2179:19184:18172:7176:12civil 150:9,11coffee 152:19189:14190:6184:22185:11180:12182:1claim 27:24175:24179:4191:17192:3185:21187:1,12183:10185:11claims 178:8,12coffee 152:19Chairman 2:5187:17,22185:19188:6clarification183:12:143:24:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23150:1come 4:3,45:1,127:15,16189:4190:1,568:4175:1190:2022:938:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23191:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:1358:179:2,19,2310:4192:6,11193:537:2379:10,11168:573:3134:1810:11,1511:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarify 160:848:1356:311:6,14,1912:2chairperson176:22185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23110:1,4ComEd 40:10
183:24 184:5173:22 174:3,869:4 80:14City 41:3 87:1572:17 80:3,11187:11 188:11174:13,19137:22,24101:7coffee 152:19188:15 189:2179:19 184:18172:7 176:12civil 150:9,11colleague189:14 190:6184:22 185:1180:12 182:1claim 27:24175:24 179:4191:17 192:3185:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11claims 178:8,12colleaguesChairman 2:5187:17,22185:19 188:6claims 178:8,12colleagues2:14 3:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:25:1,12 7:15,16189:4 190:1,568:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23 191:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:13 58:179:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2 </td
187:11 188:11174:13,19137:22,24101:7coffee 152:19188:15 189:2179:19 184:18172:7 176:12civil 150:9,11colleague189:14 190:6184:22 185:1180:12 182:1claim 27:24175:24 179:4191:17 192:3185:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11claims 178:8,12colleagues2:14 3:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:25:1,12 7:15,16189:4 190:1,568:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23 191:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:13 58:179:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
188:15 189:2179:19 184:18172:7 176:12civil 150:9,11colleague189:14 190:6184:22 185:1180:12 182:1claim 27:24175:24 179:4191:17 192:3185:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11claims 178:8,12colleaguesChairman 2:5187:17,22185:19 188:6clarification183:12:14 3:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:25:1,12 7:15,16189:4 190:1,568:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23 191:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:13 58:179:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
189:14 190:6184:22 185:1180:12 182:1claim 27:24175:24 179:4191:17 192:3185:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11claims 178:8,12colleaguesChairman 2:5187:17,22185:19 188:6clarification183:12:14 3:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:25:1,12 7:15,16189:4 190:1,568:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23 191:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:13 58:179:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
191:17 192:3185:21 187:1,12183:10 185:11claims 178:8,12colleaguesChairman 2:5187:17,22185:19 188:6clarification183:12:14 3:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:25:1,12 7:15,16189:4 190:1,568:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23 191:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:13 58:179:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
Chairman 2:5187:17,22185:19 188:6clarification183:12:14 3:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:25:1,12 7:15,16189:4 190:1,568:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23 191:20charges 37:18clarify 160:848:13 58:179:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
2:14 3:2 4:2188:14,18charged 23:120:23 150:1come 4:3,4 18:25:1,12 7:15,16189:4 190:1,568:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23 191:2068:4 175:1190:2022:9 38:49:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
5:1,127:15,16189:4190:1,568:4175:1190:2022:938:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23191:20charges37:18clarify160:848:1358:179:2,19,2310:4192:6,11193:537:2379:10,11168:573:3134:1810:11,1511:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's138:3156:13165:311:6,14,1912:2chairperson176:22185:11clean109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23110:1,4ComEd40:1013:21,2315:13184:10187:24charging39:10clear18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:1616:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:1236:1641:2442:2,717:718:17151:23138:2351:2452:1544:8,10,13,1520:2321:1,11challengescheck87:953:654:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,2222:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:259:2446:2,16,1722:8,1723:21change44:13Chicago4:1669:2382:1647:14,19,21,23
5:1,127:15,16189:4190:1,568:4175:1190:2022:938:48:3,8,11,15,24190:23191:20charges37:18clarify160:848:1358:179:2,19,2310:4192:6,11193:537:2379:10,11168:573:3134:1810:11,1511:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's138:3156:13165:311:6,14,1912:2chairperson176:22185:11clean109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23110:1,4ComEd40:1013:21,2315:13184:10187:24charging39:10clear18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:1616:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:1236:1641:2442:2,717:718:17151:23138:2351:2452:1544:8,10,13,1520:2321:1,11challengescheck87:953:654:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,2222:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:259:2446:2,16,1722:8,1723:21change44:13Chicago4:1669:2382:1647:14,19,21,23
9:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
9:2,19,23 10:4192:6,11 193:537:23 79:10,11168:573:3 134:1810:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
10:11,15 11:2,5193:9,11168:14,18clarity's 138:3156:13 165:311:6,14,19 12:2chairperson176:22 185:11clean 109:15,19192:1212:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
11:6,14,19 12:2 12:13,15,17chairperson 183:13,15,17176:22 185:11 188:6clean 109:15,19 109:23 110:1,4192:12 ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13 15:16 16:1,9,19184:10 187:24 188:2,22,24charging 39:10 80:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,17 41:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1 19:6 20:12,20challenge 151:23charitable 138:2345:6 46:6 51:24 52:1544:8,10,13,15 44:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11 21:17,22 22:2challenges 178:5,13,16,20check 87:9 172:153:6 54:4,6 58:2 59:2445:7,10,10,16 47:14,19,21,23
12:13,15,17183:13,15,17188:6109:23 110:1,4ComEd 40:1013:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
13:21,23 15:13184:10 187:24charging 39:10clear 18:1841:3,8,12,15,1715:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
15:16 16:1,9,19188:2,22,2480:1027:12 36:1641:24 42:2,717:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
17:7 18:17 19:1challengecharitable45:6 46:643:19,22 44:219:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
19:6 20:12,20151:23138:2351:24 52:1544:8,10,13,1520:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
20:23 21:1,11challengescheck 87:953:6 54:4,645:7,10,10,1621:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
21:17,22 22:2178:5,13,16,20172:158:2 59:2446:2,16,1722:8,17 23:21change 44:13Chicago 4:1669:23 82:1647:14,19,21,23
22:8,17 23:21 change 44:13 Chicago 4:16 69:23 82:16 47:14,19,21,23
25:6,15,21,24 182:14 78:18,21 87:15 140:15,20 59:8,12,12
26:4,13,17,21 changed 130:12 101:7 150:5 155:7 169:2 62:9,16 63:1
28:23 30:11 106:18,19,22 Chicago's 41:2 clearly 71:11 65:16,20 68:2 24:2 0 22:0 14 125:5 144:2 abiat 70:6 22 128:14 68:2 4 6 7.9
31:2,9 32:9,14 135:5 144:3 chief 78:6,22 188:14 68:3,4,6,7,8
33:1 34:2,19 147:6 157:6 79:13 81:24 Clerk 4:22,24 68:17,22
35:3,14,17,21 166:8 91:19 92:15 5:2,4,6,8,10 69:12,13 72:7
36:2,8 39:8 characterizati 149:24 10:13,14,16,18 72:12,19 73:14
48:17 54:22 134:11,13 chip 162:3 10:20,22,24 74:2,19 75:3,4
56:4,9,15 characterize choice 54:18 11:24 12:1,3,5 75:7,8,10,12,13
57:22 58:7,15 62:24 68:10 choose 191:22 12:7,9,11 75:17 77:10
59:19 60:8,17 71:2 91:24 chooses 53:23 25:20 26:6,8 79:13,19 80:2

80:6,10,13	141:24 142:5,9	65:6 157:14	53:1,4,13,21	35:11
81:17 83:1,2,3	142:12,24	commenced 4:1	53:22 55:4	common 91:20
83:9,11,14	143:3,20,23	comment 108:1	56:6,22	92:6
84:6,8,9,23	144:6,13,20	113:17 137:16	62:23 64:22	Commonweal
86:15 87:2	145:6,11,14,16	141:13	65:7 68:19	12:19 19:24
88:12,17 90:4	146:2 147:1,8	comments	70:12,15,18	37:5,8,10
90:6,12,19	147:15 149:13	50:16 154:21	79:2,20 93:15	39:22 40:7,14
91:7,8,12,12	150:14 151:5,14	160:9 184:24	108:8 130:6,9	40:18,23 41:1
93:13 94:4,13	151:20 154:17	Commerce	140:10 170:9	43:6,15 49:21
94:22 96:8	155:2,5,13	99:5	172:7,24 173:5	49:23 51:9
96:20 97:5,5	156:11 157:24	commission	173:13,16	64:24 65:24
97:7,12,20,22	158:14 160:10	75:6 78:11	174:8 175:5,7	69:6 72:10,16
97:23 98:2,9	161:6,24 162:2	99:5 150:3,5	175:8 176:4,6	73:6 82:17,21
98:18 99:14	162:4,5,8,16	194:22	176:8,10,14	83:22 85:16
100:10 101:1,13	162:23 163:12	commit 54:6	177:3,8,10	85:18 86:5
101:24 102:4	163:21 164:3,6	175:2	179:7,23,24	94:11 117:18
102:14,18	164:13 165:6,8	committed	180:1,3,4 181:8	130:18 131:22
103:1,12 104:7	165:10 166:18	35:14 54:12	181:10,17,23	131:24 151:17
105:4,8,24	166:19 168:4,8	committee 1:6	181:24 182:24	151:18 152:5
106:3,4,11,14	168:12,15	2:13,16,22 3:1	183:14,15,19,21	183:5,6
106:20 107:3	169:12,20	4:3,19,20 5:19	184:8,11,14,14	communicate
107:8,12,19,21	170:2,7,10	6:4,16,18,21	184:17 185:16	62:5 141:3
107.8,12,19,21	170.2,7,10	7:5,22 8:22	185:22,24	174:6
108.2,17,20,21	ComEd's 12:21	9:12 13:11,17		communicated
•			186:2,3,5,7,9	
112:8,21 113:3	43:1,17 48:1	13:20 14:2,11	186:11,13,15,17	62:7,8,11 74:4
113:10,11,18	63:14 70:17	14:13,16,16,21	186:19,21	109:12
114:2,22	84:20 90:2,11	15:5 16:3,14	187:18 188:1,13	communication
115:23 116:12	94:17 98:14	17:16,19 18:1,6	188:18,22	60:7,12 63:12
116:21 117:11,16	100:7 102:11	19:1 20:17,17	189:2,10,15,24	63:14 145:10
117:21 118:5,14	103:5,22 113:9	21:3,7,9,12,18	191:2,12,14	145:12,20
118:19,22 121:7	118:2,12,15	22:3,6,7,10,14	192:2,15,16,18	155:6,10,14
121:11,14 122:2	121:8 124:11	22:16 23:1,6	192:21,24	162:20 175:11
122:4,4,17,23	126:9 128:5	23:15 24:5,13	193:7,9	communicatio
123:14,17	137:13,14	24:22 25:12	Committee's	59:14 61:7,24
124:18 126:13	138:8,11,17	25:18 27:6,16	2:19 7:1,12	62:24 63:20
126:17,18,22	140:11 153:1,6	28:10,12 29:1	36:20 50:12	63:24 130:14
127:1,4,20,24	159:13	29:6,15,15	50:14,22	141:1,5,7,9
129:5 131:4,8	comes 112:9	30:5,17,22	57:15 58:21	172:13,15,20
131:12,18,19	115:4 121:24	31:23 32:5	61:20 180:24	companies
132:7,10,13,15	129:24 156:12	33:9 34:20,22	183:10	41:16 55:16,21
132:17,17,19	177:13 178:17	34:24 35:10	committeemen	171:4
133:13,21 134:1	comfortable	35:23 37:15	41:19 107:6	company 41:6
134:8 137:19	23:7,18,21,23	37:22 38:2,8	committees	41:22 51:10
137:21,23	24:16 100:15	42:17,17 43:12	5:24 18:2,4	55:18 57:5,17
138:1,3,10,21	142:19	48:5,14,18,22	22:22 23:14	57:18 58:22
139:4,13,19	coming 36:17	50:6,13,17	30:1	59:4,13,23
140:4 141:15,17	58:12 62:4	52:3,5,13,24	committing	67:2,7 74:6
		l	l	I

85:11 88:14,15	139:10,11 159:1	104:4 113:13	39:24	contain 7:20
89:7,11 97:24	159:5 160:1	124:15 131:2,10	consequently	80:1
98:19 99:8	170:14,18	131:20 159:20	185:23	contained 163:9
101:15,16,24	171:22 178:24	159:22 160:13	considerably	165:14 169:19
103:2,13	compliant 59:1	172:17 180:5	151:24	contains 39:20
104:18,19	complicated	conducted	consideration	72:6
105:24 107:4	130:23 156:4	48:17 57:7	165:17 177:11	contempt 179:1
107:19 108:16	167:6	75:8 180:9,13	considerations	contents 78:1
109:8,10,10	complies 68:6	Conference	154:4	contest 138:4
110:6,23 114:21	137:23	177:12 179:3	considered 71:6	168:9
119:17 130:19	comply 81:18	182:4	72:3 169:24	context 30:1
130:21,22,23	168:12	confidence	178:21	53:19 68:1
140:5 141:16	complying	36:18 38:9	consistent	110:12 117:24
143:7,11 147:21	168:23 173:4	confident	70:23 71:4	121:4 136:6
156:11 167:2,2	comprised 29:6	169:16	90:8	140:18 157:20
167:12 169:15	compromise	confidentiality	conspiracy	contexts 157:7
170:1	14:24 15:9,11	178:2	175:2	157:8
company's	15:17 23:11	confirm 20:3	constituent	continue 59:5
71:12 105:1	24:16	59:23 90:19	139:17	141:3
144:18	compulsion	123:8,11,16	constitute	continued
compared	182:22	125:1,15,23	137:14	96:15 109:7
130:2	conceal 41:12	confirmation	constitutes	116:16 132:16
comparison	107:12	59:10 60:15	67:20 159:14	continuing
39:6	conceding	66:2	constitutionally	74:20 119:5
compel 176:11	45:10	confirmed	15:21 177:19	contract 44:10
183:19 184:12	concerned	19:24 60:2	constraints	44:12,13 45:19
188:3	29:12,14 53:17	confirming 77:3	56:22	45:23 103:14
compelling	concerning	conflict 14:8	construing	106:1,1,4 109:9
176:7	80:22 83:2	176:9	185:2	116:14,16
compels 38:22	84:9 105:24	confusion 66:18	Consultant 85:4	117:21 118:1,9
complained	concerns 28:24	connection	85:9 87:22	119:10 126:10
128:4	178:20	40:21 42:6	87:22 88:11	126:13,16,18
complaint 39:7	conclude 54:8	44:4 52:7	96:9 101:12	127:11,13,20
39:9,14,17	120:14	57:2 62:20	110:19 111:3,9	128:22 129:6
40:4	conclusion	69:3 75:15	111:12,17,18	154:7,11 164:19
complaints	38:15,18,23	81:10 88:6	112:2,4,17	165:9
92:23	168:19	91:5 104:2	115:17 116:3	contracts
complete 72:19	concrete 42:23	124:13,20	consultants	138:23 159:2
182:21	43:3	129:1 139:9	72:22 170:22	164:11 165:6
completely	conditions	connections	171:6	contractural
185:22	182:13	131:15 142:7,13	consulting 41:16	141:18,18 142:1
compliance	conduct 14:22	143:1	78:17 167:24	contradict
55:15,18	17:19 41:14	connotation	170:19	168:9
58:23 59:4	49:22,24	156:2	contact 59:20	contradiction
70:3 77:4	50:23 53:19	conscious 18:2	72:20	51:1
78:6 110:3,7	54:12 72:17	23:3	contacted 128:7	contrary 52:8
110:10 118:8	72:20 79:8	consequences	contacts 154:17	contrast 39:19
	, , 0.0			

163:7	corporation	190:9 192:8,8	150:10,16,16	116:20 117:11
contributions	55:16 160:1	194:9,13	150:22 151:1	161:23 162:7
138:23		counseled 22:3	critical 156:3	decent 190:12
control 98:23	corporations 150:8		cross 141:5	decide 7:24 9:8
		counsels 9:10 189:18 190:24		
134:2 184:5	correct 15:24		cross-examin	28:15
189:23	19:2,3 20:5,6	counted 149:9	50:8 54:2	decided 58:17
controls 171:15	23:24 24:17	country 17:1	CSR 3:18,18	deciding 178:21
convened 48:18	24:18 28:13	37:4 47:3	194:18	decision 10:9
185:6 191:4	36:7 58:4,5	couple 56:14,19	Cullerton 142:21	69:9 180:15
convenience	60:11 67:16,17	71:19 93:5	148:18	190:21 192:4,7
34:20	69:21 70:5	179:20	current 64:19	decision-maki
convening	76:4,8,13	course 39:1	currently 11:6	135:17,19
48:22 184:7	80:12 84:24	42:24 45:23	111:1 141:21	136:5,20
conversation	102:13 106:11	51:4 53:2	168:4	decorum 5:22
53:6,8 61:11	117:17 122:19	83:18 104:4	curry 47:22	Decreamer
106:7,10	136:23 138:24	124:15 127:20	143:24 144:7	167:24
108:24 109:4	148:6 149:20	139:17 182:10	146:22 147:4	deed 44:1
117:9,24	150:17 151:10	court 3:17 5:19	currying 144:10	default 178:12
162:15 180:20	153:24 160:14	39:15 49:9	cut 119:23 120:11	defendant
181:19 191:6	160:15 169:23	69:1,7,8,16		39:17,22 40:1
conversations	correctly 83:12	80:9 88:4	D	79:5
61:19 63:4,22	153:9	125:17 176:21	d 3:18 110:16	defenses 178:7
66:1 161:4	corresponde	183:6 185:17	112:3 194:3,17	defer 76:18
convicted 68:5	33:22 181:9	courteous	date 77:23	deference 17:11
68:7 69:13	corrupt 45:9	190:12	178:14 185:16	17:14
138:16	49:14	courtesy 190:8	dated 181:15	deferred 38:19
conviction	corruption	coverings 4:18	dates 61:22	39:2,3,5,19
69:13 138:1	36:24 37:5,12	covers 78:12	David 2:4 3:15	66:24 67:3,9
151:2	37:13 42:9	COVID-19 4:8	12:19 55:14	67:14,22 68:1
convincing	43:10,14 44:6	crafted 134:12	56:1	68:8,24 69:3
37:21	50:11	created 15:22	day 1:15 6:12	69:12,19,22
cooperate	corruptly 81:6	123:17 170:10	35:12 49:15,15	70:2,19 71:6
58:20	Council 41:3	creating 49:6	66:15 75:4	72:5,9 76:7,9
cooperation	87:15 101:8	credit 41:10	191:8	76:11 77:8,13
70:3,6,11,23	counsel 3:13,15	146:16	day-to-day	78:24 79:2,3
71:1	19:19 21:8,10	crime 67:20	115:20	79:18,24 81:17
cooperative	21:11,14,14,17	138:11 139:3	deal 35:5,7	82:5,7 89:3
59:6 70:15	21:21,23 22:2	140:6 156:18	190:15 191:10	91:9 93:22,24
71:13	22:5,6,7,14,21	criminal 6:3	192:8	100:6 104:14
coordination	23:9 27:21	29:10 50:15	dealt 131:4,7	110:17 112:4
87:21 88:10	28:2 29:2,18	51:7 53:18	179:11	121:2,20 132:5
101:11	30:5,7,8,19,21	69:4,5 78:22	Deanne 3:7	135:8 136:18
copy 79:20	57:1,7 59:11,21	79:5,14 81:24	debated 146:1	136:21 148:4,8
coronavirus	59:24 60:9	82:5 91:19	decade 37:7	148:12,16,20
37:3	62:8,13 63:18	92:3,16 93:3	40:8 43:1,5	149:2,6,12
	63:24 65:7,24	137:22 149:17	47:3 49:17	149.2,6,12
corporate 70:22	00.24 00.7.24	I IJ/.ZZ 143.1/		101.0102.0
	66:19 187:18	149:24 150:9	decades 49:11	160:2 161:14

			l	
168:6,7,13	38:2	deviate 17:21	disagreements	disposition
169:17 170:4	demonstrate	difference 43:7	9:17 189:7	139:22
172:8,17	. 79:7	133:15 161:6,10	disciplinary	dispute 81:18
181:22	depending	differences	24:8 54:19	106:20,21
defined 172:21	177:19	43:3	discipline 5:17	108:2
definitely 111:20	Derrick 39:12	different 18:18	14:16 24:6	disputes 193:3
definition	42:13 43:2,8,9	18:19 37:2	42:16,16,18	disqualify 31:22
109:24	describe 38:16	108:6 143:4	disclose 57:3,9	disrespectful
definitively	63:19 78:3	differently 52:2	99:18	68:21 174:7
154:16	143:15,23	difficulty 125:17	disclosed	distancing 4:11
defraud 175:3	144:5 147:10	digital 78:16	99:24	distinct 160:4
degree 102:20	described 40:1	diligence 72:21	disclosure	distinction
delay 153:8	41:20 81:4	75:8	172:4	155:20 163:13
175:12	156:21	direct 37:21	discontinued	163:17
delegated	describes 18:15	50:24 54:10	87:3 101:2	distinguish
176:15 184:16	describing	94:19 95:12	121:12,18	163:6
delineate 152:4	108:16	96:6 132:6	122:5,18	distraction 53:3
deliver 133:1,7	description	145:12 165:1	discontinuing	district 6:7
133:10,19	73:15	166:4	165:9	40:13 69:7,8
demanded	descriptions	directed 34:21	discovery 121:8	77:10 80:9,9
45:20	72:6	184:7,9	discredit 48:1	82:1 92:4,16
demands 45:11	designated	directing 14:10	discretion 17:21	94:9 149:17
113:20	19:18 21:20,22	direction 52:1	179:9 183:13	divided 30:9
Demmer 2:10	22:20 23:8	91:11 114:15	183:14,17,24	division 78:22
3:3 5:2,3 6:5	99:22	176:9 194:7	184:3,4,10	79:14 82:1
6:10 8:6 9:13	designed	directive 41:4	185:5 188:2,15	91:20 92:3,16
9:21,24 10:8	128:23	directly 41:10	190:6 191:18	149:17,24
10:16,17 12:3,4	designee 192:4	52:8 86:11	192:3	docket 69:7
15:3 20:22	desire 50:14	92:20 93:7	discuss 63:9	document
21:5,13,19,24	detailed 158:21	144:24 166:15	114:16	39:10 40:2
22:4,11 26:6,7	160:23	176:8	discussed	82:12 97:6
32:15,16 33:13	details 104:7	director 75:7,12	100:11 103:21	103:11 144:2
33:15,16 34:4	determination	78:10,16 150:4	116:14 166:12	148:9 160:3
52:11 76:16,17	190:10	165:16	189:12	169:5 183:9
160:20,21	determine 9:10	directors 43:17	discussing	documentation
161:1 173:8,11	175:14,21	73:8 74:3	109:8 163:7	96:24 103:15
179:17,18	177:6,7,24	77:16	discussion 4:9	158:24
187:6,15,16	184:13 189:19	disabused	25:23 26:3	documents 10:6
188:9,16,20	192:20	50:20	32:10 34:11	33:19 51:4,13
189:20 190:3	determined	disagree 9:4,15	161:5 164:24	57:12 97:2,3
190:19 191:13	75:9	22:8 191:7	176:3 179:15	173:5 176:7,11
191:24 192:10	determining	192:11 193:1	179:21 189:6	181:1 188:5
193:8	175:20	disagreeing	discussions	Doherty 85:9,10
Demmer's	devastating	192:13	60:24 117:24	85:12,13
184:24	46:15	disagreement	dismiss 79:11	87:23 88:12
Democrat 33:13	developed	33:21 189:7	dismissed 138:1	96:9 98:7
Democrats	95:8,11 96:6	192:19	dispose 108:19	101:13 102:1,6

	I		I	
107:7 111:10	86:1 88:7,10	27:4,15,22,24	43:15 49:21	emails 47:5
112:5,10 115:2	91:17 97:23	28:2,7,14	49:23 51:9	Emanuel 3:2
115:6,11,12,17	98:4 100:13	30:16 31:4,10	64:24 65:24	emphasized
115:21,23	102:18 108:13	31:13 32:2	69:6 72:10,16	111:9,10 112:5
116:2,5 118:22	108:14,22	33:2,5 35:5	73:6 82:17,21	emphatically
119:10 186:8	114:23 115:9	35:20,21 36:6	83:22 85:18	52:15
Doherty's 85:11	117:23 122:7,11	36:9 54:23	86:6 94:11	employ 167:21
88:15 89:11	122:20 124:14	55:3,8,10	117:18 131:24	employed
101:16 104:19	124:24 126:12	142:13 143:18	151:17,18 152:6	141:21 146:3
105:1 106:1,4	126:21 131:1,19	145:5,7,11,16	183:5,7	194:10,13
107:3,18	131:19 133:11,13	145:23 146:7	Edison's 37:6	employee 45:16
109:10 110:6	134:1,11,14	146:15,22	41:1 85:16	45:20 58:4
110:23 114:21	136:6 137:21	147:1,5 148:10	effect 165:24	101:24 103:1
116:14 117:21			170:24	
	137:23,24	155:4,7,12,15		162:2,16
118:1,8 119:17	138:5,9 144:12	155:16	effectively	194:13
167:2	144:12 150:14	Durkin's 2:13	133:24 182:12	employees
doing 17:1 18:7	152:1,3 159:14	13:11,16,19	efficiency 29:21	46:19 55:18
37:16 47:21	159:18,19	22:19 23:8	effort 43:10	59:12 64:20
73:8 102:9	161:19 162:18	146:21,24	72:13 82:23	116:21 118:5
107:14 108:18	162:21,22	155:18 176:16	128:5 147:4,14	141:17 158:5,15
109:23 118:8	163:18 164:8,9	176:22	149:13	161:24 162:5,8
121:7 122:3	164:21,22	duties 40:22	efforts 58:21	162:23 171:3
140:24 152:16	165:15 166:10	44:4 75:16	82:24 84:8	171:17
158:11 174:9,11	166:17 168:23	104:2 124:13	139:10	employer
dollar 119:14,16	169:2,3 176:8	124:21 129:2	eight 97:21	157:23 158:1
130:13	draw 107:24	139:18	98:8	employer's
dollars 40:16	108:5 163:6		EIMA 132:16	158:4
Dominguez	drawn 166:10	E	133:7	employment
63:15	dreaded 155:24	E 187:23	either 29:2 50:1	74:2 78:3
Don 148:22	drill 44:24 45:5	e-mails 172:14	79:4,10 90:4	164:18 171:10
donations 99:15	45:11 128:11,15	earlier 20:10	148:10 154:3	empowered
99:18,20,23	due 17:7 68:15	38:6 49:3	193:1,3	192:2
door 50:8	72:20 75:8	71:20 94:18	elected 5:17	ended 158:10
158:21	93:2 178:14	105:14 113:1	135:16,18	endured 37:12
door-to-door	duly 56:2	160:12 168:3	136:4,19	energy 31:15
105:3	157:23	175:24 179:5	election 100:1	45:18
doubt 52:20	duped 47:1	early 170:24	elicit 185:10	enforce 72:17
54:14 114:4,11	Durkin 3:11 13:13	earn 48:17	eligible 27:5	enforced
122:15	14:4,8,12,20	ease 120:15	Elizabeth 3:5	176:23
DPA 38:21,22	15:1,1,10,14,15	easier 73:1	Ellis 21:15 22:13	enforcement
39:20 40:1	15:18 16:7,11,21	easily 48:9	Elsberg 63:14	41:13 51:16
49:12 51:8	18:8,23 19:3	echo 184:24	Elsberry 65:2	78:13 121:8
62:19,22 68:6	19:10 20:6	economic 46:5	email 59:10	178:6,24 181:4
70:4,24 71:10	21:2 23:9,12	Edison 12:19	65:14,17 66:5	181:6
72:2 73:1,13	23:18,20,23	19:24 37:8,10	66:7,10 153:9	engage 143:20
73:20,23 78:1	24:15,18 25:1	39:22 40:7,14	153:12 165:21	171:21
79:12 85:19	25:4,8,9,16	40:18,23 43:6	172:4	engaged 161:6
7 3.12 03.13	20.7,0,0,10		172.7	Cingaged 101.0

ensure 4:10	141:14 159:5	59:9	existence 172:4	extensive 159:3
45:18 53:1	evaluate 5:16		172:14	
58:24 132:16		exceptions 51:19		extent 30:4 58:21 114:14
	evening 60:2		existing 123:20 123:24 152:24	
158:1	66:6,12	exchange 37:9		173:4 185:1
ensuring 55:17	event 117:7	46:18 75:6	153:6	external 55:19
enter 6:20 8:4	143:23 144:6	78:11 139:7	exists 81:5	109:7
13:9,10,16	events 40:1	149:18 150:3,5	177:15	extraordinarily
33:18 69:15	57:19 66:17	excuse 44:19	expect 46:1	168:24
137:20 168:15	88:7 124:24	executed 67:1,6	72:4 138:15	extraordinary
168:17	everybody	executive 55:14	expectation	44:6
entered 10:3	120:10	58:22 63:16	168:22	extreme 38:11
11:8,10,16,23	everyday	63:16 74:17	expected 43:19	extremely 48:4
12:14 13:20,22	143:23 144:6	77:4 87:21,24	129:17	175:22
51:8,9 168:4	evidence 5:20	88:1,11 101:12	expedition	eyes 47:7
169:21 175:6	7:20 13:20	104:16 105:22	185:13	
entering 7:18	18:16 28:3,17	110:19 112:7	expenditures	F
entire 23:6	37:20 38:17,17	executives	98:20	face 4:17
49:17 179:7	38:19,22 39:1	40:19 47:2	expenses 99:9	facilitate 29:2
entirety 82:18	39:11,12,13,23	87:1 100:24	experience	29:18
169:6	42:24 43:4	131:4,7,9	79:13 91:18	fact 28:6 31:19
entities 167:21	54:9 55:6	Exelon 47:9	92:3,6,15	31:20 40:6
entity 131:20	91:24 96:22	55:15,20	130:18 182:18	55:7 68:16
178:6	96:23,23	58:20 62:9	expert 99:10	76:18 90:20
entry 69:10	166:9 169:5	63:1,17 64:1,3	140:9	106:11 113:13
episode 75:18	185:8	74:17 75:8,11	expertise 142:11	117:4 136:14
equipped 68:17	evidentiary	77:3 78:4,6	expires 194:22	140:22 165:22
equivalent	39:15 178:1	131:8 139:13	explain 46:2,4	factors 177:10,14
74:10	evokes 156:1	144:20 147:1	46:4,15 48:14	facts 38:14 39:11
especially 23:7	evolves 182:7	171:4	79:1107:4,12	39:21 57:11
56:16 179:7	exact 61:21	Exelon's 72:17	117:8 149:10	67:2,15 68:3
190:14	examination	exercise 134:2	explained 56:13	68:24 69:19
essential 98:21	2:5,6,7,8,9,10	178:19 179:24	56:19 104:16	72:6,16 73:12
181:23 182:9	58:14 76:24	exercises 184:3	105:8 115:21	77:18,19 82:4
182:22	78:13 137:9	exercising	116:3,19 161:23	82:7,13,18
essentially	148:1 152:14	183:23	explaining	94:1 108:6
62:19 68:18	160:24	Exhibit 2:12,13	106:9 117:16	110:18 121:2
84:10 108:5	examined 56:3	2:14,15 13:12	181:7	137:21 138:4,7
169:6 170:22	example 40:23	13:13,17,18,19	explains 181:5	138:9,14,18
	71:24 87:4	13:21 79:19	•	159:14,19
establish 58:11 76:10 187:24	101:3 113:19	82:8	explicit 140:6	168:10 170:3
	147:13 181:20		exploit 45:8	181:5,7 183:4
established		exhibits 2:11,17	expressed 176:9	factual 51:15
180:4 188:12	examples 93:5	2:19,22 6:22		136:17 163:18
establishes	165:3 166:3	6:24 7:2,4,8,11	extend 57:20	181:3
76:12	exceeded	13:12 33:19,24	173:13 192:23	fails 11:3 12:13
etcetera 138:23	132:20,24	exist 37:23	extending	failure 71:11
ethical 59:1	excellent 157:12	existed 162:6,7	132:11	fair 15:9 71:18
ethics 59:3	exception 51:18	162:17	extends 70:7	Iali 13.371.10
	•	•	•	

	1		1	
80:16 86:5	177:2,4 181:3,5	firms 47:8 72:11	69:10,11 70:24	Friedberg 78:17
88:16 95:2	183:5	first 19:19 30:12	formally 66:9	friend 44:23
99:13 103:20	feel 100:15	31:9 36:16	70:13	45:3,4 74:22
106:15 107:22	174:5,7	50:3 55:23	former 31:13 41:1	124:5,7 128:9
110:6 122:14	FEJA 45:23	56:2,21 61:16	41:19 42:13	128:13,14
130:17 131:3	129:2 132:16	69:24 102:23	46:19 92:4	friends 17:8
132:2 133:8	133:7 145:24	124:3 128:2	107:5 122:24	20:13
134:19,20	146:8 147:2	165:5 168:21	145:15 155:3	front 35:18
135:1142:8	fewer 107:19	179:22 183:12	165:11	73:20 127:13
162:14 169:10	Fidel 64:12 88:1	183:13,16,22	forms 169:8	146:10 176:1
191:14	145:14 155:3	190:23	forth 24:24	fulfill 54:16,17
fairly 30:9	175:1	first-class 159:11	33:13 39:10	full 70:3 93:24
faith 38:6		first-hand		95:5,23
	figure 49:10		170:3 172:8,17	
false 53:5	figured 105:15	160:12,15	Fortune 130:22	102:23 124:3
familiar 67:2	file 80:7	fishing 185:13	130:22	128:2 145:2
79:12 81:23	filed 19:22 39:1	fit 172:20	forum 16:24	176:3
99:6 142:4	69:5 75:4	five 65:10	forward 13:5	full-time 141:17
146:5 147:20	79:11 137:22	120:15	25:5 26:12	fun 152:18
150:7	filing 183:6	five-minute	28:16 29:13	fund 78:7 119:17
far 166:18	fill 127:3	120:13 174:16	30:10 36:13	funds 80:22
169:16	final 166:24	floor 10:5 34:10	50:7 55:10	107:21
fate 46:5	financial 98:21	133:23 134:17	58:24 81:21	funnel 114:22
favor 43:18	financially	134:18 137:3	158:19 159:9	funneling 115:8
47:22 107:6	194:14	focus 121:3	165:16	further 7:14
107:14 108:11	find 92:6 100:9	149:12	forwarded	13:15,24 32:1
108:16 143:24	finding 37:19	focused 70:24	153:20	32:10 68:11
144:7,10	41:13	folks 35:18	found 65:2	106:24 107:2
146:22 147:4	findings 31:6	191:11	96:22	117:19 152:8
favorable 37:9	fine 35:13	follow 17:24	four 78:9 165:19	162:20 164:23
42:7 132:17	89:24 105:13	169:8,9	167:15,21	181:9 182:3
favorably	120:10 125:5	follow-ups 161:3	170:24	183:11 184:1
108:20	152:17 169:11	followed 41:3	fourth 121:4	194:12
favors 107:18	169:12,15,18	177:16	165:14	future 18:3 27:6
108:18	fined 81:13	following 19:14	frame 85:19	27:18 29:6
FBI 49:19	finish 17:10 18:16	35:24 36:12	132:9	
				45:18 184:8
February 105:21	finished 35:7	75:4 189:21	Frank 95:16	G
109:3 110:18	firm 44:8,11,14	follows 56:3	96:2,7,13 97:4	game 54:5,6
federal 6:2	44:14,16 45:18	foreclosed	97:6,17 100:8	gatekeeper
29:24 42:21	45:21 78:17	168:19	110:21 122:23	43:21 44:21
47:9 49:8	85:12 125:7,9	foregoing 194:4	122:24	
50:10,15 51:7	125:24 126:8	194:5	free 48:17	47:1 Condo 62:14
51:15 52:6	126:14 127:2,8	foreseeable	168:14 173:18	Gayle 62:14
53:1,18 67:21	127:21,22	132:18,23	frequently	general 1:3
69:16 80:20	128:3,6,12,22	form 24:7 169:7	29:24	15:21 18:4
80:22 81:18	128:23 129:6	172:15 177:17	Friday 6:13	42:8 51:2
88:3 121:8	129:11 131:11	formal 64:22	60:2 65:11,15	63:18 86:21
138:11 159:15	168:1 170:19	65:5,12 66:7	65:21 66:6	124:22 151:19
	l		l	

152:5 171:2	66:3,23 71:12	158:19 159:9	grounds 5:17	happen 47:17
generally 51:12	75:19 76:15	159:10 160:7	37:17,23	159:9 189:7
52:16 144:15	77:3 92:20,24	167:19,20	38:23 54:15	191:1
180:23 181:6	125:16,19	170:6 174:15	group 48:5,5	happened 59:8
181:11	137:11,18	179:12 185:7	167:24	87:20 116:18
gentleman 13:1	152:16 173:12	185:20 189:7	guaranteed	159:8 174:22
46:2	173:19,21	Gomez 63:17	127:10	happens
gentlemen 4:5	Glockner's	good 4:2 36:13	guess 130:8	159:23
5:14 35:22	23:16 59:23	43:24 74:22	guests 55:13	happy 116:4,6
genuine 189:6	60:2	79:7 124:5	guidance 171:17	120:10
189:6	go 7:23 8:21	152:20 156:4	179:2 182:5,6	hard 37:16,20
getting 92:22	13:24 15:6	goods 50:6	guideline	38:1
107:21 129:12	20:13 33:10,12	gotten 24:13	135:23 136:1	Harmon 142:21
146:23 154:2	42:12 45:3,5	36:2 137:2	guidelines 9:6	148:22
Gibbons 63:13	47:23 83:21	156:20	135:11 136:11	Harris 191:22
gifts 47:20	86:21,23	govern 56:20	136:16 150:15	head 63:12
give 7:22 13:14	93:21 100:21	governed	150:23,24	92:3
15:2,5 16:2,18	103:18 104:13	188:19	151:2,4	headed 82:13
18:20 19:6	105:3,15	government	guilt 137:19	heading 135:9
20:4,15 27:8	106:24 110:16	36:18 37:14	guilty 68:4,12	health 4:7
34:8 61:21	112:1 116:18	38:7,10 39:21	68:23 69:14	hear 38:18
73:18 81:7	119:9 121:1	39:24 42:22	69:14,16,17,17	48:12 56:11
105:4 120:11	123:4 125:6	51:21,23 52:7	69:18 88:3	92:23 116:7
127:3 140:13	126:15 128:13	70:4 79:4,6,9	137:20 138:2	140:16 183:6,7
183:20 188:4	128:16 129:20	79:10 80:7	138:10,15,19	heard 36:3,4
189:13 191:17	131:18 134:14	81:9,12 89:1	160:3 168:5,11	185:4
given 49:4 51:7	153:13 154:2	97:15 143:22	168:16,17,18	hearing 1:14 4:1
53:14 64:3,6	162:13 167:21	144:5,5,17,18	175:6	4:19 5:15 6:5
111:12,17 112:7	173:18 176:20	150:14 168:7	guise 116:16	13:6 14:2 19:17
165:4 171:24	goal 18:12 185:7	168:14 169:4	guy 105:4	19:21 27:16
178:14	goes 151:24	177:5	guys 24:3,9	61:20 62:6,12
gives 81:6 184:4	going 7:21 8:1	government's	109:13	63:6,9 64:5,8
giving 28:4	9:9,10 17:15	39:10 53:18		64:11,14,17
44:10 106:2,13	17:23 18:3	82:5	<u> </u>	69:24 104:12
126:10,13	22:17 26:22	governor 31:14	H 2:17,19 6:24	123:3 125:17
183:13 185:2	28:8 29:13	36:22 48:11,12	7:3,8,11	125:18 165:1
190:8	33:6,11 46:13	146:15	half 61:23 78:15	175:18 178:16
glad 137:11	47:24 48:3,8	gradations	78:20	178:23 193:12
Glockner 2:4	49:5,7,18	93:10	hand 12:24 13:2	194:4,5,8,8,11
3:15 12:20,23	56:14 57:23	Grant 3:8	55:24	hearings 129:16
12:24 15:7	58:24 71:13	granted 34:13	handful 61:19	hedge 78:7
35:6 54:24	81:20 90:3	granting 17:22	handle 30:15	held 1:14 41:22
55:14,22 56:1	91:3 100:8	great 140:21	156:4	90:17 134:24
56:5,9,17	107:13,24	169:21 174:8	handled 140:21	help 58:24
57:22 58:16	115:16 121:5	greatest 141:8	142:3	146:3
58:17 60:6,19	137:12 152:21	grid 147:15	hands 46:6	helpful 56:18
62:4 65:24	154:23 157:1	grimacing 120:6	Hang 167:7	97:24
				I

helping 107:11	109:1,5,15	172:16 181:22	58:20 70:21	INDEX 2:1
hereinafter 74:3	110:24 112:13	183:8	104:8 105:4,9	Indian 81:9
hereto 194:14		identifies 148:10		
	113:5 115:11,12		126:11,14 141:2	indicate 76:5
Hernandez 2:7	118:21 186:12	identify 51:22	141:5 155:22	100:12
3:5 5:4,5	hope 48:10	58:2 85:7	155:22 168:24	indicated 8:5,19
10:18,19 12:5,6	hopefully 38:18	95:14 97:5	175:22	24:15 66:2
20:19,20	120:11	119:15 148:13	Importantly 41:6	117:10 167:10
25:14 26:8,9	hour 4:4	148:17,21	imposed 90:9	180:16 181:9
26:20 31:7	hourly 127:7	149:3 164:5	170:18	191:17
32:8,17,18	129:19 130:12	167:3	impossible 48:6	indicates 73:13
137:6,7,10	hours 1:15 44:15	ignorant 48:7	impressed 131:8	97:23 162:22
147:22 155:1	45:2 126:9,19	50:2	imprisoned	166:24
160:11	126:23 127:1,3	II 1:6 173:13	81:14	indicating
hey 189:16	127:4,21,22	193:7	improper 14:5	60:22 65:15
Hi 152:16	127:24 128:12	ilga.gov 4:14	17:17	66:6 94:21
hide 106:14	129:7,11,15,17	8:21	in-person 4:10	110:13 145:22
high-level	house 1:2,6 4:3	illegal 140:1,16	inadmissible	indication 65:5
135:17,19	14:18 15:22	140:23 141:4	39:14	indictment 23:1
136:4,19 171:1	16:16,22 17:17	156:15,24	inappropriate	39:6,9,14,17
highest 170:1	17:20,20 18:5	157:4,9,15	157:3,10,15	40:4 80:14
highlight 39:3	24:4,7 27:15	Illinois 1:2,17	158:13 193:2	indirect 109:11
hire 41:1 87:13	37:1 38:19	3:20 6:8 37:12	incentivize 118:7	116:15 119:8
101:6 109:13	42:5,14,18	38:3,10 42:5	inception 87:3	167:1,11
117:11 142:5,24	45:13 46:12	46:12 49:9	101:2	indirectly 88:14
144:20,24	48:19,24 49:9	77:10 80:9	incident 45:7	89:6,10 96:8
146:20 147:4	83:1,18 84:16	82:1,24 83:18	139:11	101:15,21
156:12 158:2	85:21 86:8	84:16 92:4,17	incidents 90:15	104:18
hired 45:22	133:22 134:4	99:4 135:20	include 41:18	individual 73:7
83:11 142:12	134:18 136:22	136:22 146:1	51:6 63:12	74:5,8,12,19
145:15 151:16	137:17 146:1	149:18 194:18	70:11 177:14	75:2 87:5
155:3 161:15	176:15 179:23	Illinoisans 183:2	included 9:7	94:3,12 95:7
165:12	180:1 183:1,12	imagination	62:14 109:9	101:5 104:15
hires 141:24	183:18 184:2	17:3	including 4:19	104:23 105:21
162:3,6,6,16	185:2 187:22	immediately	40:11 63:13	106:14 108:15
hiring 44:8 72:11	187:23 188:19	10:7	65:7 83:4,23	109:4 112:11,18
143:7 147:15	188:21	impact 46:6	129:2 132:15	121:10 133:16
156:16 161:7,10	hurt 106:10	impartial 37:16	143:18 147:1	165:11 176:20
161:11 163:10		38:14	159:24 168:10	184:7
history 18:1 78:3		implementing	171:4 178:8,23	individual's
169:13,15	ICC 99:7,10,11	140:3	incorrect 52:19	146:9
Hoene 63:15	idea 105:4	implications	181:14	individually
hold 183:1 187:7	identified 7:6,9	138:18	incorrectly	7:24
	7:13 79:19		53:12	individuals 29:5
holiday 66:13 191:5	86:15 87:23	implicit 139:22 140:5		
	90:5 91:8			36:15 41:16
honest 36:14	98:13 104:23	implied 133:18	50:22	72:11 83:5,24
Hooker 64:15	164:17 168:19	important 27:19	independent 51:11	90:15 104:17
95:10 96:6		28:9 44:11	51.11	105:1 113:2

116:20 117:10	82:5 86:18	intend 33:10	internally	investigator
118:5 121:13,18	89:16 91:4	intended 47:14	109:12 141:1,16	14:7 180:10
126:22 161:15	93:14,19 104:11	65:13 113:12	interpret 70:14	investigatory
161:24 162:8	105:23 106:3	160:10 182:14	interpretation	5:16 14:2,17
164:17 181:21	111:21 114:14,17	intent 44:9	70:17 72:1	16:6 24:12
183:8 184:8,12	118:17 119:12	75:14 81:7	137:17 189:22	70:12
induced 118:22	119:20 121:22	84:13 85:24	190:2 192:13	invitation 52:2
infer 113:7	123:2 125:4	113:15 124:17	interpreted	64:22
inference	129:21 130:3,5	124:19 137:14	50:16 52:2	inviting 59:9
107:23 108:3	130:7 140:11	137:14 161:11	interruption	invoices 158:22
108:5	141:23 143:11	intention 131:21	125:14	invoke 50:10
inferences	144:16 145:2	131:23	intervene	invoked 176:16
108:7 166:10	146:14 153:24	interaction	171:24	invoking 177:8
influence 40:20		139:21 140:22	interview 73:9	involved 45:1
41:17 42:4	154:2,13 155:21 161:21	interactions	75:12	59:3 60:13
41:17 42:4 44:3 45:22	162:12 163:9	139:23 140:20	introduce 33:7	61:1 91:21 92:8
72:13 73:10	172:24 175:1	171:2,16		128:11 143:12
			introspection	
75:15 81:8	175:14 177:22	interchange	169:21	166:15
82:23 84:7,13	180:10,14,15	24:21	investigate	involvement
84:16 85:19	181:3,12,21,24	interchangea	170:2 183:4	135:16 158:24
85:24 86:2,7	182:10,12,16	170:23	185:19,20	165:1
91:14 103:24	182:19,20	interchangea	investigating	involving 81:12
108:19 113:12	183:9	38:21	1:6 5:24 14:13	isolated 45:7
113:15 124:12	informed 45:15	interest 14:8	14:15 20:17	issuance 177:17
124:19 128:24	59:22 128:17	172:6	24:14 173:13	180:16 182:5
131:21,23	informing 113:2	interested	180:3	184:10 189:9
133:15,16	infrequently	130:10,14	investigation	190:21
144:14 149:14	182:16,17	194:15	4:3 14:10,11,22	issue 18:11 31:12
161:12,16	inherent 17:4	interesting	22:21 29:19	34:22 45:1
166:20	inherently 140:1	53:19	31:8 49:19	49:6 128:12
influenced	140:16 141:4	interests 90:18	50:11,15 51:5,6	133:9 134:10
72:12 112:21	initial 33:20	144:17	51:7 52:7 53:2	156:12 176:6
113:14	76:19 170:9	interfere 172:11	53:18 57:6	176:14 178:17
influencing	innocent 39:18	interference	121:9 170:11	179:10 183:21
44:9 118:15	input 180:2	53:2,11,11	172:11 177:5	184:15 185:24
132:12	inquiry 50:23	intermediaries	180:5,18	186:3,7,11,15
influential 98:5	inside 107:19	91:22 92:9,11	182:10	186:20 187:5
133:14 134:5	instance 139:6	92:14,18 93:6	investigations	187:9,12,20
inform 19:12	instances 83:9	93:7,8,9	91:5 180:5,8	189:3 190:6,7
104:7	84:24 90:16	intermediary	180:12	192:1,24
informant 42:21	91:8 92:7	43:21 90:12	investigative	issued 176:20
information	instructed 17:22	166:14	21:7 29:8 30:1	177:13 184:6
51:15,20 52:6	165:16	internal 55:19	35:23 42:17	191:7,19 192:5
52:17 53:10	instructions	57:6 74:6	50:22 178:8	issues 135:22
56:24 57:5,8	111:11,16,18	98:23 142:1	180:24 181:8	159:10 171:17
62:21 69:5	112:6	170:10 172:11	181:17 189:2	178:15 180:17
76:5 80:8	integrity 141:8	172:12	193:6	189:24 191:1
	I	I	I	I

item 11:8 135:9	186:12	115:12	125:10,11,12,24	81:20
135:15	joined 57:17	know 12:22 17:9	126:10,16,23	lawyer 23:5,5
items 8:4,5 16:4	59:4 77:3	24:13 27:7		44:22 126:2,4
			129:11 130:1,2	
33:18 34:15	joint 6:12 63:24	28:2,18 29:4	L	128:4,8 149:19
J	Joyce 3:18	29:23 30:6		150:2
	194:3,17	37:7 44:22,24	lack 178:9	lay 18:16
J 36:21 149:8,11	Juan 123:8,13	46:12,23	ladies 4:5 5:14	layers 144:9
186:4	124:11	47:18 48:3,7	35:22	leader 2:13 3:11
JA 85:12	judge 14:7 69:8	50:3 55:9	laid 37:5 76:7	13:10,12,16,19
January 36:22	judge's 69:8	61:9 62:23	138:4,9 177:11	14:4,8,12,20
37:2 128:7	judgment	67:19 70:20	language	15:1,1,10,14,15
Jay 85:9,10	130:24 180:11	88:21 89:9	108:14 136:9	15:17,18,22
87:23 96:9	182:1	90:23 91:1,6	144:11 159:3	16:7,11,12,20
104:18 105:1	judiciability	93:3,11 95:9	larger 62:16	16:21 17:7 18:8
106:1,4 107:3	178:9	97:20 99:2,3	largest 41:22	18:23 19:3,10
107:7,18 110:6	judicial 178:6,9	99:6,14,21,23	42:3 47:2,8	20:2,4,6,12,14
110:22 112:5	Judy 63:12	102:20 105:18	169:12,16	21:2 22:19
116:14 117:21	July 77:22 171:1	107:20 108:4	lasting 137:19	23:7,9,12,18
119:17 167:2	jump 59:18	111:3,15,17,19	late 66:11	23:20,23
186:8	100:23,23	111:20 112:23	launches 56:6	24:15,17,18
JD 85:13 98:7	108:24 152:21	115:19 118:19	laundered 41:4	25:1,4,8,9,16
102:6	157:1	118:20 119:6	Lausch 6:6 34:4	26:22 27:1,4
Jenner 62:14,15			34:7 50:24	
62:20	jumping 24:9	122:23 123:17	191:6	27:10,14,15,21
Jim 3:11 148:10	June 129:6	127:16,19	Lausch's 50:16	27:23 28:2,7
job 43:22 49:13	jurors 48:6	128:8,10	52:24 191:8	28:14 30:16
74:10 88:17	jury 14:7	129:10,14	law 5:19 29:10	31:4,6,10,13
	К	130:1,24	39:15 41:13	32:2,4 33:2,5
99:12 139:3		142:15,20,23		33:13,15 35:5
140:4 141:11	kangaroo	142:24 143:1	44:8,11,14,16	35:20,21 36:6
147:9 154:7,11	185:17	145:17 147:11	45:2,18,21	36:9 37:1 49:5
158:4,7,14	keep 14:3 42:11	147:18 153:19	47:8 51:16	54:22 55:3,8
162:24 163:13	74:13 115:19	155:24 167:13	54:5 67:21	55:10 142:13
163:14,14,15	115:23 116:4,6	179:12 184:23	68:19 81:19	142:22 143:18
183:3	123:5 158:5	185:12 189:8	121:8 125:7,9	145:5,7,11,16
jobs 40:10	kill 133:24	knowing 190:14	125:23 126:8	145:22 146:7
45:18 83:7,8	kind 24:2 56:8	knowingly	126:14 127:8	146:15,20,23
84:1,3 94:4,6	63:19 96:3	80:13	127:21 128:3,6	147:5 152:13
94:13,23,24	98:20 114:7	knowledge	128:12,22,23	155:4,6,12,16
138:22 152:5	119:1 139:8,16	29:9 57:19	129:6,11	155:17 173:10
157:4,10 163:8	140:9 141:7	67:15 76:6,10	140:10 159:15	176:16,22
164:3,5,10	152:21 156:8	76:13 100:2	168:1 170:19	179:17 191:22
165:6	157:20 171:14	113:8 117:5	176:24 181:3,5	leaders 143:4
Joe 63:14	171:15 172:21	138:24 140:12	lawmaker	144:1,8,19
John 6:6,7	173:2 189:5	153:4 154:5,9	137:15	leadership
64:15 95:10	knew 40:19	166:4 169:14	Lawrence 3:18	159:1
96:5 108:24	47:17 50:1	known 57:12	194:3,17	lean 30:18
148:18 167:24	112:13 113:5	Kurson 125:10	laws 55:19	leaning 30:20

450.40	170.40.40		450.440	454.00
learn 156:10	170:16,16	likewise 105:19	156:4,16	loop 154:23
learned 175:4	177:12 179:3	186:3	161:10	lost 38:6
175:18	182:4	limit 178:3	lobbyists 141:15	lot 22:23 29:22
leave 34:12,13	legislature	limitations 71:23	141:19 142:1,5	105:17 130:23
91:16 108:7	36:14	111:7	142:9,13,24	lots 154:17,17
leaves 31:16	legitimate	limited 4:10	143:3,7,11,24	Luis 37:1
leaving 176:21	162:24	85:12 174:1	144:7,21 145:1	lying 113:21
led 66:18	length 114:8	line 98:16 111:24	146:2 151:12	
left 24:19	let's 42:12 54:4	112:3 121:4	155:23,24	M
120:20	79:17 86:21	141:6	156:1,13,19	M 3:7
legal 5:18 19:19	93:21 100:22	lines 38:5	159:4 161:7,8	M-i-l-a-n 61:3
22:21 27:21	100:23,23	list 6:14	161:11 167:22	Madam 10:13
29:18 45:21	104:13 108:24	listed 63:21	170:21 171:6	11:24 32:11
67:24 68:18	116:18 120:13	listening 55:2	lobbyists/sub	Madigan 11:15
71:1 135:22	123:4,6,7	literally 153:22	167:17	36:22 37:8
136:15 138:17	125:6 132:4	153:24	local 81:9	40:9,16,17,21
140:14 159:5	135:6 155:23	Litigation 3:19	located 78:7	40:24 41:5,7
160:3 176:17	157:11 166:23	little 13:5 36:5	logistics 59:22	41:10,14,18
legalities 160:6	192:7	40:17 42:2	62:17 175:21	42:6 43:9,16
legally 59:1	letter 2:13 9:5,11	56:15 72:12	long 30:8 35:11	43:20,24 44:2
legislate 182:11	11:15,23 13:11	78:5,24 83:10	37:13 42:8	44:4,10,12,20
legislation 31:16	13:16,19 16:14	84:23 90:4	49:11 75:20	44:23 45:3,8
37:10 42:7	17:15,16 18:20	96:16 97:21	114:10 149:19	45:9,14,20
83:2 84:9	19:9,11 20:8	114:20 121:7,13	170:2	46:3,5,8,9,20
132:15,19,24	22:19 23:8	122:3 151:16	longer 120:1	46:21,21 47:16
133:8,12,20	34:6 50:19	152:22 157:2	131:10	47:17 48:3,7
133:23,24	52:12,13,19,20	158:11 163:12	longstanding	48:10,18 50:3
134:8,17,18	52:24 53:7,8	163:15 164:13	114:3	52:23 53:16
146:10 182:13	53:12 59:9	164:16 167:6	look 14:18 50:7	54:10,11,12
legislative 9:16	181:13,14	185:16	55:9 79:17	76:6,10,13
40:12 94:8	letters 2:16,18	Littleton 62:14	82:20 83:21	83:18,23 84:1
141:13 142:6	6:10,14,20,23	live 4:14 43:12	84:19 85:1	84:17,23 86:11
144:1,7,19	7:4,8,19 8:19	55:3	93:23 98:13	86:15 87:5,14
177:15,23	8:22 9:7,12	Livingston 65:8	105:20 111:24	88:23 90:13
178:6,18 180:2	10:2 11:9,14,22	66:4,10	115:15 116:3	90:18,22 91:11
180:6 182:8,11	12:14 33:21,24	lobbied 146:5	117:19 124:1	91:14 97:13,18
182:15 192:19	34:3,6 52:4,10	lobby 88:18	128:1,21 132:4	98:1,3,4 101:4
193:4	180:21 191:7	lobbying 41:5	135:7 136:18	101:23 102:10
legislator 29:11	level 30:1,3	85:12 117:21	144:11 166:23	102:16,19
139:3,15 140:3	37:14 135:10	142:16 144:15	189:16 190:9	103:1,6,22
140:17 141:11	177:2	156:21 158:18	looked 130:11	104:1,9 105:10
145:15 155:3	levels 136:2	161:7,11	looking 13:5	107:5,15,21
legislators	170:1	lobbyist 95:8,9	100:5 119:6	108:12 109:13
138:22 143:12	License 194:18	95:10 109:5,7	150:19 153:19	110:5,21 112:12
143:18,21	lie 156:9	109:14 110:23	154:8 157:16	113:4,8,24
144:20,24	light 52:24	110:24 112:13	159:8	114:23 115:8,12
154:6,9,18	lightly 169:21	145:12 155:16	Looks 120:17	116:4,6,20
				l

417 0 40 440 4	170.40			
117:9,10 118:4	178:19	Marquez's	101:5 104:6,16	meeting 16:18
121:6,9 122:4	major 36:12	175:13 190:15	105:7,22	17:12,19 18:10
123:13 124:6,8	49:8	Martin 36:24	106:2,8,13	35:11 116:13,19
124:12,20,24	majority 33:2	masks 56:16	107:2,11 109:4	117:8 161:22
126:11,14	37:1 182:6	material 14:9	109:12 110:4	184:8 191:5
128:10,14,14	making 18:14	31:5,8 177:4	112:11,18 113:4	192:9
129:1 132:13	28:17 59:5	materials 57:12	113:19,21 114:3	member 3:5,6,7
133:5,14,22	104:19 113:19	178:3,15 188:6	115:7 116:12,19	3:8 6:1 9:3 14:1
134:2,23	132:10 154:6	matter 19:12	117:8,15,20	14:4 15:20
135:2,20	154:10 158:14	28:9 57:3	118:4 123:12	17:4 22:13
136:7,22 142:7	163:13,14	61:21 69:10	128:5,7 131:15	44:2 73:24
143:8 144:14	172:10	71:1 79:5 91:7	132:24 133:3	74:3,7,9,11,14
149:8,11,14	manage 171:7	130:24 131:2	134:16 152:23	74:21 75:3,6,9
152:24 153:5	management	142:4 146:6	153:5,14	75:11,14 123:6
153:12,15	78:17	160:4 171:2	161:22 167:23	123:7,7,18,19
161:16,23	manager 153:10	180:2 190:16	167:23 186:1	123:20 124:19
162:7 164:3,6	172:5	matters 43:8	McClain's 114:11	151:19 183:21
164:20 165:2	managers 24:7	57:20 68:16	118:20,24	members 3:1
165:5,9,11,16	managing 78:16	68:19 75:24	121:10 122:5	4:12,13,21 5:12
165:23 166:6	Manley 2:9 3:6	137:16 139:19	122:16 134:21	6:16 9:8 13:7
166:13,15,20	5:6.7 10:20.21	150:9 170:6	mean 63:8,23	14:21,23,23
166:21 172:5	12:7,8 18:9	Mazzochi 2:6	68:20,22	15:4 16:3 18:21
172:15,16	26:10,11,15,22	3:7 5:8,9 7:14	89:12 96:24	19:1 21:4,9
186:4	27:1,2,9,10,13	7:17 8:13,24	109:21 129:24	22:5,9,24
Madigan's 11:22	31:7 32:19,20	10:22,23 11:4	meaning 87:5,11	23:14 24:22
37:4 41:4,15,18	152:12,15	11:13 12:9,10	87:14,20	25:12,18 28:15
42:1 43:14	160:17	12:15 15:4	88:10 101:4,5	29:1,15 30:4,7
45:10,22 47:5	manner 6:15	25:22 26:2	101:5,12,13,18	30:8,22 31:22
47:6 50:11	19:14 59:1	26:23,24	101:23,24	32:4 33:9
53:12,15 54:4	March 57:17	28:20,22	102:23 104:16	36:14 37:15
84:8 85:20	77:5 116:10,12	30:11 32:21,22	104:17,18	48:24 59:15
86:7 96:7	117:8	34:1,17,18 35:9	105:22 106:1	119:24 152:4
104:2,20	Margaret 65:8	35:16 76:19,21	109:4,5,10,15	173:17 184:18
107:8 108:10	mark 73:4	76:22 77:1	111:9 112:5	193:5
109:11 112:12	marked 6:24	93:20 120:3,9	115:17,18 121:9	mention 175:17
113:5 115:8,13	7:2 8:20	120:23,24	121:10 124:5	mentioned
115:18,22,23	99:22	125:22 136:24	128:4,9,9,13	17:18 39:7
116:5,15 119:18	Marquez 64:12	184:20,21	128:14,24	49:2 65:4
121:12 122:3	88:1,2,11	McClain 34:21	132:13,14	149:16
122:16,22	101:12 104:17	34:23 43:21	means 182:22	mentions
129:1 132:11,14	105:23 106:8	44:21,24 46:11	meant 136:13	148:10,14,17,21
153:10,16	107:2,4,12	47:1,4,10,10	measures 134:3	149:3,7,10
160:13 163:10	111:4 112:8	64:18 85:5	mechanism	mere 40:3
maintain 72:19	113:2 115:2	87:6 88:22	98:23	140:22 157:19
maintained	145:14,22		98.23 media 4:12	140.22 157.19
74:18	155:3 175:1,9	90:6,12,16,20 91:10 95:8	48:17	merits 176:22
maintenance	175:16 179:13	96:5 100:11	meet 37:21	
	1/5.10 1/9.15	50.5 100.11	meet 37.21	message 43:24

	1	1	1	1
75:2	83:18 84:17	moment 11:20	185:3 187:1,2	narrow 184:4
messages 36:3	87:6,16 91:10	13:10,14 19:6	187:10,20	Natalie 3:6
172:14	96:5,7 97:17	24:1,2 27:8	188:7,10	nation 42:3
met 66:3 176:17	104:20 105:10	34:8 69:2	192:22,22	nation's 47:8
meter 116:21	106:8 107:2	73:18 86:16	motions 179:6	National 177:12
162:1,23 163:1	113:4,24 114:3	92:19 119:19	motivation	179:3 182:4
163:1,8	117:8 124:24	125:13 139:5	48:21	nature 22:23
meters 147:13	131:14 132:24	142:14 144:22	motive 86:2	180:4
147:15	133:5 134:16	151:22	110:15	nay 156:7
method 9:16,17	134:21 135:20	Monday 6:17	motives 93:17	nearly 177:4
184:6 190:20	136:6 153:5	66:11,12,13,15	mountains 31:15	necessary
193:3	161:22 162:7	monetary 83:7	146:17	178:23
mic 125:21	164:6,19 165:5	84:2 94:5,14	mouth 58:8	need 27:6 29:7
Michael 34:21	165:9,10	94:23 135:9	92:21 115:19	29:9 56:21
34:23 36:21	166:13,15	164:4,5,11,19	115:24	85:17 140:21
40:9,16,21,24	Milan 60:23	165:6	move 11:14 25:4	152:18 159:11
41:7,10,14,17	61:3	money 43:15	26:12 28:16	180:8,10
42:1,6 43:9,16	million 37:11	46:19 47:19	30:10 31:15	181:24
44:9,12 45:3	42:1,4 46:17	74:11 84:7	32:2 33:22	needed 69:10
45:14 46:3,5,8	47:14 49:11,21	86:10 95:12	34:3,22 54:24	88:18 120:19
46:8,20,21,21	49:23 85:18	96:6 100:8	55:12 146:17	182:23
47:15 48:2,7	86:6 132:1,20	112:9 114:22	185:24 186:3	needs 48:13
53:15 64:18	132:24 150:17	115:4,8	186:7,11,15,19	nefarious 156:2
83:23 84:1,7	150:24 151:4,6	monitor 158:4	moved 25:15	negate 184:11
85:5,20 86:7	151:8 169:12	monitoring	32:9 34:2,9,15	negative 156:1
86:11,15 90:5	mind 42:11 48:11	158:15	moving 13:15	neither 168:8
90:12,13 91:11	minds 191:6	month 41:5	123:5 138:20	194:9
97:13 100:11	mine 36:19	49:16,16 59:17	multiple 113:2	never 17:24
102:5,15,16	48:19	87:16 88:13	114:7 149:10	22:3 38:11,12
103:6,6,22,23	minimize 118:7	101:8,15 102:5	180:21,22	147:16 149:9
104:1,6,8	minimum 70:7,9	103:7,13,24		159:23
105:7 106:13	127:10 179:12	129:15	N	new 102:1 103:3
110:4,4 116:20	minority 3:3 6:5	months 99:11	N-i-c-a 95:19	123:18,21
124:8,12	6:9 14:20	147:20	N-i-c-e 95:24	Nice 95:15,19
134:23 136:22	16:12 20:4,14	morning 19:10	naive 48:8 131:5	95:24 96:7,13
149:8,11 153:5	21:2 25:8	66:12	name 53:15	96:20 100:8
161:23 185:24	27:15 32:3	motion 7:24	60:23 61:2	104:24 105:9
186:4,20	160:20	9:23 10:1,1,4,9	65:4,18 66:13	110:21
microphone	minutes 120:4	11:3,7,21 12:13	66:15,17	Nice's 97:11,13
92:21	120:15,20	20:15,18,24	95:23 118:5	Nicole 62:14
middle 25:24	137:3	21:1 22:18	123:9	night 65:15
midst 26:4,13	mischaracteri	25:3,8 26:18	named 116:20	nine 78:12
midwest 78:12	100:19	26:21 27:3	161:24 162:7	137:19
Mike 43:20,21	misconduct	33:20 34:9,11	names 64:3,6	non-comEd
44:21,23 45:8	159:21	34:14 35:5,19	95:18 96:4	119:18
45:9 46:11	misleading	176:1 179:5	154:8	non-partisan
47:1,10,10	65:13	183:21 184:15	naming 117:9,10	5:15
	03.15	103.21 104.13		5.15

non-U.S 89:15	119:7 121:16	Ochoa 123:14	94:22 95:1,12	123:17,23
nonattorneys	158:17 171:21	124:11 152:24	96:14 98:5	124:1,22
63:5 64:4	numbers 89:14	153:6 165:17	101:4,7,20,23	125:14 126:7
noncompliance	numerous 72:6	172:6	102:24 104:2	127:6,15,19
179:1		Ochoa's 153:11	105:9 107:3	128:1,20
nonmembers	0	October 34:24	108:18,19,20	129:19,23
18:6	o'clock 65:11,15	186:2,5,9,13	109:13 112:19	130:5 135:13
nonpartisan	65:21 175:5	186:17,22	115:18 119:9	136:24 137:18
190:17	O-c-h-o-a 123:9	offense 135:10	121:9,17 122:16	137:18 138:3
nonpartisan-b	O-l-i-v-o 95:20	175:3	122:18 124:13	138:20 139:2
23:3	96:2	offer 15:20	124:21 128:24	140:2,15
nonprivileged	oath 47:23 50:7	178:7	129:1 132:10,13	141:10,15 142:5
57:13 62:22	55:7,11	offered 169:5	132:14 133:4,6	145:4,13 146:11
89:15	oaths 183:15,19	offering 14:24	135:2,16,18	147:8,22
nonpublic 52:6	184:12 188:3	offers 81:6	136:4,19	148:8 149:15
52:17 53:10	object 8:6 34:12	office 2:16 6:11	139:15,18	149:22 150:1,7
181:11	51:12 53:9,16	6:22 7:6 9:5	149:8,11 161:12	151:12 152:21
nonsubstantive	180:23 181:6	9:11 33:23	163:10 166:15	155:23 157:1
63:19,22	objected 52:16	46:8 47:23	official's 68:22	161:20 162:22
Normally 13:4	181:10	52:12,16,22	officials 91:20	166:3 174:19
Northern 6:7	objection 8:10	53:14 54:1,11	92:8,17 93:6	Old 3:19
77:10 80:9	8:11,14,17,17	56:24 57:1,2	139:7 141:2,3	old-fashioned
82:1 92:4,16	9:14,19,22	77:9,14 78:11	144:18 147:9	41:21 117:12
149:17	34:13 51:2	78:18,21 79:15	147:17 171:3,10	162:1
note 19:8 55:1	176:18 181:13	90:9 91:3	171:12,16,23	Olivo 95:16,19
57:4,17 98:11	objections 9:6	134:24 150:6	okay 25:7 33:15	96:2,7,13 97:4
131:9 173:22	52:14,18,22	153:10,11	43:22 56:17	97:6,17 100:8
173:23 174:1	objective 37:20	165:16,22	70:11 84:19	110:22 122:23
174:14 184:1	38:1	172:5 176:10	85:10,15 86:4	122:24
noted 8:3,11,17	obligation	176:19 181:10	86:10,21 87:8	Once 52:14
9:19 19:23	50:23 54:16	office's 52:18	88:2,6,9 89:2	ongoing 180:18
60:16 98:4	54:17	181:13	89:20,24	open 4:9 16:17
notes 157:2	obligations	officer 78:6	90:11 91:1,7,15	50:9 123:14
notice 1:14 66:4	159:4	101:24 103:1	92:13 94:18	152:24 153:6
75:5	observations	194:3	95:4,11 96:1,3	176:3 179:14
noticed 137:12	160:13,16	officers 59:12	97:4,9,16 99:2	opening 15:2,6
noticing 92:21	observe 66:14	159:21 169:1	99:20 100:4	15:23 16:2,18
notification	obtain 40:9	official 40:22	100:21 102:22	16:20,23 18:13
65:12	83:6 84:1 94:4	44:4 68:12	103:17 104:6	18:14,21,24
notified 165:11	94:13,22	72:13 73:10	104:13,23	19:5,16 20:4,11
notion 29:14	164:3 165:5	74:1,4,8,12,21	106:19,23	20:15 21:2
50:20	182:22	74:23 75:15,16	108:2,17,23	23:12 25:10,17
nuanced 29:8	obtained 91:4	75:16 82:24	112:16 113:18	27:16 28:3,4
number 4:9,11	obtaining 45:17	83:4,4,6,17	114:2 117:7,15	28:17 32:3
13:7 62:8,16	obviously	87:5,14 89:5	118:2,12,19	33:3,6,7,8
68:3 73:4	130:15 184:24 occurred 131:20	89:10 90:3	119:5,14,21	35:6 55:5,5,8

67:12 149:15	outset 71:22	41:24 42:3	124:10,10,15	parts 114:8
operated 40:12	outside 17:8	44:14 46:4,17	124:15,19	163:5 171:23
94:8 191:12,14	57:7 62:7	47:14 49:21,23	128:23 139:18	191:23
operates 50:4	66:19 75:13	74:10 84:7,21	145:16 146:22	party 19:19 38:5
operating 55:16	176:13	85:18 86:6,10	151:9 155:4	51:14 79:6
55:20 93:9	overall 124:17	86:15 89:6,10	156:23 159:24	145:21 155:6
162:11	overbroad	97:20 98:7	161:18,19 162:1	166:14 181:2
operation	177:21	101:20 103:13	163:20 171:14	party's 38:13
104:20 142:17	overly 172:10	104:18 107:4	175:19 177:18	party \$ 38.13 pass 10:12 146:3
159:12	overrule 8:17	104.18 107.4	part-time 74:10	pass 10.12 140.3
	188:23	121:6 127:1,21	•	45:23 72:2,24
operations			partial 86:2	
98:21	overruled 8:4	129:12 130:2	participants	85:22,23
opine 93:3	overshadowed	130:13 150:8	4:10,18	129:2
opinion 27:20	36:14	167:17 169:15	participants' 4:7	passed 31:16
139:2 141:10	oversight 74:18	pandemic 4:8	participate	134:8
191:8	171:19	papers 188:5	16:15 29:3	passing 34:12
opinions 55:6	Overview 82:21	paragraph	180:8	146:16 155:21
189:18	overwhelming	79:24 80:1,3,5	participated	patience 160:18
opportunity	54:9	86:24 93:24	116:13	patronage 41:21
20:11 28:21	P	94:20,21 95:6	participation	117:12 118:6
38:8 55:4		100:18 104:14	166:5	162:2
opposition	p.m 1:16,16 4:1,4	105:12 119:7	particular 5:23	pattern 37:4
43:18 74:6	120:16 174:18	121:5 122:1	8:22 22:23	43:4 44:6
option 21:9	174:18 193:12	124:2,3 128:2	29:8,9 30:7	45:7
options 69:15	page 2:3,12	163:24 166:18	31:12 44:11	Paul 63:13 65:2
order 4:4 7:14	73:4 82:14,21	166:24	65:19 72:23	Pause 92:19
8:14 9:3 17:17	85:2,4,17	paragraphs	72:24 85:23	pausing 89:13
17:23 33:10	86:23,24	105:17	90:15 94:20	pay 44:16 88:12
41:17 46:15,24	93:22,24	parameter	94:21 110:12	96:8 101:13
48:1 53:1 69:9	95:6 100:5,24	172:21	122:1 126:8	102:4 151:5
161:10,16 179:6	102:23 104:13	parameters	133:19 136:9	169:11,13
180:11 184:2	107:2 109:1	56:14,19 89:1	136:15 144:21	payers 47:16
184:16 187:2,3	110:17 112:1,3	97:15	146:6 147:3	paying 47:19
187:21 188:7,8	115:16,17 116:11	parliamentarian	155:10 164:15	90:3 122:2
ordered 45:16	119:5,7 121:1	187:18,19	169:4	126:19 127:4
ordinary 30:2	124:1,3 125:8	parliamentary	particularly	127:24
139:17,18	125:24 126:15	11:5,11 26:16	93:18	payment 98:9
organization	128:2,21 132:4	184:3	parties 30:4	98:12,14,18
81:8,12 139:13	135:7,11 150:13	part 8:20 14:17	33:11 79:8	99:15,17,21
171:24	150:19,21	16:5 41:20	143:5 154:7,11	100:7 103:21
organizational	161:20 163:9	45:22 59:2,2	194:11,14	115:13 127:10
17:12,19 191:4	164:1 166:19	59:5 72:7	partisan 22:23	135:9 151:7,14
origin 89:15	166:23 167:10	75:14,21 84:12	partisanship	164:19
ostensibly	168:5	84:16 86:6	38:11 54:18	payments
123:13	pages 83:21	98:21,22 104:1	partly 144:10	40:20 41:4,11
other's 119:1	108:11	104:4 114:8	partner 45:2	41:15 72:20
outcome 194:15	paid 40:15 41:17	117:12,19 118:5	47:8	83:7 84:2,12
	l		l	l í

84:20 86:2	period 70:8	60:9	145:3 148:9,13	182:18 183:9
87:2 94:5,14	96:16,21	Petitioners 3:13	148:13,17,21	possession
94:23 96:12	permits 86:19	17:13 25:10	149:3,6	57:13
96:13 98:8,15	person 14:3	59:14	164:22	possibility 31:17
98:16 99:3	40:15,15 41:7	phase 13:9	pointed 164:2	168:18
100:9 101:1	43:22 44:3	phone 6:6 53:6	166:19	possible 38:15
102:10 108:9	47:21 50:2	53:7 180:20	pointing 85:23	58:21 59:1,6
109:9,11 110:5	65:23 67:6	phrased 11:7	136:10	75:23 125:21
110:14 115:22	81:7 155:11,17	pick 191:22	points 122:12	possibly 28:12
116:4,5,15	157:15,16,24	piece 21:6	156:20 179:20	31:19
119:8 121:11	163:14 183:20	133:19	192:12	posting 88:17
122:6,17	188:4	pieces 133:11	polarized 38:12	postings 88:17
132:10 164:4,6	personal 57:19	place 40:2	policies 55:20	potential 14:9
164:11 165:7	67:15 76:6,10	43:23 49:15	170:23,24	29:18 72:21
167:1,11	76:12 92:2,5	59:3 102:11	policy 30:12	79:5 168:15
payroll 105:1	92:15 100:2	109:1 139:12	143:14 144:17	178:8,12,20
penalties 150:8	personally 17:6	162:15	159:12 171:1,5	potentially
179:1	77:7 185:21	placed 43:17	171:8,11	133:6
penalty 49:21	personnel	56:23 73:7	political 41:2	power 133:1,6
135:9 150:16	116:12 126:12	151:20	48:16 49:3,7	134:17 177:8
150:17,22	persons 100:10	places 117:23	83:5,6,9,24	179:22,23
151:3,3,5	perspective	plan 16:18 95:8	84:1 87:13	184:16
169:11	29:20 110:3	95:12 96:6	99:15,18,20	powers 178:8
people 9:4,15	113:9 138:8	Plaza 3:19	99:23 101:6	practice 110:8,11
37:12 38:6,9	perspectives	plea 68:23	104:20 107:20	practices 140:11
46:18,19 62:10	142:10	69:11,15	142:9 143:4,21	161:6
63:7 86:12,14	pertinence	137:20 160:3	151:21 170:19	pragmatically
92:11,23 93:8	177:15	168:3,4,11,16	170:22	191:10
97:21 98:6	pervasive	168:17,18	portion 99:4	Pramaggiore
104:8 105:3	49:20	175:6	position 15:22	40:24 41:6
107:19 110:21	petition 6:1	plead 69:14	17:4 29:15	43:20 44:22
120:6 126:17	14:14 16:10,13	pleading 69:14	40:11 44:18	45:12,16 47:7
131:6 133:13	17:13 19:13,22	please 4:5,22	57:9 62:23	64:9 87:11,21
139:7 143:12	31:11 42:16	10:13 11:24	71:9 74:11,17	88:10 101:6,19
151:16 157:4,10	46:7 59:15	14:18 19:7 24:1	75:10 93:11,13	102:24 124:23
158:2 171:21	176:12,16	25:19 32:11	93:14 113:16	128:7,16 131:14
192:13	182:2	82:11 95:14,18	119:3 123:19	153:12 186:16
people's 93:17	petitioner 3:11	153:2	131:12 133:18	precautions 4:6
96:4	13:11,16 14:5	pled 68:4 69:17	135:17,19	precedent
perceive 118:14	15:20,24 16:12	69:18 88:3,3	136:5,20	13:24 17:24
perform 83:11	16:24 17:5	138:1,15,19	138:11 151:20	18:3 21:7
, performed	19:11,15,18	point 7:16 8:3	154:14,15	22:12 23:4
44:16 83:5,10	20:14 23:9	55:11 60:1	159:13 165:13	29:13 42:12
83:24 84:23	31:11,21 32:4	72:24 73:11	174:10 176:21	43:13
107:8 121:13	61:8	100:17 108:14	181:16	precinct 40:12
163:11 164:12	Petitioner's	114:18 122:8	positions 118:23	41:18 94:7
164:16	59:20,24	133:4 142:4,16	possess 182:15	105:2 110:21
			l [.]	

151:21	156:13	185:14	proper 23:10	143:11 144:16
precise 75:23	prevail 43:13	proceeding	177:18	171:16 172:1,23
154:21 167:9	prevent 41:13	5:18 168:10,11	properly 11:21	173:6 181:23
prefer 73:17	preventative	169:7	25:16 32:10	provided 51:21
108:6 147:7	178:19	proceedings	34:3,10	52:6 55:3,7
preliminary	previous 5:24	1:23 24:5	proposing	126:23 128:6
60:23	75:20 158:10	48:16 69:1	24:16	128:23 154:1
premature	164:1	71:2 138:16	prosecute 54:7	179:2
177:9 189:10	previously 8:19	169:9	prosecuted	provides 183:12
190:7 192:7	27:3 51:14	process 5:16	169:4	183:14
prematurity	111:12,17 112:6	14:2,3,5,18	prosecution	providing 52:17
178:10	181:2	16:6 24:10	38:20 39:2,4	53:10 181:11
preparation	Primarily 86:16	32:6 42:15	39:5,19 66:24	provision 136:15
62:4,6,11 63:2	prior 34:24	54:20 60:13	67:3,10,14,22	prudence
63:6,8 64:5,8	60:20 78:9	73:9 139:12	68:2,9,24	178:18,19
64:11,14,17	121:7 140:3	143:14 156:3	69:4,12,19,22	public 4:13,14
prepared 25:4	170:3 178:13	156:22,24	70:2,19 71:7	10:5,7,7 16:17
34:21 67:24	183:23 186:2	158:20,21	72:5,9 76:7,9	41:22,23
166:8	186:5,9,13,17	159:2 165:2	76:12 77:8,13	48:20 50:6
preparing	186:22	processes	79:1,2,3,18,24	54:11 59:7
178:22	Pritzker 48:11	140:19	81:17 82:6,7	68:12 72:13
prerogative	Pritzker's 36:23	produce 188:5	89:3 91:9	73:10 74:1,4,8
8:15,16	private 134:21	product 96:22	93:22 94:1	74:12,21,23
presence 64:23	privilege 57:8	97:2	100:6 104:14	75:15,16
present 3:1,10	90:10 154:3	production 51:4	110:17 112:4	82:23 83:4,4
4:22 5:13	170:7	51:13 178:15	121:2,20 132:5	83:6,17 87:4
12:20 34:15	privileged 91:5	181:1	135:8 136:18	87:13 89:5,10
105:23 106:3	130:8 172:12	professional	136:21 148:5,8	90:3 91:20
presenting	173:6 178:2	29:23 189:14	148:12,16,20	92:7,17 93:5
19:16 24:7	privileges 177:1	194:18	149:2,7,13	94:3,7,8,12,15
160:12,15	178:1	program 58:23	151:10 152:3	94:22 95:1,12
president 55:15	pro-quo 159:15	80:20 139:11	160:2 161:14	96:14 98:5
63:16,17 77:4	probably 57:16	160:1	168:6,7,13	101:4,6,20,23
142:21,21	120:3 143:15	programs	169:17 170:4	102:24 105:9
148:18,22	problems 114:12	80:22	172:9,18	108:18,19,20
press 31:14	procedural	prohibit 27:17	181:22	109:13 112:19
pressing 74:13	177:16 187:24	prohibited 16:13	prosecutors 6:2	115:18 119:8
presumably	procedurally	177:19 178:3	51:16 181:3,5	121:9,17 122:16
73:10	33:4 69:6	prohibiting	protect 4:7	122:18 128:24
presumed 39:17	191:10	30:20	53:24,24 54:1	132:10,12,14
146:11	procedure 11:12	prohibits 72:18	185:18	133:4,5
presumption	188:12 191:19	promises	prove 107:7	134:24 135:2
146:13	192:20	120:19 140:5	proved 54:13	135:16,18
pretend 49:5,7	proceed 5:13	promoted 74:16	provide 16:23	136:4,19 139:7
pretty 66:8	8:18 19:13	promotion	18:12,24 19:4	139:14 141:1,3
75:19 109:18	23:16 33:9	129:2	53:3 66:17	147:9,16 149:7
130:18 134:5	69:9 73:19,22	proof 37:22	68:18 142:18	149:11 150:8
	I	I	I	I

158:19 159:11	180:11 182:1	71:19,24 72:3	69:22 73:16	127:7 145:21
161:12 163:10	qualify 44:5	78:2 113:1,16	76:3,5 81:1	161:17
166:14 171:3,9	quantification	120:12 138:20	83:12 96:4	recalling 105:11
171:12,16	136:2	148:7 152:9	136:13 146:19	145:19
public's 46:9	quash 176:21	160:7 161:2	184:3	receipt 170:8
publication	question 15:16	173:16	reader 163:1,2	173:23
65:3	15:19 26:11,16	quick 55:1	readers 116:21	receive 65:14
publicly 41:21	27:11,12,14	174:17	162:1,23 163:8	74:9 175:10
99:19 159:21	31:3 35:4	quickly 29:22	readily 69:18	received 6:11,18
170:8	65:23 67:5,18	33:5	reading 73:1	19:9 46:18
Pulaski 153:10	67:24 75:20	quid 159:15	76:2 85:22	56:24 57:1,5,6
pulled 47:7	81:22 86:20	quite 24:13	100:16 183:11	59:11 65:11,17
pulling 24:19	88:24 90:8	30:15 33:19	184:1 185:2	66:4,5,10
purport 93:8	93:1 103:19	55:9 131:8	reads 81:2	138:21
purportedly	111:6 112:22	169:16 176:24	realist 37:24	receives 139:13
83:11	114:8 119:4,12	quorum 5:13	reality 17:2	receiving 80:22
purpose 19:4	121:21 125:3	quote 117:11	really 33:4 49:4	131:24 132:23
40:20 49:7	133:17 144:10	144:4 146:19	49:7 50:18	recess 120:16
104:5 132:12	147:6,12,19	quoted 65:2	62:17,18,24	174:16,18
174:1 177:15,23	151:23,24	quotes 108:14	63:19 67:4,23	193:7
purposes 15:18	153:2 163:23	quoting 144:2	67:24 91:23	recessed 193:9
98:24 104:12	167:9 168:3		91:24 93:2,9	193:11,12
170:23	168:20 176:5	R	93:15 140:8,9	recognition
pursuant 1:14	189:21 191:19	Rader 63:12	140:13 147:5,6	32:2 120:1
17:20 73:9	questionable	railroad 14:4	157:17 158:13	140:20 152:13
137:23 178:7	110:15	raise 55:24	160:4 162:19	173:18 179:16
pursue 168:7	questioned	raised 12:24	166:8 168:20	184:19 187:4,8
pursuit 51:12	25:17	raising 13:2	170:21 177:7	187:14 193:6
180:24	questioning	range 133:12	reason 27:4	recognize
pushing 45:7	13:8 15:7	143:12	31:20 65:19	26:22,23
put 17:13 24:4	20:16 25:11	rank 54:17	110:14 112:10	33:5 50:21
31:14 36:16	29:2,3 33:12	rate 127:7	112:17 113:3	51:10 55:13
38:12 47:20	76:19 173:18	132:17	114:4,11 115:7	recognized 8:8
65:10 69:7	questions 13:7	rates 129:20	117:20 122:15	8:9 9:2 12:16
99:14,21 106:9	14:115:2,9	130:12	124:11 141:24	15:13,15 16:20
110:2 122:14	16:3 18:6,9,14	Rauner 31:14	reasonable 5:17	24:3 33:3
123:13 124:11	18:22 19:2,20	146:15	9:4,15 37:17	35:20 173:24
139:12 140:18	20:9 21:3,8,10	Ray 95:15,24	37:23 38:23	179:16
170:24 174:9	21:15,21 22:9	96:7,12,20	44:17 47:21	recognizing
174:21 175:22	22:14 23:6,13	97:11,13 100:8	54:15 113:7	174:12
176:2,8	24:14 29:9,16	104:24 105:9	191:6 192:13	recollection
putting 59:3	29:23 30:23	110:21	reasonably	145:20 155:9
	31:24 32:5	reach 23:15	132:18	recommend
Q	33:10 50:18	175:13	reasons 118:3	153:15 157:4
qualification	51:19,20,22	reached 60:22	131:22 142:2	157:10,15
84:15 161:18	56:7,20 57:10	175:8	156:16	recommendat
qualified 75:10	57:15,23 58:11	read 16:7,11	recall 87:23	139:4,14 140:4
		I	I	

140:17 141:12	reduced 129:7	regret 174:9	renewed 45:19	35:9,16 42:13
145:14 155:2	129:11,15	regulations	45:24 128:22	49:24 52:11
163:13,15,19	194:6	55:19	repeat 125:23	54:13 64:24
163:20	refer 152:24	Reid 3:15 12:21	153:2 167:9	65:16 76:19
recommendat	153:6	related 51:6	repeated 137:13	76:20,22 77:1
138:22 139:4	reference 85:3	68:17 172:5,17	repeatedly	93:20 106:3
145:5 147:9,16	85:4,24	176:8 178:20	113:11 137:13	119:22 120:3,9
154:6,10 158:9	104:19	194:10	rephrase 86:4	120:18,21,23
158:10,14	referenced 51:8	relates 161:7	100:22 152:2	120:24 125:22
163:8 170:15	references	170:19 171:5,8	replace 123:20	136:24 137:5
171:9,11	166:13	relating 135:22	replied 128:16	137:6,7,10
recommended	referral 139:14	137:16 171:10,11	report 99:9	147:22,24
49:13 145:23	referrals 171:9	171:12	reported 99:4	148:2 152:8,11
146:21 153:18	171:12	relationship	139:19	152:12,15
153:19,20	referred 22:20	45:9 46:3	reporter 3:17	155:1 160:11,17
155:7	38:20 69:4	97:11,13,17	125:17 194:1,17	184:20,21,24
recommender's	74:3 89:7	114:3,9,10	194:18	186:23 187:6,7
158:7	108:10 114:23	142:18 155:15	reporting 99:7	187:10,15
recommending	115:9 121:19	relationships	99:11	192:11
157:19	125:24 126:3	143:3 156:10	represent 61:5	representatives
reconvene	126:4 136:6,21	156:14 161:9	145:2	1:2 18:5 42:5
174:16	153:18,21	171:6,7	representation	42:14 49:10
record 7:7,10,13	155:15 160:9	relative 194:12	60:22	50:13 59:13
7:19 8:2,5,12	referring 72:23	relatively 171:20	representatio	83:1134:4
8:18,21 9:20	95:7 100:13	release 31:14	114:5 119:1	146:1 164:2
10:3 11:8,10,17	105:21	relevant 85:19	representative	171:4 183:2
11:23 12:14 13:1	refers 23:8	177:22 179:21	2:6,7,8,9 3:2	represented
13:9,22 17:13	reflected 52:14	181:21 183:10	3:3,5,6,7,8,11	90:21
18:9,18 19:8	98:8	185:8,10 188:6	5:5,7,9,11,18	representing
20:2,7 24:4,10	reflecting 97:2	rely 29:1,17	7:14,17 8:10,13	90:18 157:24
24:15 27:11,23	97:3	remain 55:18	8:24 10:8,10	represents
33:18,24 34:5	reflects 126:12	remainder	10:19,21,23	39:6
34:16 38:17,24		135:4		
55:1 58:1,2,12	131:19 136:10 reforms 59:4		11:1,4,13,18	Republican 2:10
60:10 64:22		remaining	12:6,8,10,12,15	5:3 6:14,15
	refuse 9:22	52:20	12:19 15:3,3,4	8:6 9:13,21,24
69:24 76:2	regard 170:15	remains 43:11	15:12 18:9	10:17 12:4
81:1 95:18,22	175:15 185:10	remarkable	19:24 20:19	14:21 15:22
100:7 102:9	regarding 7:1,12	49:4	20:20 25:14	20:22 21:5,13
139:2 174:21	19:21 59:21	remember 64:7	25:22 26:2,9	21:19,24 22:4
175:23 176:2	72:20 114:6	remind 55:2	26:11,14,15,20	22:5,11 26:7
194:8	131:13 164:10	reminded 117:3	26:23,24 27:2	32:16 33:14,16
records 72:20	174:22 176:1	rendered 40:4	27:9,13 28:20	49:5 76:17
Recourse	179:15	renew 9:14	28:22 30:11	145:15 151:13
182:17	regional 78:10	117:21 129:6	30:24 31:1,7	151:19 152:4
recruited 75:11	150:4	renewal 44:13	31:10 32:8,20	155:3 160:21
reduce 126:22	Registered	105:24 107:13	32:24 34:1,4	161:1 173:8
128:5	194:18	109:8 126:17	34:17,18 35:2	179:18 187:16
	1	1	1	I

188:9,16,20	respect 17:8,9	restate 13:15	127:8 128:4,9	133:5 134:5,6
189:20 190:3	30:13,13 50:15	restore 36:17	129:11 130:1,2	134:9,23
190:19 191:13	68:3,15,16	38:9	Ricorus 6:7	135:6,15,20
191:24 192:10	73:11,23 75:17	restrictions	34:5	136:22 137:1
193:8	83:2 84:8 93:1	90:9 162:11	right 15:14 17:4	138:5 142:20
Republicans	93:2 131:1	restroom 120:6	24:3 27:24	144:1 146:8,12
38:2	145:11 159:3	result 118:20	28:1 36:6,10	147:2 150:12
request 2:20	162:12 174:9	132:1	46:2 55:24	153:16,22
7:2,12 9:7	respected	resume 120:22	56:18 65:9	159:16 167:5
17:22 59:21	67:19	resume' 153:11	77:2,7,17,24	179:7 190:12
65:174:4,21	respectful 35:17	153:20 165:17	79:17,23 80:13	193:3
88:22 110:23	56:21	retain 21:9,20	80:14,23 81:1	rights 80:15
123:12 139:14	respecting	retained 2:22	82:2,4,8,10,12	rigorous 158:20
139:17 172:10	182:13	Retainer 127:11	82:16,20	ripe 177:9
173:3 175:12	respond 28:9	127:16 129:13	83:17,20 84:4	risk 78:16
179:5	65:20 73:2	130:11	84:10,18 85:1	roadmap 36:20
requested 6:15	147:7 173:7	retaining 113:4	85:15 86:14	Robert 60:23
6:16,19	responded	retention 112:12	87:19 88:2,9	61:3
requesting 2:18	66:9 105:14	115:13 125:7	88:16,21 93:21	Robert's 184:2
6:23 7:9	responding	126:8,24	94:11,15 96:3	Rod 3:13
64:23 175:11	11:15 65:1	127:6	96:10,12,17,19	role 4:23 14:10
requests 144:24	103:18 141:6	retentions	98:2,6,10,22	55:17 58:22
166:22 170:16	153:23	112:19	100:5,11,17	59:2 68:15
171:9,11 173:5	response 2:14	retired 109:6,6	101:10 102:4,7	77:12 81:24
182:19	6:12,18 13:12	retiring 41:2	102:12,14	134:21 140:9
require 139:24	13:17,21 33:21	87:14 101:7	103:3,5,10	140:12 149:19
required 4:18	34:7 66:7	return 146:23	104:6,21 105:5	150:7,12
66:8 70:18	74:6 97:19	148:4 179:11,12	105:7,19 106:5	159:24 169:1
139:19 178:18	118:13 141:8	190:15	106:7,13,24	187:19
requirement	159:7 162:2	reveal 91:4	107:11,17 108:9	roles 31:23
70:6 127:18	178:5 192:17	review 62:19	108:23 109:22	roll 10:13 11:24
176:18	192:17	159:2	110:9,16 111:8	20:21 25:19
requirements	responses 2:19	reviews 72:21	111:23,23	26:1,5,14
66:3 159:6	7:1,11	158:23	113:23 114:2,3	32:12 183:23
177:16 178:2	responsibilities	reward 37:9	115:2,6,9,11,15	room 1:16 4:15
requires 70:3,7	51:11	40:21 41:17	115:21,24	4:17 24:2
71:1 72:19	responsibility	42:4 44:3	116:2,8,10,24	Roosevelt
139:8,12	53:20 66:19	75:15 81:8	117:18,22 118:2	167:23
158:21 163:15	158:1	82:23 84:7	118:12 121:16	roster 89:8
requisite 182:16	responsible	104:1 124:12	122:9,9,10,11	RPR 3:18
Reserve 47:9	55:17 58:23	124:20 128:24	122:14,21	rule 14:18 16:12
reserved 4:12	78:13 131:10	161:12,16	123:4 124:8,10	17:18,20,21
residing 175:19	159:11	rewarding 44:9	124:16 125:5,6	24:5 183:12
resolve 45:1	responsive	132:12	126:7,11,15,20	184:11 187:22
128:12	57:15 114:13	Reyes 125:9,11	129:5 130:16	187:23 188:3
resolving 9:16	154:1	125:24 126:3	130:16,17 131:3	188:14,19,21
193:3	rest 147:5	126:10,16,23	131:12 132:22	191:20,23
	Į	. ,	Į	· ·

ruled 188:7	says 39:4 48:13	second 10:10	179:1,16 180:2	135:11 136:11
rules 5:20,21	73:23 87:20	11:18 20:8,18	184:19 187:4,8	136:16 151:4
16:4,7,11,16,22	89:2 94:2	20:19,21 21:6	187:14 193:6	168:11
17:2 31:11	95:4,11 100:24	25:13 26:19	seeks 177:22	separate 51:10
46:12 48:19	101:10,17,22	32:7 34:1,6,7	seen 30:18	98:15 121:19
48:23 99:7,11	102:18 104:15	35:2 93:24	36:12,13 43:12	171:8,23
100:1 133:22	105:20 109:3	163:24 165:8	93:4 179:8	Separately
137:17 176:15	110:18 111:8,15	167:7 174:20	189:11	161:20
179:8 183:12	111:23 121:7	186:23 187:2	segments	September 1:15
183:18 184:2,2	122:8,8,9,11	187:2,7	142:17	6:8,9,13,17
185:2,8	126:16 128:2	seconded 10:11	select 14:16	19:9,16 22:19
187:24 188:12	128:21 129:5	11:22 25:16	24:5 42:17	50:12,19 52:19
189:22 191:17	132:6,8 136:3	32:10 34:3,10	selection 171:13	53:7,8 74:15
192:14	161:17,22	secondly 18:12	sell 48:4,6 50:5	174:24 181:13
ruling 8:7 10:2	162:18,19	31:13 191:16	Senate 148:17	181:15
11:3,5 187:11,17	168:6 184:11	section 80:3,11	148:22	sequence 66:17
187:20 188:11	188:21 191:20	81:2,4,19	Senator 36:24	series 33:23
188:22 189:2	scandal 42:9	132:6 136:10,11	send 153:13	59:3 81:11
running 107:18	scandals 36:24	163:7 164:1,10	165:24 179:6	171:14
runs 53:2	Schar 3:15 12:21	•	192:23	seriousness
Turis 55.2	13:1 35:7 58:3	164:11,14,16,18		
S		187:23,23	sending 54:6	159:20
S 3:19	58:4,5 59:18	sector 59:7	senior 63:16	serve 16:14
Safer 3:13 22:1	60:15,20 61:3	secured 122:4	87:1,17,21,23	36:20 75:11
22:6,20 60:9	61:6,10,12,13	securing 182:9	88:1,11,13	109:7
60:14,21 61:12	61:18 62:2,13	Securities 75:5	100:24 101:9	served 75:7
61:16 62:1,2	65:14,17,22	78:10 149:18	101:11,14 102:5	78:20 149:24
66:1,6 173:24	66:21 71:9,16	150:2,5	102:16 103:2,7	service 3:19
173:24 174:3	85:8 117:3	see 4:21 24:2	103:12,23	139:17 177:17
173.24 174.3	173:12,19	92:7 94:9	104:16 105:22	serving 16:13
	174:4,10	98:14,15	110:19 111:1	22:7 31:23
safety 4:7	Schar's 23:17	109:16 111:12	112:7 159:1	set 13:24 14:3
sake 138:3	scheme 40:8	114:19 120:6	169:1 171:23	18:3 98:12
Sandoval 36:24	41:13 49:11,14	123:7 129:3,7	186:20	144:12 162:20
satisfaction	49:15,20 76:6	135:15 137:3	seniority 33:11	164:8,9 166:9
79:9	91:21 92:8	156:13 173:17	sensitive 110:1	170:3 172:8,17
satisfied 74:8	106:15 113:8	175:9	135:17,19	sets 39:10
satisfy 77:17	117:16 132:2	seeing 32:11	136:5,20	48:23
Saturday 175:10	137:19	34:13 130:11	sent 6:10,13	setting 23:4
saved 37:10	scope 159:4	193:5	9:12 17:14	158:22 180:6
saw 92:11	176:12	seek 30:19	52:2,10,11,13	180:9
sayers 156:7	score 87:9	176:11 178:6	52:20 64:22	settings 182:8
saying 18:23	seat 75:13	seeking 11:16	75:2 153:11	seven 65:15,20
50:17 122:1	123:18,22,24	27:21 30:21	174:1	share 62:23
146:16 147:18	152:24 153:6	32:1 51:3 91:13	sentence 101:11	93:15 118:18
157:9 168:16	seating 4:12	132:13 133:16	102:23 116:3	130:6,9 131:13
180:13 189:16	seats 123:15	152:5,12	121:3 135:4	154:14 180:13
190:5 191:9	SEC 150:10	173:17 178:24	sentencing	shared 51:14

	I		1	I
181:2	35:20 55:23	128:17 130:1,3	48:18 49:1,9	42:17 50:21
sharp 131:5	58:8 60:17	135:12 144:2	49:13 50:1,3,5	170:9 173:13
Shaw 167:24	62:7 81:16	148:24 150:19	50:11 51:1,2	180:3,23 181:8
shield 50:12	sit 114:9 154:15	153:8 155:16	52:23 53:12	181:17 193:6
shoes 28:8	sitting 17:4	167:8 187:23	53:16,17 54:3	specific 66:13
Shorthand	23:14 65:8	sort 63:8 93:9	54:10,11,12	72:2 96:23
194:17	124:23	131:1 134:12	82:24 83:18	97:3 99:7
shortly 66:9	situation 171:20	139:10,15,22	84:16,22,22	105:12 139:6,8
170:8 174:16	situations 39:16	140:11 163:3	85:20 86:3,7	142:3 144:12
191:5	six 5:12 99:11	163:23 169:7	88:23 90:18	145:1 166:13
show 39:13	147:20	183:24	90:22 91:14	173:3 178:23
166:4	sixth 80:15 112:3	sorts 67:13	98:1,3,4	184:5
shut 115:19,24	size 169:18	sought 36:15	107:15,21	specifically
sic 51:3,8 53:17	slippery 163:3	40:9 44:13,15	108:12 110:13	17:18 22:20
95:19	slope 163:4	74:1 94:4,13	113:13,14,14,20	31:12 51:8 71:1
SIC's 50:21 51:5	small 24:2	94:22 121:11	119:2,18 122:2	83:20 124:18
51:10,12	43:18 171:21	122:17 126:22	122:22 123:13	125:2 171:5
side 92:22	smart 131:5	133:15 164:3,6	124:20 126:11	180:22 181:15
151:13 168:13	147:13,15	164:19 165:5,8	126:14 131:16	182:8
side's 39:13	Smith 21:7,14	177:20,22	131:21,23	specifics 86:22
sides 37:13	22:15 39:12	178:4	133:14,18,22	specified
39:24 156:8	42:13 43:2,8,9	sound 80:23	134:2,9 135:2	126:24
sign 54:6 77:13	Smith's 21:15	source 86:18	135:20 136:22	spectrum 93:4
signature 67:9	snickered 131:14	89:15 111:21	142:7 143:8	143:21
67:13	snookered	sources 57:14	144:14 149:8,11	speculate
signed 14:14	131:14	142:10 162:12	149:14 153:10	154:22
16:9,13 31:11	social 4:11	spaces 4:11	153:12,16	speedy 80:15
59:14 72:8	solely 143:8	spanned 40:8	155:15 160:13	spell 95:17,17
77:8,19,22	solicitation	speak 36:4	160:19 161:16	95:22 123:9
79:18	164:10	57:11 64:9,12	165:1,15,23	spelling 9:6
significance	solicited 147:9	64:15,18 68:9	166:5,20,21	split 15:8 38:4
40:3	solid 42:24	86:19 92:20	172:5,15,16	spoke 6:6 50:13
significant 36:17	somebody	93:11,13 114:14	185:18,19 188:1	63:5
39:23 109:9	145:22 157:11	118:16 124:24	191:21	spoken 36:21
159:22 169:19	157:16,19	131:16 142:2	Speaker's	64:4 112:11,18
similar 31:6	someone's	154:3,15	49:24 114:6	113:4 115:7
similarly 97:4	155:20	158:16,16	192:4	187:8
simple 109:14	soon 66:16	166:1 167:19	speaking 90:14	Spokesperson
simpler 73:16	sophisticated	167:20	90:17 155:12	2:10 3:4 5:3
simply 14:14	130:19,24	speaker 11:15	155:17,20	6:5,10 8:6
73:16 140:6	sorry 45:15	11:22 36:21	speaks 102:20	9:13,21,24
163:21 180:7	80:5 85:8	37:4,8 38:5	106:16 108:13	10:17 12:4
sincere 173:14	89:18 92:24	39:4 40:9,16	109:20 131:1	20:22 21:5,13
single 42:20	95:7,21 97:11	42:5 43:14	159:18 164:21	21:19,24 22:4
43:6	107:13 112:24	44:11,20 45:13	special 1:6 4:3	22:11 26:7
sir 16:5,8,18 17:3	115:22 117:12	45:20 46:7,8	5:24 14:13,15	32:16 33:16
17:8 19:4 20:11	125:10,12,14,19	46:21 48:10,13	22:21 35:22	76:16,17

160:20,21	state-of-the-st	53:24 75:5	stuff 118:8	183:22 184:6
161:1 173:8	36:23	77:9 78:12,14	subcontract	189:3,9,11,13
179:18 187:16	stated 5:14	78:20 80:3,8	165:13	189:16,24
188:9,16,20	15:19 19:11	80:11 136:16	subcontracted	190:7,22
189:20 190:3	20:9 38:6	175:3 180:18	96:14	191:18 192:1,5
190:19 191:13	46:7 52:15	180:21 188:14	subcontractor	192:23,24
191:24 192:10	53:12 67:12	stating 7:21	88:14,18 98:13	subsection 81:4
193:8	77:18 82:22	20:3 75:6	101:15 102:6	subsequent
Springfield 1:17	180:22	133:17	111:2 164:15	19:21 129:14
3:20 13:4	statement 15:2	statute 80:20	subcontractors	substance
112:10 115:4	15:6,23 16:2	81:24	40:10 89:6,11	130:14
springing	16:18,20,23	statutes 29:10	96:9 98:16	substantially
190:13 191:10	17:6 18:13,15	stenotype	101:21 110:22	129:7
sprung 191:2	18:21,24 19:5	194:6	111:5 163:11	substantive
staff 63:13,14	19:16 20:5,8,11	step 54:7 112:1	164:12 167:18	50:18 62:18
178:18	20:16 21:2	steps 36:12,17	167:22	180:1
staffers 59:16	23:12 25:10,17	94:19 159:23	subcontracts	subverted
stage 54:19	27:16 28:4,17	170:14,18	83:7,8 84:2,3	151:13
stand 28:8 68:7	32:3 33:3,6,8	175:15,20	94:5,6,14,23	suffered 42:9
73:12 120:14	33:8 35:6 36:1	stick 108:6	94:24 164:4,5	suggest 44:17
193:7	36:12 38:16	stonewalling	subject 6:1 57:7	157:18
standard 54:14	39:21 55:5,9	185:7	61:21 187:24	suggesting
standing 140:23	56:8,12 65:10	stop 47:24 87:6	188:3 191:21	23:19 29:1
standpoint	67:13 68:23	122:2	subjecting 50:8	suggestions
29:21	72:6,16 73:12	straightforward	subparagraph	48:15
stands 11:3	73:12 77:19	51:24	105:20 107:1	summarize
stark 43:3	82:6,13,18	strategy 143:6	109:1 112:3	73:14 100:14
start 77:2	83:15 94:1	Stratton 1:16	subpart 110:16	summarizing
100:16 112:16	106:16 107:9	stream 146:24	116:11 135:10	100:15
137:12	109:18,20	streaming 4:14	subpoena	summary
started 78:4	110:18 112:17	55:3	34:23 46:10	100:20 163:19
starting 82:14	117:5,6 118:11	Street 153:11	170:9 176:7,13	Sunday 66:12
95:5 163:4	118:13 121:2	strengthen	176:17,20,23	supervised
starts 83:21 87:1	124:4 136:8,14	139:10 171:15	177:2,8,19,21	157:13
121:4	149:15 161:21	stretching 17:2	178:13,22,24	supervision
state 3:19 5:17	165:15 166:2	strike 174:23	179:22 183:20	158:18
7:16 16:16	168:10	strings 24:20	184:13,15	support 37:18
20:7 27:11	statements	strong 37:21	185:3,10,24	132:14 146:24
30:3 36:18	28:3 48:21	54:10	186:4,8,12,16	156:5
38:3 42:8	49:2 55:5,7	stronger 171:18	186:20 187:21	supported
48:13 54:8	113:24 114:12	Stroz 78:17	188:3	133:12
80:6 81:9	118:21 122:13	structure 132:17	subpoenas	supporting
112:20 176:24	128:19 162:10	142:3	34:21 176:1,6	82:4 147:2
177:12 179:3	176:2 181:18	structured	177:13 178:7,18	supports 54:9
182:4 183:3,18	states 6:21	142:17	179:6,8,9,10	supposed 24:11
185:1 194:18	16:15 41:23	structuring	179:15 180:17	24:12
State's 7:5	49:19 52:12,21	110:5,13	182:5,7,9	sure 4:17 13:6
				I

			1	
27:7,9 31:18	85:1 88:16	tender 76:16	76:22 78:23	113:16,20 114:7
41:9 44:22	93:23 94:19	term 70:4 110:1	85:8 97:9	114:12,12 116:7
56:22 59:5	105:19 111:24	143:10 144:10	100:4 106:23	118:16 119:3
67:4 73:21	115:15 116:2	terms 45:19	120:18,23	120:1 130:22
81:21,23 84:15	117:19 120:6,7	62:18,20 67:4	126:2 137:3,4	133:9,16,17
86:17 89:14,17	120:10,13,19	68:6 69:11	137:7 147:22	135:21 136:8
91:18 95:21	124:1,4,7,7	79:8 87:19	148:3 150:1	137:2 139:9
97:1,20 99:1	132:4 133:9	118:14 136:1	152:10 160:17	139:22 140:13
100:21 103:20	134:10 135:7	137:23 142:17	160:21,22	142:8 143:2,15
111:19 112:22	174:15 177:11	158:20 163:4	168:2 170:12	144:11,14 147:5
112:23 114:17	183:16 184:14	168:23 178:11	172:2 173:8,10	153:23 154:12
114:19 123:16	189:16 192:7	testified 56:3	173:19,21	157:14,24
125:15 128:8	192:15,21	72:8	174:3,12,13,20	160:10 162:13
134:15 136:17	193:2	testify 47:12	179:18 184:21	163:3,17 164:7
141:6 143:9	taken 4:6 54:7	53:22 148:6	thanks 173:14	166:10 168:20
145:19 153:3,9	120:16 159:22	175:10	theater 48:16	170:5,7 172:6
155:19 158:17	170:15 179:10	testifying 4:20	49:3	172:19 173:1
159:8,23	188:21 190:16	50:7 53:9,16	theft 80:21	175:22 178:11
167:8 170:21	194:4,5,12	57:21	thereof 81:10	178:17 179:10
171:18 173:3	talk 24:20 39:5	testimonial	thing 50:3	179:21 189:5,9
surely 183:9	42:11 123:6	7:20 178:1	57:16 60:20	190:7,11,13,24
surprised 48:9	140:10 155:23	testimony 7:22	139:9 190:12	192:6 193:1
180:19	156:6 170:13	11:16 13:6	things 29:22	third 111:24
suspend 26:15	170:17 190:24	34:23 46:6,16	52:5 58:11	145:21 154:6
sustain 38:24	talked 61:16	51:3,13 53:4	109:23 118:23	154:10 155:6
sustained	93:5 115:12	68:18 72:10,15	119:2 125:2	165:10 166:14
188:23	170:14	81:16 89:1	130:23 134:16	third-party
sworn 56:2	talking 15:14	97:15 111:7	156:2,4,11,15	72:18 167:3,4
system 38:12	87:19 118:4	165:4,20,21	158:17 159:7	167:12,14,15
41:21 54:5	121:6,17	176:7,11 178:3	170:17 171:14	thorough 73:8
98:9,12,14,18	122:22	178:14 180:24	191:9,11	137:5
99:16,18,21	tamper 112:8	183:20 185:9	think 7:23 22:9	thought 113:23
100:7,10	115:3	186:1,4,8,12,16	24:12,20	169:22
102:11 117:13	taskings 97:3	186:21 188:4	27:18 29:20	thousands
118:6 139:20	taught 182:19	190:15	30:2,14 40:5	40:16
151:14 162:2	team 63:12	text 75:2 166:2	56:13,18 57:16	threat 45:12
systematic 37:4	144:15 159:2	thank 13:3 25:1	61:18 63:17,23	140:5
systemically	171:22	25:6 26:24	65:10 66:8	threatening
49:14	teams 158:19	28:19,22 31:1	68:9,15,17	45:9
	technically	33:16 34:18	70:21,22 71:8	three 14:22 38:1
	179:24	35:16,21,22	71:11 85:24	38:2 41:18
take 4:22 14:18	tell 41:7 46:13	36:10 54:21	89:13 90:15	68:7 70:5,9
28:18 36:16	47:23 62:10	54:22 56:4,9	90:23 91:23	89:19,20,23
63:11 66:19	63:6 66:23	57:22 58:9,12	92:1 93:16	105:2 114:23
74:22,24	103:6,23	60:17 66:21	97:23 99:9	121:19 137:24
79:17 82:20	156:5,7	68:20 71:15,15	106:16 107:23	three-three 11:2
83:20 84:19	telling 17:15	75:19 76:15,17	108:4 113:10	three-year 70:8
1	I	I	I	·

the marker (12) (12)	424.22.422.45	F7.40	CO.4E 70.E	00.42.442.22
threw 42:13	121:23 122:15	57:19	69:15 78:5	99:13 112:22
thrown 42:19	124:23 130:4	traveling 173:14	95:12 96:6	138:12 153:3
Thursday 17:14	131:13 160:9	Treasury 151:8	98:6 114:12	172:7 189:21
tied 33:20	161:4 162:11	treat 170:21	175:5 190:24	understanding
ties 142:5	165:4 172:22	treated 169:6	two-paragraph	52:18 61:6,19
tightly 134:12	173:14 174:22	trial 80:15 168:11	73:15	62:21 84:20
time 4:21 6:20	174:23 175:4,9	tribal 81:9	type 154:7	85:16 88:5,8
12:17 21:14	175:14,24	Tribune 65:3	types 108:18	90:2,11 92:14
23:17 25:7	190:13	tried 160:11	typewriting	97:10,12 98:17
30:8 38:10	today's 181:20	tries 68:13	194:7	102:15 108:17
53:4 55:12	told 51:23 52:4	trouble 156:20	typical 29:11	110:10 118:3
57:18 61:16	74:12 111:11,16	troubling 183:4	182:7 184:3	123:21 126:10
74:16 85:19	112:5 115:11	true 47:22 53:5	typically 180:7	133:21 134:21
88:19 89:2,3	157:1 174:5	82:18 83:15		141:20 154:24
101:17 102:11	tolerated 43:11	103:3 104:3	<u> </u>	173:2 181:12
109:6 120:7,11	Tom 3:3 145:15	107:9 109:22	U.S 2:16 6:6,11	understands
131:20 132:9	146:2,11,21	112:14 114:24	9:5,11 33:23	34:11
134:22 155:24	149:3 155:4	115:4 116:22	34:4,5 50:14	understood
156:14 162:15	190:16	117:4 128:18	50:20 52:1,8	44:10 45:12
162:15 168:16	tonight 160:20	168:16 182:16	52:15 53:5,14	64:21 76:1
177:9 179:14	189:13	182:17 194:8	56:23 57:1,2	102:19 126:13
191:14 192:14	tool 182:9	truly 49:3	77:14 79:14	undertake
times 4:18 43:1	top 41:18 47:2	trust 46:9 54:12	90:9 91:3,19	192:16
69:23 92:11,12	85:3,4 102:22	truth 37:20	92:5 149:16	unethical 141:11
92:13 180:23	105:2 107:1	59:8 109:19	149:20,23	unfortunately
timing 61:20	115:16 124:2	117:6 156:9	176:9,18 181:15	22:22 44:7
178:11 184:5	topics 51:6,14	truthfulness	181:19	90:7 99:10
193:2	181:1	114:5	Uh-huh 145:9	142:15
title 80:2,10,18	total 150:16,22	try 28:7 50:5	ultimately 40:10	unilateral 185:3
81:14 164:14	totaled 43:1	54:5 86:4	44:1 45:10	unique 5:23
titled 80:19,21	totally 81:21	93:17 110:5	75:9 146:7	United 6:21 7:5
today 12:18 13:3	tough 48:4	114:19 118:7	unavailable	41:23 49:18
13:6 19:4 20:1	track 98:20	125:20 134:15	182:20	52:12,21
20:5 23:4	tracking 139:20	135:6 167:5	unbecoming	53:24 75:5
24:3 25:11	traditional 5:20	185:18	54:12 137:15	77:9 78:20
28:16 33:10	training 140:24	trying 35:17	underhanded	80:2,8,11
35:8 42:15	trains 105:3	75:22 100:14	41:11	136:16 175:3
55:13,22	transaction 81:11	112:23 117:20	underlying	180:18,21
56:20 57:21	transactions	118:12 135:24	51:15 62:21	unlawful 41:14
58:13,18,19	81:11	145:18 153:22	67:2 117:6	157:21
59:10 60:16	TRANSCRIPT	154:12 189:5	181:2 184:11	unlimited
62:5 64:23	1:23	turn 79:23	undermine	185:12
64:24 65:6,16	transparency	82:10 156:7	185:22	unreasonable
70:18 71:5	8:20	turned 11:7	understand	177:21
72:8,10,15	transparent 4:9	twice 158:22	51:5 60:24	untethered
88:4 111:22	53:20	two 43:8 47:20	81:16,21 84:15	185:11
119:13,15	transpired 49:4	47:20 48:23	87:10 91:10	upheld 177:1

	1			
urge 117:21	99:3,15,17,21	votes 10:12	73:19 86:15	5:10,11 8:10
urged 145:16	100:7 151:14	146:9	87:9 98:3	10:10,10,11,24
146:12 155:4	163:11 164:3,12	voting 33:1,2,2	112:20 118:24	11:1,18,18 12:11
USC 80:18 81:19	165:6	34:14,14,15	120:5 122:2	12:12 15:3,12
175:2	vendors 72:18	192:20	125:2 126:18	30:24 31:1
use 91:21 92:11	98:15 167:3,4		143:24 163:24	32:23,24 35:2
92:17 93:6	167:13,14,16	W	174:4	35:2 147:24
98:19 111:21	171:13	waived 80:13	wants 50:5	148:2 152:8
119:13 123:2	Veronica 63:17	waiver 18:10	114:6 119:2	186:23,24
133:10 134:24	version 39:11	178:12	ward 41:19	187:8,10 189:1
143:10,19,19	75:20	waiving 27:24	107:5 122:24	weight 40:3,5
143:22 171:13	versus 43:4,6	wake 36:23	warmer 13:5	Welch 2:5 3:2
180:14 182:7	154:14 163:14	140:19	warned 115:2	4:2,24 5:1,12
useful 56:13	vet 75:12	Walsh 145:15,23	wasn't 47:6	7:16 8:3,8,11
183:10	vetting 73:8	146:2,11,21	102:16 109:22	8:15 9:2,19,23
useless 176:24	158:20	149:4 155:4	110:3 127:2	10:4,11,14,15
uses 143:11,23	vice 55:14 63:16	want 11:11 13:4,9	waste 23:16	11:2,6,19 12:1,2
144:5	63:17 77:4	13:10,16,23	way 11:6,7 20:13	12:13,17 13:23
usually 177:1	Victor 126:3	18:18 19:8	20:13,13 23:3	15:13,16 16:1,9
178:13	128:4,9	24:4 26:19	27:17 29:10	16:19 17:7
utilities 41:23	view 39:13 71:12	27:12,23	30:10 38:4	18:17 19:1,6
42:3 47:3	138:17 160:6	28:15 33:5	65:13 100:22	20:12,20 21:1
		36:3 45:13		
74:17	viewed 133:14	55:1 58:1,10	102:9 108:6	21:11,17,22
utility 41:22	viewing 4:15	61:10 63:3	109:23 110:2	22:2,8,17
49:8 104:20	violate 81:20	64:21 67:18	122:15,21	23:21 24:1,23
V	169:3	69:23 70:15	134:16 135:7	25:2,6,15,20
vacant 75:13	violated 80:2	71:2 77:2 93:2	142:16 143:15	25:21,24 26:4
vague 177:21	violating 175:2	93:16 95:21	154:16 156:9	26:13,17,21
vague 177.21 validate 85:17	violation 71:6,10	100:18 119:23	160:5 184:4,13	27:1,8,10,20
117:1	80:10 159:14		190:18 193:3	28:5,11,14,19
	virtually 49:17	119:23,24	we'll 26:23	29:4 30:11
validating	vital 156:22	122:11,12 124:3	28:18 46:10	31:9 32:9,13
185:15	voluntarily	130:20 134:10	we're 18:7 60:4	32:14 33:1
valuable 44:22	180:8	134:13 135:3,3	100:5 119:6,6	34:2 35:3,14
128:8 181:20	voluntary 11:16	144:7 153:3	130:21 140:24	35:17 36:2,8
value 39:16 81:7	volunteered	154:18,22,24	140:24 159:10	48:17 54:22
81:13 139:16	182:21	155:7 159:10	173:4 174:15	56:4,15 57:22
variety 142:9	Von 63:15	160:4,8	174:19 179:12	58:7,15 60:8
169:8	vote 9:18,22	164:22 167:5	189:5	60:17,18 61:2
various 83:3,22	11:2,7,9 25:19	167:8 173:1,13	wear 36:7	61:4,9,15,24
94:6,14 95:1	26:1,5,5,12	173:22 174:21	wearing 56:16	62:3 66:21,22
141:15 156:19	33:1 42:18	175:17 176:2,6	website 10:8	71:15,17 76:15
159:5 170:17	45:22 54:18	189:13	week 39:1 49:15	76:20 92:19
vendor 40:10	134:3 183:23	wanted 14:12	49:16 61:14,15	94:18 119:22
83:7 84:2	184:14 188:23	24:10 35:10	61:19,22,22	120:5,13,17
94:4,13,23	189:10 193:4	41:9,15 43:16	weeks 36:17	125:16,20
98:9,12,14,18	voted 146:7	45:8 47:17	Wehrli 2:8 3:8	137:4 147:24
	l	l		·

		1	1	
152:10 160:19	51:21 53:15	163:12,16,21	140:8	112:2,4,7,13,17
173:10,22	55:11,23 56:2	163:22 164:13	year 43:23 45:2	115:17 116:3
174:13,19	58:6,7 61:10	164:16 170:19	49:16,16 57:17	123:7,18,19
184:18 187:1,12	68:16 181:4,7	170:20 175:5	74:12 78:15	124:19 167:2
187:22 188:14	181:11 188:5	185:22 190:17	116:17 126:9	167:12
188:18 189:4	witnessed 42:9	worked 44:15	128:13 129:17	1's 103:13
190:1,5,23	witnesses 2:18	126:19 127:1,5	years 68:7 70:5	105:24 109:8
191:20 192:6	2:19 6:15,19	127:22,24	70:9 78:5.9	1,324,500 119:8
			· · ·	• •
193:5,11	6:23 7:1,9,12	157:11	78:20 81:14	1.3 42:1,4 46:17
Welch's 2:14	9:8,9 19:20	workers 83:10	97:21 98:8	47:14 49:11,23
13:21 112:24	20:16 21:21	141:18,19	118:6 137:20	85:18 86:6
113:1	24:19,22 25:11	working 42:21	137:24 138:21	10 2:17,17 6:24
welcome 13:4	25:17 30:23	163:1	Yep 77:24	6:24 7:8,8
55:22 56:5	32:5 46:1	works 163:14	yesterday 6:17	17:18,20 81:14
welcoming	50:17 51:3,18	world 37:2	16:15 65:17	10(c) 17:21
55:10	51:20,22 52:3	worth 116:7	young 157:13	100 130:22
well-establish	52:4,11,13,16	132:1		101ST 1:3
156:23	52:21 53:9	wouldn't 118:23	Z	11 2:19,19 7:2,2
went 43:14	65:12 70:1	142:20,23	Zalewski 87:16	7:11,11 109:3
119:17 128:10	181:17 183:7	143:1,19 157:18	88:13 101:9,14	11-plus 78:21
wide 133:12	191:7	158:12	102:5,15 103:2	117 48:24
willfully 50:2	wool 47:7	wound 129:12	103:7,11,23	12 2:15 6:22 7:4
William 63:15	word 133:10,10	wrap 160:8	111:1 165:12	48:6
willing 15:10,17	143:19 144:4	172:3	186:20	13 2:13,14,15
20:14 23:11	words 36:19,21	write 128:10	100.20	6:22 7:4
			0	
106:20 130:6	54:4 58:8	writing 19:12		110:19
130:9 172:23	143:22	106:9 128:17	1	1329 3:18
179:14 180:13	work 23:2 36:13	written 103:15	119:15 33:2	137 2:7
wiretap 47:4	36:20 37:16	191:8	73:24 74:4,7,9	13th 122:24
wisely 182:11	40:18 42:2	wrong 17:10	74:14,21 75:3	14 2:13 6:8 13:17
wish 30:8	44:16 45:21	52:21 76:4	75:6,9,11,14	13:19 50:12
wishes 56:22	46:18 47:24	80:5	79:24 80:1	148 2:8
114:6	50:12 62:18,19	wrongdoing	85:5,9,11	15 2:14 3:19
withdraw 22:17	72:12 83:6,10	36:15 72:7	87:21,22,22	13:18,21 34:24
26:17,19	84:1,23 90:4	73:7	87:24 88:1,11	120:20 129:16
withdrawing	96:16,20,22	wrote 44:21,24		137:2 186:2,6
20:8	97:2,6,21	45:15 47:5	88:11,14 89:7	186:9,13,17,22
withdrawn	103:11 107:8	50:24 52:9	95:8,9,10,13	150 37:11 132:1
26:22 35:19	119:18 121:7,13	52:23 128:8	95:15,23 96:9	132:20,24
withdrew 179:4	122:4 126:9		101:12,12,16,24	152 2:9
witness 2:3 9:7	126:23 127:2	Χ	103:2 104:16	16 6:9 77:22
14:9 23:6 27:6	128:6,22		104:18,24	104:15
27:18,22 28:1	141:16,16	Y	105:22 109:5	160 2:10
28:4,12,16	144:15 151:17	ya 106:11	109:10,14	17 50:19 52:19
29:16 31:5,8	151:21 156:15	Yeah 100:14	110:19,19,20	53:7 181:13
		119:3 130:10	110:23,24 111:3	
31:17,18,19	158:2,11,22	130:20 135:24	111:4,9,12,17,18	18 6:13 80:2,11
33:7,21 46:1	159:5 161:11			80:18 81:19
	-	-	-	-

	1		1
175:2	24 19:9 22:19	7	
189 43:1	78:20	7 2:15,15,18,20	
	25 75:1	6:22 7:4 13:12	
2	26 75:4	13:12 105:21	
2 4:4 19:18	28 6:17	150:13,21	
79:19 81:6	29 19:16 36:22	7,000 42:20	
95:13,15 96:1	29th 1:15	7:03 1:16 193:12	
110:20 111:4	2C1.1(b)(3)		
168:5	136:11	72 149:7	
2:00 1:16		76 2:6	
2:23 4:1	3	78,000 43:23	
20 120:4,20	3 19:23 87:16	74:11	
128:7	88:12 101:14	8	
200 49:21	102:1,15	8 2:15 6:22 7:4	
150:17,23	110:24 111:4	13:13	
151:4,5,8	135:16	8:30 19:10	
169:12	3-3 38:4	8:30 19:10 84-1716 3:18	
2002 42:12	3/5 188:23	194:18	
2011 82:22 94:3	371 175:2		
95:6,7 96:5		85 14:18 850 45:2 126:8	
127:6,20	4		
129:13 132:8	4 10:12 174:24	126:24 127:3	
2015 130:2	194:23	127:21,22	
2016 31:16	4(c)(9) 188:4	128:12 129:18	
126:16 127:20	4:52 120:16	9	
128:7 129:6	47.5 129:15	9 2:15 6:22 7:4	
130:2		135:9	
2017 43:16 74:1	5	91 16:12 187:23	
2018 41:3 74:5	5 33:1 116:10,12	91E 183:12	
74:15 87:4	117:8	92 187:22	
101:3 104:15	5,000 41:5 81:13	95 24:5	
2019 75:1,4,7	88:13 101:14	JJ ZH.J	
82:23 87:4	102:5 103:7,13		
96:15 101:3	103:23		
105:21 106:1,4	500 130:22		
109:3 110:19	57 188:19,21		
116:10,12 117:8	570 129:17		
132:9	58 2:5		
2020 1:15 6:8,9			
6:13 19:9 35:1	6		
36:22 37:3	6 34:14 135:7,11		
50:13,19 77:5	6:29 174:18		
77:22 174:24	6:40 174:18		
	62701 3:20		
186:2,6,10,14 186:18,22	666(a)(2) 80:3		
2022 194:23	80:11,18 81:2		
2022 194:23 23 53:8 181:15	81:19		
23 33.0 101.13			
	1		1